

## STUDY OF ADDITIVELY REGULAR $\Gamma$ -SEMIRINGS AND DERIVATIONS

MADHU DADHWAL<sup>1</sup> AND NEELAM

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics*  
*Himachal Pradesh University*  
*Summer Hill, Shimla-171005, India*

**e-mail:** mpatial.math@gmail.com

### Abstract

In this paper, the notions of commutator and derivation in additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition are introduced. We also characterize Jordan product for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and establish some results which investigate the relationship between commutators, derivations and inner derivations. In 1957, E.C. Posner has shown that if there exists a non-zero centralizing derivation in a prime ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative. This result is extended in the frame work of derivations of prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings.

**Keywords:** semirings,  $\Gamma$ -semirings, additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings, derivations and commutators.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16Y60, 16Y99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of derivation is quite old and plays vital role in algebraic geometry and algebra. The algebraists in this direction have studied the concept of derivation in semirings,  $\Gamma$ -rings and  $\Gamma$ -semirings. It is pertinent to note here that the results which are true for rings motivated the researchers to generalize the analogous results for derivations in  $\Gamma$ -rings and  $\Gamma$ -semirings. The concept of derivation in a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring was first introduced by Yang [12] in 1991. Over the years, the researchers studied the concept of derivation in  $\Gamma$ -rings and other algebraic structures [2, 3, 6]. The algebraic structure additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

is a generalization of semirings [9, 10, 11], additively regular semirings [5], and  $\Gamma$ -rings.

There are some algebraic structures in which binary operation “multiplication” fails. For instance, let  $R$  be the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices over a boolean semiring under usual addition and multiplication of matrices. One can easily examine that  $R$  is not closed under multiplication. This problem has attracted the attention of various mathematicians for a long period. Therefore, another algebraic structure  $\Gamma$  was introduced; for example, consider  $A$  is an additive semigroup consisting of all homomorphisms from a semiring  $R_1$  to semiring  $R_2$  and  $\Gamma$  is an additive semigroup consisting of all homomorphisms from  $R_2$  to  $R_1$ . Here the product  $g_1hg_2$  belongs to  $A$  for any arbitrary elements  $g_1, g_2$  of  $A$  and  $h$  of  $\Gamma$ . So,  $A$  is closed under multiplication. The importance of aforementioned algebraic structure  $\Gamma$  motivated us to explore the structure of  $\Gamma$ -semirings.

Rao [7, 8] introduced the notion of  $\Gamma$ -semirings and additively inverse  $\Gamma$ -semirings. According to Rao, if  $R_\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  are additive commutative semigroups with identity elements  $0_{R_\Gamma}$  and  $0_\Gamma$  respectively, then  $R_\Gamma$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -semiring if there exists a map  $R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$ , defined as  $(x, \gamma, y) \mapsto x\gamma y$  such that  $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ ;  $(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ;  $x(\alpha+\beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$ ;  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ ;  $x\gamma 0_{R_\Gamma} = 0_{R_\Gamma}\gamma x = 0_{R_\Gamma}$  and  $x\gamma 0_\Gamma = 0_\Gamma\gamma x = 0_\Gamma \forall x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Further, a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is said to be additively regular if for each element  $x \in R_\Gamma$  there exists an element  $x' \in R_\Gamma$  such that  $x = x + x' + x$ . If in addition the element  $x'$  is unique and  $x' = x' + x + x'$ , then  $R_\Gamma$  is called an additively inverse  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Such an element  $x'$  is called pseudo inverse of  $x$ . Consider  $M = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 50\}$  and  $R_\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times M = \{(a, r) : a \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in M\}$ . We define binary operations of addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication  $\odot$  by  $(a, r) \oplus (b, s) = (a + b, \max(r, s))$  and  $(a, r) \odot (b, s) = (ab, \min(r, s))$  for all  $(a, r), (b, s) \in R_\Gamma$ . Take  $\Gamma = \{(0, m) : m \in M\}$  with same binary operations defined as above. One can easily check that  $R_\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  are additive commutative semigroups. Moreover, define map  $R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $(a, r) \odot (0, m) \odot (b, s) = (0, \min(r, m, s))$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Further, if we define the pseudo inverse of an element  $(a, r)$  of  $R_\Gamma$  by  $(a, r)' = (-a, r)$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively inverse  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Throughout this article, additively inverse  $\Gamma$ -semiring along with 1 has been intensively explored and represented as “additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring” which will persuade the readers in its accuracy and truthfulness.

In present paper, we introduce and characterize the concept of derivations for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition. Here  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition means that the sum of an element  $x$  of  $R_\Gamma$  and its pseudo inverse  $x' \in R_\Gamma$  lies in the centre of  $R_\Gamma$ . For example, let  $B = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{a, b\}$ , where  $0, 1$  and  $a, b$  are additively idempotent elements of  $R_\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. Further, addition in  $B$  is defined by  $0 + 1 = 1 = 1 + 0$  and in  $\Gamma$  by  $a + b = b = b + a$ . Moreover, a map  $B \times \Gamma \times B \rightarrow B$  is defined as  $0a0 = 0a1 = 1a0 = 0b0 = 0b1 = 1b0 = 0$  and

$1a1 = 1b1 = 1$ . Then  $B$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition. Throughout this paper,  $R_\Gamma$  will denote an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition. In continuation, the study of commutators for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings is also initiated which is the generalization of the commutators of rings. In section 3, some fundamental identities for commutators of additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition are proved which are the generalization of some fundamental results of commutators in ring theory. The last section of this paper deals with the study of derivations and inner derivations. Also, some results are proved which establish the relationships between commutators and derivations. Finally, we extend Posner's second theorem for prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition.

2. ADDITIVELY REGULAR  $\Gamma$ -SEMIRING WITH  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -CONDITION

In this section, we prove some basic results and examples of additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition. First we define commutativity and primeness of additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is said to be commutative if  $x\gamma y = y\gamma x \forall x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2.** An additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is said to be prime if  $x\Gamma R_\Gamma \Gamma y = 0$  implies that either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

Now, we give an example of an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring which is both commutative as well as prime.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R_\Gamma = \{0, 1, u\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . We define operations with the help of following tables:

+	0	1	u
0	0	1	u
1	1	1	u
u	u	u	u

+	$\alpha$	$\beta$
$\alpha$	$\alpha$	$\beta$
$\beta$	$\beta$	$\beta$

$\alpha$	0	1	u
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	u
u	0	u	u

$\beta$	0	1	u
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	u
u	0	u	u

Then  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition and  $a' = a$  for all  $a \in R_\Gamma$ . From the tables, it is clear that additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is prime and commutative.

Note that every additively regular semiring  $S$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with  $\Gamma = S$ .

Next two examples show that every additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring may not satisfy  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices over boolean semiring  $B$ , i.e.,  $M_{2 \times 2}(B)$  and  $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in B \right\}$ . Define a map  $R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $(x, \gamma, y) \mapsto x\gamma y$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . We define pseudo inverse of an element of  $R_\Gamma$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring which do not satisfy  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition under the usual multiplication of matrices.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $R$  be a non commutative ring and  $S$  be an additively regular semiring. Then the set  $K = \{(a, \alpha) : a \in R, \alpha \in S\}$  is a non commutative additively regular semiring with operations pointwise addition and pointwise multiplication. We define pseudo inverse of an element of  $K$  as  $(a, \alpha)' = (-a, \alpha')$ . Take  $\Gamma = \{(0, \beta) : 0 \in R, \beta \in S\}$  with operations pointwise addition and pointwise multiplication. Then  $\Gamma$  is an additive commutative semigroup. Further, define a map  $K \times \Gamma \times K \rightarrow K$  by  $(x, \gamma, y) = x\gamma y$  for all  $x, y \in K, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $K$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

Note that  $K$  satisfies  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition only if  $S$  is commutative.

Throughout this paper, we consider an assumption  $(*)$   $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.6** [(Theorem 12, [8])]. *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $a, b \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then we have the following:*

- (i)  $a'' = a$ ,
- (ii)  $(a + b)' = a' + b'$ ,
- (iii)  $(a\gamma b)' = a'\gamma b = a\gamma b'$ ,
- (iv)  $a'\gamma b' = (a'\gamma b)' = (a\gamma b)'' = a\gamma b$ .

**Definition 2.7.** The centre of an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is the set  $Z(R_\Gamma) = \{x \in R_\Gamma : x\gamma y = y\gamma x \forall y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *The centre of an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is again an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring.*

**Proof.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $Z(R_\Gamma)$  be its centre. The map  $Z(R_\Gamma) \times \Gamma \times Z(R_\Gamma) \rightarrow Z(R_\Gamma)$  defined by  $(a, \alpha, b) \mapsto a\alpha b \forall a, b \in Z(R_\Gamma), \alpha \in \Gamma$  is well defined map. Clearly,  $Z(R_\Gamma)$  is an additive commutative semigroup and satisfies all the properties of  $\Gamma$ -semiring and hence  $Z(R_\Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Further, let  $a \in Z(R_\Gamma)$ . Then  $a\gamma x = x\gamma a \forall x \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$  implies that  $(a\gamma x)' = (x\gamma a)'$ , i.e.,  $a'\gamma x = x\gamma a' \forall x \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and hence  $a' \in Z(R_\Gamma)$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $X$  be a non-empty set. If  $Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  is the set of all mappings from  $X$  into  $R_\Gamma$ , then define ‘+’ in  $Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  as  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \forall f, g \in Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  and  $Map(X, R_\Gamma) \times \Gamma \times Map(X, R_\Gamma) \longrightarrow Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  as  $(f, \gamma, g) \longmapsto f\gamma g$  where  $f\gamma g : X \longrightarrow R_\Gamma$  is defined by  $(f\gamma g)(x) = f(x)\gamma g(x) \forall f, g \in Map(X, R_\Gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X$ . Then  $Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Define  $f' : X \longrightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $f'(x) = (f(x))'$  for each  $f \in Map(X, R_\Gamma)$ . Then it can be easily checked that  $f'$  is pseudo inverse of  $f$  and  $f' \in Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  for each  $f \in Map(X, R_\Gamma)$ . Thus,  $Map(X, R_\Gamma)$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

The proofs of the next two propositions are quite easy so we omit the proofs.

**Proposition 2.10.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring, then  $R_\Gamma[x]$  the set of all polynomials over  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring.*

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $R_{\Gamma_1}$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma_1$ -semiring and  $R_{\Gamma_2}$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma_2$ -semiring. Then  $R_\Gamma = R_{\Gamma_1} \times R_{\Gamma_2} = \{(r, s) : r \in R_{\Gamma_1}, s \in R_{\Gamma_2}\}$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -semiring.*

### 3. COMMUTATORS OF ADDITIVELY REGULAR $\Gamma$ -SEMIRINGS

In this section, we introduce the concept of  $\alpha$ -commutator for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings and generalize some results of commutators of rings.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $\alpha$  be a fixed element of  $\Gamma$ . We define  $\alpha$ -commutator as a mapping  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\alpha : R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y + (y\alpha x)' = x\alpha y + y'\alpha x = x\alpha y + y\alpha x'$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma$ . Then  $[x, y]_\alpha$  is called  $\alpha$ -commutator of  $x, y$ .

For convenience, we denote  $x + x'$  by  $x_\circ$  for each  $x \in R_\Gamma$ . Then clearly  $x_\circ + x_\circ = x_\circ = x'_\circ$ ;  $x + x_\circ = x$  and  $x' + x_\circ = x'$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring, then  $(x\gamma y)_\circ = x_\circ\gamma y = x\gamma y_\circ = x_\circ\gamma y_\circ = y_\circ\gamma x_\circ = (y\gamma x)_\circ \forall x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By using Lemma 2.6, we have  $(x\gamma y)_\circ = x\gamma y + x'\gamma y = x_\circ\gamma y$ . Similarly,  $(x\gamma y)_\circ = x\gamma y_\circ$ . Now,  $x_\circ\gamma y_\circ = (x + x')\gamma (y + y') = x\gamma y + x\gamma y' + x'\gamma y + x'\gamma y' = x\gamma y + x\gamma y' + x'\gamma y + x\gamma y = x\gamma y + x'\gamma y = x_\circ\gamma y$ . Similarly,  $y\gamma x_\circ = y_\circ\gamma x = y_\circ\gamma x_\circ = (y\gamma x)_\circ$ . By  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition, we have  $x_\circ = x + x' \in Z(R_\Gamma)$ . Thus  $x_\circ\gamma y = y\gamma x_\circ$ . Hence  $(x\gamma y)_\circ = x_\circ\gamma y = x\gamma y_\circ = x_\circ\gamma y_\circ = y_\circ\gamma x_\circ = (y\gamma x)_\circ$ . ■

In the next Theorem, we generalize some basic commutator identities of rings for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then for all  $x, y, z, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the following identities hold:*

- (i)  $[x + y, z]_\alpha = [x, z]_\alpha + [y, z]_\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $[x, y + z]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha$ .
- (iii)  $[x, 0_{R_\Gamma}]_\alpha = [0_{R_\Gamma}, x]_\alpha = 0_{R_\Gamma}$ .
- (iv)  $[x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2]_\alpha = [x_1, y_1]_\alpha + [x_1, y_2]_\alpha + [x_2, y_1]_\alpha + [x_2, y_2]_\alpha$ .
- (v)  $([x, y]_\alpha)' = [y, x]_\alpha = [x, y']_\alpha = [x', y]_\alpha$ . (*Anti-commutativity*)
- (vi)  $[[x, y]_\alpha, z]_\beta = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + z \beta [y, x]_\alpha$ .
- (vii)  $[nx, y]_\alpha = n[x, y]_\alpha$ , for any positive integer  $n$ .

**Proof.** One can easily prove the identities (i) to (iv) by using Definition 3.1.

(v) By Lemma 2.6 and Definition 3.1, we have  $([x, y]_\alpha)' = (x\alpha y + y'\alpha x)' = x'\alpha y + y\alpha x = [y, x]_\alpha$ . Again,  $([x, y]_\alpha)' = (x\alpha y + y'\alpha x)' = x\alpha y' + y'\alpha x' = [x, y']_\alpha$ . Now,  $[x', y]_\alpha = x'\alpha y + y\alpha x'' = x'\alpha y + y\alpha x = [y, x]_\alpha$ .

(vi) Using Definition 3.1 and (v), we have  $[[x, y]_\alpha, z]_\beta = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + z \beta ([x, y]_\alpha)' = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + z \beta [y, x]_\alpha$ .

(vii) By Lemma 2.6 and Definition 3.1, we have  $[nx, y]_\alpha = nx\alpha y + y'\alpha nx = n(x\alpha y + y'\alpha x) = n[x, y]_\alpha$ . ■

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then for all  $x, y, z, u \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , the following identities are valid:*

- (i)  $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + y\beta [x, z]_\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha \beta y$ .
- (iii)  $[x\beta y, z\gamma u]_\alpha = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha \gamma u + [x, z]_\alpha \beta y \gamma u + z\gamma x\beta [y, u]_\alpha + z\gamma [x, u]_\alpha \beta y$ .

**Proof.** (i) By assumption (\*) and Definition 3.1, we have  $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = x\alpha y\beta z + y\beta z\alpha x' = x\alpha y\beta z + y\beta z\alpha (x' + x) + y\beta z\alpha x' = x\alpha y\beta z + y\beta (x' + x)\alpha z + y\beta z\alpha x' = x\alpha y\beta z + y\beta x'\alpha z + y\beta x\alpha z + y\beta z\alpha x' = x\alpha y\beta z + y\alpha x'\beta z + y\beta x\alpha z + y\beta z\alpha x' = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + y\beta [x, z]_\alpha$ .

Similarly we can prove (ii).

(iii) By using Definition 3.1, Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2 and assumption (\*) we have  $[x\beta y, z\gamma u]_\alpha = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + (z' + z + z')\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + z\gamma u\alpha x\beta y = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + z\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + (z\gamma u)\alpha x\beta y = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + (z\gamma u)\alpha x\beta y + x\beta (z\gamma u)\alpha y = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + z\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + x\beta z\gamma u\alpha y = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + z\gamma x\alpha u\beta y + x\beta z\alpha (y\gamma u)\alpha = x\beta y\alpha z\gamma u + z'\gamma u\alpha x\beta y + z\gamma x\alpha u\beta y + z\gamma x\alpha (u' + u)\beta y + x\beta z\alpha y\gamma u = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha \gamma u + z\gamma u\alpha x'\beta y + z\gamma x\alpha u\beta y + z\gamma x\beta u'\alpha y + z\gamma x\beta y\alpha u + z\gamma x\beta y\alpha u' + x\beta z\alpha y\gamma u = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha \gamma u + z\gamma [x, u]_\alpha \beta y + z\gamma x\beta [y, u]_\alpha + z\alpha x'\beta y\gamma u + x\alpha z\beta y\gamma u = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha \gamma u + [x, z]_\alpha \beta y\gamma u + z\gamma x\beta [y, u]_\alpha + z\gamma [x, u]_\alpha \beta y$ . ■

Note that by assumption (\*), we have  $[x, y]_\alpha \beta z = [x, y]_\beta \alpha z$  and  $x\alpha[y, z]_\beta = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha$  for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Now, we generalize the Jacobian identity of rings for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings which might be useful to develop Lie type theory for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring, then  $[x, [y, z]_\alpha]_\beta + [y, [z, x]_\alpha]_\beta = [[x, y]_\alpha, z]_\beta$  holds for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Using Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2, Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3(v), we have  $[x, [y, z]_\alpha]_\beta = ([y, z]_\alpha, x)_\beta' = [z, y]_\alpha \beta x + x\beta[y, z]_\alpha$  for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Similarly  $[y, [z, x]_\alpha]_\beta = [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + y\beta[z, x]_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $[x, [y, z]_\alpha]_\beta + [y, [z, x]_\alpha]_\beta = [z, y]_\alpha \beta x + x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + y\beta[z, x]_\alpha = z\alpha y \beta x + y'\alpha z \beta x + x\beta y \alpha z + x\beta z'\alpha y + x\alpha z \beta y + z'\alpha x \beta y + y\beta z \alpha x + y\beta x'\alpha z = (x\beta y \alpha z + y\beta x'\alpha z + z\alpha y \beta x + z'\alpha x \beta y) + (y'\alpha z \beta x + y\beta z \alpha x) + (x\beta z'\alpha y + x\alpha z \beta y) = (x\beta y \alpha z + y\beta x'\alpha z + z\alpha y \beta x + z\alpha x \beta y') + (y\beta z \alpha x + x\beta z \alpha y) + (z\alpha y \beta x + y\beta z \alpha x) + (y\beta x'\alpha z + z\alpha x \beta y') = (x\beta y \alpha z + x\beta y \alpha z_\circ) + (z\alpha y \beta x + z\alpha y_\circ \beta x) + (y\beta x'\alpha z + z\alpha x \beta y') = x\beta y \alpha z + z\alpha y \beta x + y\beta x'\alpha z + z\alpha x \beta y' = x\alpha y \beta z + y\alpha x'\beta z + z\beta y \alpha x + z\beta x \alpha y' = [[x, y]_\alpha, z]_\beta. ■$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring, then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , the following identities hold:*

- (i)  $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta + [y\alpha z, x]_\beta + [z\alpha x, y]_\beta = [x, [y, z]_\alpha]_\beta + [y, [z, x]_\alpha]_\beta + [z, [x, y]_\alpha]_\beta$ .
- (ii)  $[x\alpha y \beta z, u]_\gamma = x\alpha y \beta [z, u]_\gamma + x\alpha [y, u]_\gamma \beta z + [x, u]_\gamma \alpha y \beta z$ .
- (iii)  $[x, y\beta z \gamma u]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z \gamma u + y\beta [x, z]_\alpha \gamma u + y\beta z \gamma [x, u]_\alpha$ .

**Proof.** (i) By using Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.3(v) and Theorem 3.4(ii),  $[x, [y, z]_\alpha]_\beta + [y, [z, x]_\alpha]_\beta + [z, [x, y]_\alpha]_\beta = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + ([y, z]_\alpha)' \beta x + y\beta[z, x]_\alpha + ([z, x]_\alpha)' \beta y + z\beta[x, y]_\alpha + ([x, y]_\alpha)' \beta z = x\alpha[y, z]_\beta + [z, y]_\beta \alpha x + y\alpha[z, x]_\beta + [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + z\alpha[x, y]_\beta + [y, x]_\beta \alpha z = [x\alpha y, z]_\beta + [y\alpha z, x]_\beta + [z\alpha x, y]_\beta$ .

(ii) By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have  $x\alpha y \beta [z, u]_\gamma + x\alpha [y, u]_\gamma \beta z + [x, u]_\gamma \alpha y \beta z = x\alpha y \beta z \gamma u + x\alpha y \beta u' \gamma z + x\alpha y \gamma u \beta z + x\alpha u' \gamma y \beta z + x\gamma u \alpha y \beta z + u' \gamma x \alpha y \beta z = x\alpha y \beta z \gamma u + x\alpha y \beta u_\circ \gamma z + x\alpha u_\circ \gamma y \beta z + u' \gamma x \alpha y \beta z = x\alpha y \beta z \gamma u + x\alpha y \beta z \gamma u_\circ + u_\circ \alpha x \gamma y \beta z + u' \gamma x \alpha y \beta z = x\alpha y \beta z \gamma u + u' \gamma x \alpha y \beta z = [x\alpha y \beta z, u]_\gamma$ .

Similarly we can prove (iii). ■

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring, then for all  $x, y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \in \Gamma$ , the following identities are valid:*

- (i)  $[x, y_1 \beta_1 y_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} y_n]_\alpha = [x, y_1]_\alpha \beta_1 y_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} y_n + y_1 \beta_1 [x, y_2]_\alpha \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} y_n + \cdots + y_1 \beta_1 y_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} [x, y_n]_\alpha$
- (ii)  $[x_1 \beta_1 x_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} x_n, y]_\alpha = x_1 \beta_1 x_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} [x_n, y]_\alpha + x_1 \beta_1 x_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-2} [x_{n-1}, y]_\alpha \beta_{n-1} x_n + \cdots + [x_1, y]_\alpha \beta_1 x_2 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1} x_n$ .

**Proof.** (i) We will prove the result by using induction on  $n$ , the result is already true for  $n = 2, 3$  from Theorem 3.4(i) and Theorem 3.6(iii). Now assume that the result is true for  $n = k - 1$ , i.e.,  $[x, y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1}]_\alpha = [x, y_1]_\alpha\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1} + y_1\beta_1[x, y_2]_\alpha\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1} + \cdots + y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}[x, y_{k-1}]_\alpha$ . For  $n = k$ , by using Theorem 3.4(i) and induction hypothesis, we have  $[x, y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-1}y_k]_\alpha = [x, (y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1})\beta_{k-1}y_k]_\alpha = [x, y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1}]_\alpha\beta_{k-1}y_k + y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-2}y_{k-1}\beta_{k-1}[x, y_k]_\alpha = [x, y_1]_\alpha\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-1}y_k + y_1\beta_1[x, y_2]_\alpha\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-1}y_k + \cdots + y_1\beta_1y_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-1}[x, y_k]_\alpha$ .

Similarly we can prove (ii). ■

The following identities are generalizations of commutator identities of ring theory (c.f. [1, 4]).

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , the following identities hold:*

- (i)  $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta + [y\alpha z, x]_\beta = [y, z\alpha x]_\beta$
- (ii)  $[x\alpha y\beta z, u]_\gamma + [y\alpha z\beta u, x]_\gamma + [z\alpha u\beta x, y]_\gamma = [z, u\alpha x\beta y]_\gamma$ .

**Proof.** (i) By using Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, (i) becomes  $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta + [y\alpha z, x]_\beta = x\alpha y\beta z + z'\beta x\alpha y + y\alpha z\beta x + x\beta y\alpha z' = z'\beta x\alpha y + y\alpha z\beta x + x\beta y\alpha z_0 = z'\beta x\alpha y + y\alpha z\beta x + z_0\beta x\alpha y = y\alpha z\beta x + z'\beta x\alpha y = y\beta z\alpha x + z'\alpha x\beta y = [y, z\alpha x]_\beta$ .

(ii) By Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, (ii) reduces to  $[x\alpha y\beta z, u]_\gamma + [y\alpha z\beta u, x]_\gamma + [z\alpha u\beta x, y]_\gamma = x\alpha y\beta z\gamma u + u'\gamma x\alpha y\beta z + y\alpha z\beta u\gamma x + x\gamma y\alpha z\beta u' + z\alpha u\beta x\gamma y + y'\gamma z\alpha u\beta x = x\alpha y\beta z\gamma u_0 + u'\gamma x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha u\beta x\gamma y + y_0\alpha z\beta u\gamma x = u_0\gamma x\alpha y\beta z + u'\gamma x\alpha y\beta z + z\beta u\gamma x\alpha y_0 + z\beta u\gamma x\alpha y = u'\gamma x\alpha y\beta z + z\beta u\gamma x\alpha y = u'\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + z\gamma u\alpha x\beta y = [z, u\alpha x\beta y]_\gamma$ . ■

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed element of  $\Gamma$ . Then we define  $\alpha$ -Jordan product as  $(x \circ y)_\alpha = x\alpha y + y\alpha x$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the following  $\alpha$ -Jordan identities hold:*

- (i)  $(x \circ y)_\alpha = (y \circ x)_\alpha$
- (ii)  $((x + y) \circ z)_\alpha = (x \circ z)_\alpha + (y \circ z)_\alpha$
- (iii)  $[(x \circ y)_\alpha, z]_\beta + [(y \circ z)_\alpha, x]_\beta = [y, (z \circ x)_\alpha]_\beta$ .

**Proof.** The proofs of identities (i) and (ii) are quite obvious.

(iii) By Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Definition 3.9, we have  $[(x \circ y)_\alpha, z]_\beta + [(y \circ z)_\alpha, x]_\beta = (x\alpha y + y\alpha x)\beta z + z\beta(x\alpha y' + y'\alpha x) + (y\alpha z + z\alpha y)\beta x + x\beta(y'\alpha z + z\alpha y') = y\alpha z\beta x + y\alpha x\beta z + x\alpha y\beta z + x\alpha y'\beta z + z\beta y'\alpha x + z\beta y\alpha x + z\beta x\alpha y' + x\alpha z\beta y' = y\alpha z\beta x + y\alpha x\beta z + x\alpha y_0\beta z + z\beta y_0\alpha x + z\alpha x\beta y' + x\alpha z\beta y' =$



$$y\beta z\alpha x + y\circ\beta z\alpha x + y\beta x\alpha z + y\circ\beta x\alpha z + (z\circ x)_\alpha\beta y' = y\beta(z\alpha x + x\alpha z) + (z\circ x)_\alpha\beta y' = [y, (z\circ x)_\alpha]_\beta. \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the  $\alpha$ -Jordan identity  $(x \circ [y, z]_\beta)_\alpha + ([x, z]_\beta \circ y)_\alpha = [(x \circ y)_\alpha, z]_\beta$  holds.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.9, the left hand side reduces to  $(x \circ [y, z]_\beta)_\alpha + ([x, z]_\beta \circ y)_\alpha = (x \circ (y\beta z + z'\beta y))_\alpha + ((x\beta z + z'\beta x) \circ y)_\alpha = x\alpha y\beta z + x\alpha z'\beta y + y\beta z\alpha x + z'\beta y\alpha x + x\beta z\alpha y + z'\beta x\alpha y + y\alpha x\beta z + y\alpha z'\beta = (x\alpha y + y\alpha x)\beta z + x\alpha(z + z')\beta y + y\beta(z + z')\alpha x + z'\beta y\alpha x + z'\beta x\alpha y = (x \circ y)_\alpha\beta z + z\circ\beta x\alpha y + z\circ\beta y\alpha x + z'\beta x\alpha y + z'\beta y\alpha x = (x \circ y)_\alpha\beta z + z'\beta(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = [(x \circ y)_\alpha, z]_\beta. \blacksquare$

4. DERIVATIONS OF ADDITIVELY REGULAR  $\Gamma$ -SEMIRING

In this section, we introduce the concept of derivation and inner derivation in additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Also, we establish the relationships between commutators and derivations of additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings.

**Definition 4.1.** A map  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  is called a derivation of additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  if  $d$  is additive and  $d$  satisfies  $d(x\gamma y) = d(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let  $R$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Take  $R_\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in R \right\}$  and  $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in R \right\}$ . Define a map  $R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $(x, \gamma, y) \mapsto x\gamma y \forall x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring under the usual multiplication of matrices. Define  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $d\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $d$  is a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then by Proposition 2.10,  $R_\Gamma[x]$  is also an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. We define  $d : R_\Gamma[x] \rightarrow R_\Gamma[x]$  by  $d(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots) = a_1 + 2a_2x + 3a_3x^2 + \dots$  for all  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots \in R_\Gamma[x]$ . Then  $d$  is a derivation on  $R_\Gamma[x]$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $a$  be a fixed element of  $R_\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$  be a fixed element of  $\Gamma$ . Define  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  by  $d(x) = [a, x]_\alpha$ , for all  $x \in R_\Gamma$ . The function  $d$  so defined can be easily checked to be additive and  $d(x\gamma y) = [a, x\gamma y]_\alpha = x\gamma[a, y]_\alpha + [a, x]_\alpha\gamma y = x\gamma d(y) + d(x)\gamma y$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus,  $d$  is a derivation which is called inner derivation of  $R_\Gamma$  determined by  $a$  and  $\alpha$ .

**Remark 4.5.** For our convenience, we denote  $d([x, y]_\beta) = [a, [x, y]_\beta]_\alpha$  by  $[x, y]_\beta^d$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d$  is a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ , then*

- (i)  $d(x') = (d(x))' \forall x \in R_\Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $d' : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  is also a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d$  is a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ , then  $d(x\gamma y) = d(x'\gamma y')$ ,  $\forall x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then by using Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 4.6(i), we have  $d(x'\gamma y') = d(x')\gamma y' + x'\gamma d(y') = (d(x))'\gamma y' + x'\gamma(d(y))' = d(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d(y) = d(x\gamma y)$ . ■

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring,  $a \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $d$  is an inner derivation determined by  $a$  and  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $d(x) = [a, x]_\alpha$ , for all  $x \in R_\Gamma$ , then  $[x\beta y, z]_\gamma^d + [y\beta z, x]_\gamma^d = [y, z\beta x]_\gamma^d$  for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Remark 4.5, the left hand side reduces to  $[x\beta y, z]_\gamma^d + [y\beta z, x]_\gamma^d = [a, [x\beta y, z]_\gamma]_\alpha + [a, [y\beta z, x]_\gamma]_\alpha = \alpha\alpha(x\beta y\gamma z + z'\gamma x\beta y) + (x\beta y\gamma z + z'\gamma x\beta y)\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x + x'\gamma y\beta z) + (y\beta z\gamma x + x'\gamma y\beta z)\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha(x+x')\beta y\gamma z + \alpha\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + (x+x')\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha y\beta z\gamma x + y\beta z\gamma x\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha y\gamma z\beta(x+x_\circ) + y\gamma z\beta(x+x_\circ)\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha z'\beta x\gamma y + z'\beta x\gamma y\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha y\gamma z\beta x + y\gamma z\beta x\alpha\alpha' = [y, z\beta x]_\gamma^d$ . ■

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring,  $a \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $d$  be an inner derivation determined by  $a$  and  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $d(x) = [a, x]_\alpha$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma$ . Then for all  $x, y, z, u \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , the following identities are valid:*

- (i)  $[x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta^d = (x\beta y\gamma[z, u]_\delta)^d + (x\beta[y, u]_\delta\gamma z)^d + ([x, u]_\delta\beta y\gamma z)^d$ .
- (ii)  $[x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta^d + [y\beta z\gamma u, x]_\delta^d + [z\beta u\gamma x, y]_\delta^d = [z, u\beta x\gamma y]_\delta^d$ .

**Proof.** (i) Taking right hand side of (i) and by using Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Remark 4.5, we get  $(x\beta y\gamma[z, u]_\delta)^d + (x\beta[y, u]_\delta\gamma z)^d + ([x, u]_\delta\beta y\gamma z)^d = [a, x\beta y\gamma[z, u]_\delta]_\alpha + [a, x\beta[y, u]_\delta\gamma z]_\alpha + [a, [x, u]_\delta\beta y\gamma z]_\alpha = \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma z\delta u + \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma u\delta z' + x\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + x\beta y\gamma u\delta z'\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha x\beta y\delta u\gamma z + \alpha\alpha x\beta u'\delta y\gamma z + x\beta y\delta u\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + x\beta u'\delta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha x\delta u\beta y\gamma z + \alpha\alpha u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z + x\delta u\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma z\delta u + \alpha\alpha u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z + x\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma u\delta z_\circ + x\beta y\gamma u\delta z_\circ\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha x\beta u_\circ\delta y\gamma z + x\beta u_\circ\delta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma z\delta u + \alpha\alpha u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z + x\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma z_\circ\delta u + x\beta y\gamma z_\circ\delta u\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha u_\circ\beta x\delta y\gamma z + u_\circ\beta x\delta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' = \alpha\alpha x\beta y\gamma z\delta u + x\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + \alpha\alpha u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z + u'\delta x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' = [a, [x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta]_\alpha = [x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta^d$ .

(ii) Taking left hand side of (ii) and by using Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1 and Remark 4.5, we have  $[x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta^d + [y\beta z\gamma u, x]_\delta^d + [z\beta u\gamma x, y]_\delta^d = [a, [x\beta y\gamma z, u]_\delta]_\alpha +$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [a, [y\beta z\gamma u, x]_\delta]_\alpha + [a, [z\beta u\gamma x, y]_\delta]_\alpha = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z\delta u + a\alpha u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z + x\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + \\
& u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\beta z\gamma u\delta x + a\alpha x'\delta y\beta z\gamma u + y\beta z\gamma u\delta x\alpha\alpha' + x'\delta y\beta z\gamma u\alpha\alpha' + \\
& a\alpha z\beta u\gamma x\delta y + a\alpha y'\delta z\beta u\gamma x + z\beta u\gamma x\delta y\alpha\alpha' + y'\delta z\beta u\gamma x\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha x_\circ\beta y\gamma z\delta u + \\
& a\alpha y_\circ\beta z\gamma u\delta x + a\alpha u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z\beta u\gamma x\delta y + x_\circ\beta y\gamma z\delta u\alpha\alpha' + y_\circ\beta z\gamma u\delta x\alpha\alpha' + \\
& u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z\beta u\gamma x\delta y\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha u\delta x_\circ\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z\gamma u\delta x\beta y_\circ + \\
& a\alpha z\gamma u\delta x\beta y + u\delta x_\circ\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma u\delta x\beta y_\circ\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma u\delta x\beta y\alpha\alpha' = \\
& a\alpha u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z\gamma u\delta x\beta y + u\delta x'\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma u\delta x\beta y\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha(u\beta x'\gamma y\delta z + \\
& z\delta u\beta x\gamma y) + (u\beta x'\gamma y\delta z + z\delta u\beta x\gamma y)\alpha\alpha' = [z, u\beta x\gamma y]_\delta^d. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

The next Theorem is the generalization of Jordan identity.

**Theorem 4.10.** *If  $R_\Gamma$  is an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring,  $a \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $d$  is an inner derivation determined by  $a$  and  $\alpha$ , then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , the following identities hold:*

- (i)  $[(x \circ y)_\beta, z]_\gamma^d + [(y \circ z)_\beta, x]_\gamma^d = [y, (z \circ x)_\beta]_\gamma^d$ .
- (ii)  $((x \circ [y, z]_\gamma)_\beta)^d + (([x, z]_\gamma \circ y)_\beta)^d = [(x \circ y)_\beta, z]_\gamma^d$ .

**Proof.** (i) Using Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1, Definition 3.9 and Remark 4.5, the left hand side of (i) becomes  $[(x \circ y)_\beta, z]_\gamma^d + [(y \circ z)_\beta, x]_\gamma^d = [a, [(x \circ y)_\beta, z]_\gamma]_\alpha + [a, [(y \circ z)_\beta, x]_\gamma]_\alpha = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + a\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + a\alpha z'\gamma y\beta x + x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma y\beta x\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\beta z\gamma x + a\alpha z\beta y\gamma x + a\alpha x'\gamma y\beta z + a\alpha x'\gamma z\beta y + y\beta z\gamma x\alpha\alpha' + z\beta y\gamma x\alpha\alpha' + x'\gamma y\beta z\alpha\alpha' + x'\gamma z\beta y\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + a\alpha y\beta z\gamma x + a\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + a\alpha x'\gamma z\beta y + y\beta z\gamma x\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + x'\gamma z\beta y\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha x_\circ\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z_\circ\gamma y\beta x + x_\circ\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z_\circ\gamma y\beta x\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + a\alpha y\beta z\gamma x + a\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + a\alpha x'\gamma z\beta y + y\beta z\gamma x\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + x'\gamma z\beta y\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\gamma z\beta x_\circ + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z_\circ + y\gamma z\beta x_\circ\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z_\circ\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha y\beta z\gamma x + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + a\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + a\alpha x'\gamma z\beta y + y\beta z\gamma x\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + x'\gamma z\beta y\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha y\gamma z\beta x + a\alpha y\gamma x\beta z + a\alpha z\beta x\gamma y' + a\alpha x\beta z\gamma y' + y\gamma z\beta x\alpha\alpha' + y\gamma x\beta z\alpha\alpha' + z\beta x\gamma y'\alpha\alpha' + x\beta z\gamma y'\alpha\alpha' = [y, (z \circ x)_\beta]_\gamma^d.$

(ii) Using Lemma 2.6, Definition 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Definition 3.9 and Remark 4.5, we have  $((x \circ [y, z]_\gamma)_\beta)^d + (([x, z]_\gamma \circ y)_\beta)^d = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha x\beta z\gamma y' + a\alpha y\gamma z\beta x + a\alpha z\gamma y'\beta x + x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + x\beta z\gamma y'\alpha\alpha' + y\gamma z\beta x\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma y'\beta x\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha x\gamma z\beta y + a\alpha z\gamma x'\beta y + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + a\alpha y\beta z\gamma x' + x\gamma z\beta y\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma x'\beta y\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + y\beta z\gamma x'\alpha\alpha' = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z\gamma x'\beta y + a\alpha z\gamma y'\beta x + x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + z\gamma x'\beta y\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma y'\beta x\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\gamma z\beta x_\circ + x\beta z\gamma y_\circ\alpha\alpha' + y\gamma z\beta x_\circ\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha x\beta z\gamma y_\circ = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha z\gamma x'\beta y + a\alpha z\gamma y'\beta x + x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + z\gamma x'\beta y\alpha\alpha' + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + z\gamma y'\beta x\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha x_\circ\beta y\gamma z + y_\circ\gamma x\beta z\alpha\alpha' + x_\circ\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha y_\circ\gamma x\beta z = a\alpha x\beta y\gamma z + a\alpha y\beta x\gamma z + y\beta x\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + x\beta y\gamma z\alpha\alpha' + a\alpha z'\gamma x\beta y + a\alpha z'\gamma y\beta x + z'\gamma x\beta y\alpha\alpha' + z'\gamma y\beta x\alpha\alpha' = [(x \circ y)_\beta, z]_\gamma^d. \quad \blacksquare$

Next, we define a symmetric map.

**Definition 4.11.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then a mapping  $B : R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  is said to be symmetric, if  $B(x, \gamma, y) = B(y, \gamma, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 4.12.** A mapping  $f : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  defined by  $f(x) = B(x, \gamma, x)$  is called trace of  $B$ . Further, for an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  and derivation  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$ , we define a map  $B_d : R_\Gamma \times \Gamma \times R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  corresponding to derivation  $d$  as  $B_d(x, \gamma, y) = [d(x), y]_\gamma + [d(y), x]_\gamma$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

The following proposition shows that the mapping  $B_d$  is symmetric.

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  is a derivation, then  $B_d$  is symmetric.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is trace of  $B_d$ , then  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2B_d(x, \gamma, y)$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** (i) By Definition 4.11 and Definition 4.12, we have  $B_d(x, \gamma, y) = [d(x), y]_\gamma + [d(y), x]_\gamma = [d(y), x]_\gamma + [d(x), y]_\gamma = B_d(y, \gamma, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . This shows that  $B_d$  is symmetric.

(ii) As  $d : R_\Gamma \rightarrow R_\Gamma$  is a derivation, hence by Definition 4.12, we have  $f(x+y) = B_d(x+y, \gamma, x+y) = [d(x+y), x+y]_\gamma + [d(x+y), x+y]_\gamma = [d(x), x]_\gamma + [d(x), x]_\gamma + [d(y), y]_\gamma + [d(y), y]_\gamma + 2([d(x), y]_\gamma + [d(y), x]_\gamma) = B_d(x, \gamma, x) + B_d(y, \gamma, y) + 2B_d(x, \gamma, y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2B_d(x, \gamma, y)$ . ■

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R_\Gamma$  into itself. Then for all  $x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $B_d(x, \gamma, z)\beta y + x\beta B_d(y, \gamma, z) = [z, d(x)]_\gamma \beta y' + x'\beta [z, d(y)]_\gamma + [d(z), x\beta y]_\gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6, Definition 4.12, Theorem 3.4(i) and Theorem 3.3(v), we have  $B_d(x, \gamma, z)\beta y + x\beta B_d(y, \gamma, z) = [d(x), z]_\gamma \beta y + [d(z), x]_\gamma \beta y + x\beta [d(y), z]_\gamma + x\beta [d(z), y]_\gamma = [d(x), z']_\gamma \beta y' + x'\beta [d(y), z]_\gamma + [d(z), x]_\gamma \beta y + x\beta [d(z), y]_\gamma = [z, d(x)]_\gamma \beta y' + x'\beta [z, d(y)]_\gamma + [d(z), x\beta y]_\gamma$ . ■

**Theorem 4.15.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R_\Gamma$  into itself. Then  $B_d(x\beta y, \gamma, z) = B_d(x, \gamma, z)\beta y + x\beta B_d(y, \gamma, z) + d(x)\beta [y, z]_\gamma + [x, z]_\gamma \beta d(y) \forall x, y, z \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By Definition 4.12, Lemma 2.6, Theorem 3.4(i) and Theorem 3.3, we have  $B_d(x\beta y, \gamma, z) = [d(x\beta y), z]_\gamma + [d(z), x\beta y]_\gamma = [d(x)\beta y, z]_\gamma + [x\beta d(y), z]_\gamma + [d(z), x\beta y]_\gamma = [z, d(x)\beta y']_\gamma + [z, x'\beta d(y)]_\gamma + [d(z), x\beta y]_\gamma = [z, d(x)]_\gamma \beta y' + x'\beta [z, d(y)]_\gamma + d(x)\beta [y, z]_\gamma + [x, z]_\gamma \beta d(y) + [d(z), x]_\gamma \beta y + x\beta [d(z), y]_\gamma = B_d(x, \gamma, z)\beta y + x\beta B_d(y, \gamma, z) + d(x)\beta [y, z]_\gamma + [x, z]_\gamma \beta d(y)$ . ■

**Proposition 4.16.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring with characteristic 2 and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R_\Gamma$  into itself. Then  $d^2$  is again a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $d$  be a derivation on  $R_\Gamma$ . Then clearly  $d^2$  is additive and  $d^2(x\gamma y) = d(d(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d(y)) = d(d(x))\gamma y + 2d(x)\gamma d(y) + x\gamma d(d(y)) = d^2(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d^2(y)$ . ■

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring such that  $[x, y]_\gamma = 0 \forall x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative.*

The proof of this lemma is quite easy so we omit the proof.

**Definition 4.18.** An additive mapping  $f$  of an additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is said to be centralizing if  $[[f(x), x]_\alpha, y]_\beta = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Moreover,  $f$  is said to be commuting if  $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Remark 4.19.** Let  $f$  be a centralizing map on a prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$ . Then  $[[f(x), x]_\alpha, y]_\beta = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , that is,  $[f(x), x]_\alpha \beta y + (y' + y)\beta [f(x), x]_\alpha = y\beta [f(x), x]_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . So,  $(A_2, \Gamma)$ -condition implies that  $[f(x), x]_\alpha$  belongs to the centre of  $R_\Gamma$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Moreover, the definition of  $f$  forces  $[[f(x), x]_\alpha, x]_\beta = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , that is, for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  we have

$0 = [f(x), x]_\alpha \beta x + x' \beta [f(x), x]_\alpha = [f(x), x]_\alpha \beta (x + x')$ , since  $[f(x), x]_\alpha$  belongs to the centre of  $R_\Gamma$ .

Hence,  $[f(x), x]_\alpha \Gamma (R_\Gamma + R'_\Gamma) = (0)$  leading to  $[f(x), x]_\alpha \Gamma R_\Gamma = (0)$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$  as  $R'_\Gamma$  is contained in  $R_\Gamma$ . Therefore,  $[f(x), x]_\alpha \Gamma R_\Gamma \Gamma 1 = (0)$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . By using primeness of  $R_\Gamma$  we can conclude that  $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore, every centralizing mapping of a prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  is also commuting.

**Theorem 4.20.** *Let  $d$  be a non-zero derivation of prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  such that  $d([x, y]_\gamma) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $R_\Gamma$  such that  $d([x, y]_\gamma) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then by using Definitions 3.1 and 4.1, we are left with

$$(i) \quad [d(x), y]_\gamma + [x, d(y)]_\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

By replacing  $y$  by  $y\beta x$  in (i) and then using Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.4, Definition 4.1 and equation (i), we get  $0 = [d(x), y\beta x]_\gamma + [x, d(y\beta x)]_\gamma = d(y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma + [x, y]_\gamma \beta d(x)$ . So,

$$(ii) \quad d(y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma + [x, y]_\gamma \beta d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $r\alpha y$  in (ii) and then by using Theorem 3.4, Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2, equations (i) and (ii), we have  $0 = d(r\alpha y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma + [x, r\alpha y]_\gamma \beta d(x) = [x, r]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d(x) + r\alpha [x, y]_\gamma \beta d(x) + d(r)\alpha y \beta [x, x]_\gamma + r\alpha d(y)\beta [x, x]_\gamma = (x\gamma r + r'\gamma x)\alpha y \beta d(x) + r_\circ \gamma x \alpha y \beta d(x) + d(r)\alpha y \beta x \gamma x_\circ = [x, r]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d(x) + d(r)\gamma(x + x')\alpha y \beta x + r\gamma x \alpha y \beta d(x) + r'\gamma x \alpha y \beta d(x) + r_\circ \gamma x \alpha y \beta d(x) = [x, r]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d(x) + d(r)\gamma(x + x_\circ)\alpha y \beta x +$

$d(r)\gamma x'\alpha y\beta x + r_\circ\gamma x\alpha y\beta d(x) + (d(x))'\gamma r\alpha y\beta x + d(x)\gamma r\alpha y\beta x = [x, r]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + (d(r)\gamma x + x'\gamma d(r))\alpha y\beta x + (x\gamma d(r) + d(r)\gamma x')\alpha y\beta x + (r\gamma d(x) + (d(x))'\gamma r)\alpha y\beta x + (r'\gamma d(x) + d(x)\gamma r)\alpha y\beta x = [x, r]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + ([d(r), x]_\gamma + [r, d(x)]_\gamma)\alpha y\beta x + ([x, d(r)]_\gamma + [d(x), r]_\gamma)\alpha y\beta x = [x, r]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x)$  for all  $x, y, r \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then by primeness of  $R_\Gamma$ , either  $[x, r]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x, r \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$  or  $d(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma$ . But as  $d$  is non-zero so we have  $[x, r]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x, r \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus by Lemma 4.17,  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative. ■

**Theorem 4.21.** *Let  $d$  be a non-zero derivation of prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$  such that  $[d(x), x]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** As  $0 = [d(x + y), x + y]_\gamma = [d(x), y]_\gamma + [d(y), x]_\gamma$ . Hence we have

$$(i) \quad [d(x), y]_\gamma + [d(y), x]_\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

By replacing  $y$  by  $y\beta x$  in (i) and then using Theorem 3.4 and equation (i), we get  $0 = [d(x), y\beta x]_\gamma + [d(y\beta x), x]_\gamma = d(y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma + [y, x]_\gamma\beta d(x)$ . So,

$$(ii) \quad d(y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma + [y, x]_\gamma\beta d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R_\Gamma, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $r\alpha y$  in (ii) and then by using Theorem 3.4, Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2, equations (i) and (ii), we get  $0 = [r\alpha y, x]_\gamma\beta d(x) + d(r\alpha y)\beta[x, x]_\gamma = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + d(r)\alpha y\beta x\gamma x_\circ = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + x_\circ\gamma r\alpha y\beta d(x) + d(r)\gamma(x + x')\alpha y\beta x = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + (d(r)\gamma x + d(r)\gamma x_\circ)\alpha y\beta x + d(r)\gamma x'\alpha y\beta x + x\gamma r\alpha y\beta d(x) + x_\circ\gamma r\alpha y\beta d(x) + x'\gamma r\alpha y\beta d(x) = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + [d(r), x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta x + x\gamma d(r)\alpha y\beta x + d(r)\gamma x'\alpha y\beta x + x_\circ\gamma r\alpha y\beta d(x) + r_\circ\gamma x\alpha y\beta d(x) = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + [d(r), x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta x + [x, d(r)]_\gamma\alpha y\beta x + r_\circ\gamma d(x)\alpha y\beta x + d(x)\gamma r_\circ\alpha y\beta x = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x) + ([d(r), x]_\gamma + [d(x), r]_\gamma)\alpha y\beta x + ([r, d(x)]_\gamma + [x, d(r)]_\gamma)\alpha y\beta x = [r, x]_\gamma\alpha y\beta d(x)$  for all  $x, y, r \in R_\Gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then by primeness of  $R_\Gamma$ , either  $[r, x]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x, r \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$  or  $d(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma$ . But as  $d$  is non-zero so we have  $[r, x]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x, r \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative. ■

The next result is a generalization of Posner's second theorem for additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $R_\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.22.** *Let  $R_\Gamma$  be a prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring. If there is a non-zero centralizing derivation of  $R_\Gamma$ , then  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** Let  $R_\Gamma$  be a prime additively regular  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d$  be a non-zero centralizing derivation of  $R_\Gamma$ . Then by using Remark 4.19, we have  $[d(x), x]_\gamma = 0$  for all  $x \in R_\Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus from Theorem 4.21,  $R_\Gamma$  is commutative. ■

### Acknowledgements

The second author gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance by CSIR-UGC, New Delhi (India).

### REFERENCES

- [1] K.I. Beidar, S.C. Chang, M.A. Chebotar and Y. Fong, *On functional identities in left ideals of prime rings*, Commun. Alg. **28** (2000) 3041–3058.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870008827008>
- [2] G.M. Benkart and J.M. Osborn, *Derivations and automorphisms of non associative matrix algebras*, Trans. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981) 411–430.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1998359>
- [3] M. Bresar and J. Vukman, *Jordan derivations on prime rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **37** (1988) 321–322.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700026927>
- [4] M.A. Chebotar and P.H. Lee, *On certain subgroups of prime rings with derivations*, Commun. in Alg. **29** (7) (2001) 3083–3087.  
<https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-5008>
- [5] J.S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999).
- [6] I.N. Herstein, *Lie and Jordan structures in simple, associative rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (6) (1961) 517–531.
- [7] M. Muralikrishna Rao,  $\Gamma$ -semirings-I, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **19** (1995) 49–54.
- [8] M. Muralikrishna Rao,  $\Gamma$ -semirings-II, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **21** (1997) 281–287.
- [9] R.P. Sharma and Madhu, *On connes subgroups and graded semirings*, Vietnam J. Math. **38** (3) (2010) 287–298.
- [10] R.P. Sharma and Madhu, *Prime correspondence between a semiring  $R$  and its  $G$ -fixed semiring  $R^G$* , J. Combin. Inf. & Syst. Sci. **35** (2010) 481–499.
- [11] R.P. Sharma and T.R. Sharma,  *$G$ -prime ideals in semirings and their skew group semirings*, Commun. Alg. **34** (12) (2006) 4459–4465.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870600938738>
- [12] Z. Yang, *Derivations in prime  $\Gamma$ -rings*, J. Math. Res. Expo. **11** (1991) 565–568.

Received 5 June 2020

Revised 25 June 2020

Accepted 5 January 2021