## COMPLETION OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS\*

SERGEY A. SOLOVYOV

Department of Mathematics
University of Latvia
19 Raina Blvd., Riga LV-1586, Latvia
e-mail: sergejs@lu.lv

#### Abstract

The paper considers a generalization of the standard completion of a partially ordered set through the collection of all its lower sets.

**Keywords:** quantale module, reflective category, Q-poset.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18B99, 06B23.

## 1. Introduction

Let **Pos** be the category of partially ordered sets (posets) and orderpreserving maps and let **JCPos** be its subcategory consisting of complete lattices and join-preserving maps. It is known that the category **JCPos** is reflective in **Pos** (see, e.g., [1]). The completion of a poset goes through the collection of all its lower-sets.

Given a quantale Q one can consider the category Q-Mod of modules over Q (see, e.g., [5]). Since the categories **2-Mod** and **JCPos** are isomorphic one could ask about the generalization of the aforesaid result for an arbitrary quantale Q. We answer the question in two ways using the generalization of the category **Pos** in the latter one.

All results from Category Theory used in the paper can be found in [1].

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}\mathrm{This}$  research was supported by the European Social Fund.

# 2. Definition of the category Q-Mod

In this section we recall basic facts about the category Q-Mod motivated by the category of modules over a ring [3, 4]. Start by recalling the definition of quantale (see, e.g., [5]).

**Definition 2.1.** A quantale is a triple  $(Q, \leq, \cdot)$  such that

- (i)  $(Q, \leq)$  is a complete lattice;
- (ii)  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a semigroup;
- (iii)  $q \cdot (\bigvee S) = \bigvee_{s \in S} (q \cdot s)$  and  $(\bigvee S) \cdot q = \bigvee_{s \in S} (s \cdot q)$  for every  $q \in Q$  and every  $S \subseteq Q$ .

Given a quantale Q, denote its top (bottom) element by  $\top$  ( $\bot$ ) respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** A quantale Q is called *unital* provided that there exists an element  $e \in Q$  such that  $(Q, \cdot, e)$  is a monoid.

From now on without further references all quantales are supposed to be unital. The following are examples of quantales:

- (i)  $(2, \leq, \wedge, 1)$  where  $2 = \{0, 1\}$ ;
- (ii)  $([0,1], \leq, \wedge, 1)$  where [0,1] is the unit interval;
- (iii) ( $[0,1], \leq, \cdot, 1$ ) where  $\cdot$  is the usual multiplication;
- (iv) the chain **3** with the usual order and the map  $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} \stackrel{\cdot}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{3}$  given by the table:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \cdot & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ \end{array}$$

Notice that  $\top \neq e$ .

As in the last example we do not assume that  $\top = e$  for every quantale Q we use

Now define the category Q-Mod of quantale modules or in other words the category of enriched complete lattices over the category JCPos.

**Definition 2.3.** Given a quantale Q, define the category Q-Mod as follows:

- (i) The objects are triples  $(A, \leq, *)$  where  $(A, \leq)$  is a complete lattice and  $Q \times A \xrightarrow{*} A$  is a map such that
  - (a)  $q * (\bigvee S) = \bigvee_{s \in S} (q * s)$  for every  $q \in Q, S \subseteq A$ ;
  - (b)  $(\bigvee S) * a = \bigvee_{s \in S} (s * a)$  for every  $a \in A, S \subseteq Q$ ;
  - (c)  $q_1 * (q_2 * a) = (q_1 \cdot q_2) * a$  for every  $q_1, q_2 \in Q, a \in A$ ;
  - (d) e \* a = a for every  $a \in A$ .
- (ii) The morphisms are maps  $(A, \leqslant, *) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \leqslant, *)$  such that
  - (a)  $f(\bigvee S) = \bigvee f(S)$  for every  $S \subseteq A$ ;
  - (b) f(q\*a) = q\*f(a) for every  $a \in A, q \in Q$ .

We consider the category Q-Mod as a concrete category over  $\mathbf{Set}$  in the following way.

**Definition 2.4.** Define the forgetful functor Q-Mod  $\stackrel{U}{\longrightarrow}$  Set as follows:

$$U((A, \leqslant, *) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \leqslant, *)) = A \xrightarrow{f} B.$$

Definitions 2.3 and 2.4 yield a construct  $(Q\operatorname{-Mod}, U)$ . One can easily see that **2-Mod** is concretely isomorphic to **JCPos** (compare with integers Z in case of the category  $R\operatorname{-Mod}$  of modules over the ring R). Thus while considering the category  $Q\operatorname{-Mod}$  we study the category **JCPos** as well.

**Remark 2.1.** For  $Q = \mathbf{1}$  it follows that  $A \in \mathcal{O}b(Q\operatorname{-Mod})$  iff  $A \cong \mathbf{1}$ , i.e., **1-Mod** is equivalent to the terminal category.

The following theorem states a useful property of the category Q-Mod.

**Theorem 2.1.** The category Q-Mod is a monadic construct.

**Corollary 2.2.** The category Q-Mod is complete, cocomplete, wellpowered, extremally co-wellpowered, and has regular factorizations.

### 3. Completion of partially ordered sets

In this section we generalize the standard method of completion of posets given in the following proposition (see [1]).

Proposition 3.1. The category JCPos is reflective in Pos.

**Proof.** Given a poset A one has the complete lattice  $B_A$  of all lower-sets of A and the embedding  $A \hookrightarrow B_A : a \mapsto \downarrow a$  which is the reflection arrow for A.

We are going to generalize the result for the category Q-Mod. The first approach is as follows.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let Q be a quantale. Then the category Q-Mod is reflective in Pos.

**Proof.** Given a poset A, the reflection arrow can be constructed as follows. Define  $B_A = \{h \in Q^A \mid a \leq b \text{ implies } h(b) \leq h(a)\}$  and let  $A \xrightarrow{r} B_A : a \mapsto a$  where

$$\downarrow a: A \longrightarrow Q: b \mapsto \begin{cases} e, & b \leqslant a \\ \bot, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given a Q-Mod-object B and a Pos-morphism  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ , there exists a unique Q-Mod-morphism  $B_A \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} B$  such that  $\overline{f} \circ r = f$ , i.e.,  $\overline{f}: B_A \longrightarrow B: h \mapsto \bigvee_{a \in A} h(a) * f(a)$ .

Another approach is more sophisticated. Start with the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Given a quantale Q, define the category Q-Pos as follows:

- (i) The objects are triples  $(A, \leq, *)$  where  $(A, \leq)$  is a poset and  $Q \times A \xrightarrow{*} A$  is a map such that
  - (a) the map  $A \xrightarrow{q*} A$  is order-preserving for every  $q \in Q$ ;
  - (b) the map  $Q \xrightarrow{*a} A$  is order-preserving for every  $a \in A$ ;
  - (c)  $q_1 * (q_2 * a) = (q_1 \cdot q_2) * a$  for every  $q_1, q_2 \in Q, a \in A$ ;
  - (d) e \* a = a for every  $a \in A$ .
- (ii) The morphisms are maps  $(A,\leqslant,*) \xrightarrow{f} (B,\leqslant,*)$  such that
  - (a) f is order-preserving;
  - (b)  $q * f(a) \leq f(q * a)$  for every  $a \in A$ ,  $q \in Q$  (notice that we use a rather non-standard definition of morphisms since one would expect "=" instead of " $\leq$ ").

The objects of the category Q-**Pos** will be referred to as Q-posets. One can consider the category Q-**Pos** as a concrete category over **Set** in the following way.

**Definition 3.2.** Define the forgetful functor Q-Pos  $\xrightarrow{U}$  Set as follows:

$$U((A, \leqslant, *) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \leqslant, *)) = A \xrightarrow{f} B.$$

Definitions 3.1 and 3.2 give a construct  $(Q\text{-}\mathbf{Pos}, U)$ . One can easily see that **2-Pos** is concretely isomorphic to **Pos** (for a poset A let  $*: \mathbf{2} \times A \longrightarrow A: (q,a) \mapsto a$ ). Thus while considering the category  $Q\text{-}\mathbf{Pos}$  we study the category **Pos** as well.

Every Q-Pos-object A has the following map

$$\_ \to \_ : A \times A \longrightarrow Q : (a,b) \mapsto \bigvee \{q \in Q \, | \, q*a \leqslant b\}.$$

Consider a property of the aforesaid map. Start by recalling some preliminary notions (cf. Chapter 0–3 in [2]).

**Definition 3.3.** Let **C** be an ordered category (i.e., hom-sets are partially ordered and composition on both sides is order-preserving). A pair of **C**-morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{g} B$  is called an adjunction between A and B provided that  $id_B \leq g \circ d$  and  $d \circ g \leq id_A$ .

Notice that for every quantale Q one has the ordered category Q-Pos.

**Definition 3.4.** Let A be a Q-poset and let  $q \in Q$ . Define  $A \xrightarrow{q_A} A : a \mapsto q * a$ .

Given a Q-**Pos**-morphism  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ , it follows that  $q_B \circ f \leqslant f \circ q_A$  for every  $q \in Q$ . Moreover, Definition 3.4 gives the following characterization of adjunctions in Q-**Pos**.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $A \stackrel{g}{\underset{d}{\longleftarrow}} B$  be Q-Pos-morphisms. The following are equivalent:

- (i) (g,d) is an adjunction between A and B;
- (ii)  $q_B \leq g \circ q_A \circ d$  and  $d \circ q_B \circ g \leq q_A$  for every  $q \in Q$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If  $q \in Q$ , then  $q_B \leqslant q_B \circ (g \circ d) \leqslant g \circ q_A \circ d$  and  $d \circ q_B \circ g \leqslant (d \circ g) \circ q_A \leqslant q_A$ .

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (i) \text{ Set } q = e.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $A \xrightarrow{g} B$  be maps between Q-posets. The following are equivalent:

- (i) (g,d) is an adjunction between A and B;
- (ii) (a) d is a Q-Pos-morphism;
  - (b)  $q(a) = \max d^{-1}[\downarrow a]$  for every  $a \in A$ ;
  - (c)  $d \circ q_B \circ g \leqslant q_A$  for every  $q \in Q$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) See the proof of Theorem 0-3.2 in [2] and use Lemma 3.3.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) By Theorem 0–3.2 in [2] (g,d) is an adjunction in **Pos**. By  $q_B \circ g \leqslant (g \circ d) \circ q_B \circ g = g \circ (d \circ q_B \circ g) \leqslant g \circ q_A$ , g is a Q-**Pos**-morphism.

Now the promised property.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let A be a Q-poset and let  $a \in A$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(a \to \_, \_*a)$  is an adjunction between A and Q (and thus  $a \to (\bigwedge S) = \bigwedge_{s \in S} (a \to s)$  for every  $S \subseteq A$  such that  $\bigwedge S$  exists in A);
- (ii)  $(a \rightarrow b) * a \leq b$  for every  $b \in A$ .

Corollary 3.5 gives rise to the following definition which will be useful for us later.

**Definition 3.5.** Given a Q-poset A, say that it satisfies condition  $(\mathfrak{A})$  provided that  $(a \to b) * a \leq b$  for every  $a, b \in A$ .

Every Q-module satisfies condition  $(\mathfrak{A})$ . The situation with Q-posets, however, is different as shows the following example. Let  $\mathbf{2}$  be ordered by equality. Define  $Q \times \mathbf{2} \xrightarrow{*} \mathbf{2} : (q, a) \mapsto a$ . Then  $\mathbf{2}$  is a Q-poset which does not satisfy  $(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Return to the completion of posets.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let A be a Q-Pos-object. Then  $(b \to c) \cdot (a \to b) \leq (a \to c)$  for every  $a, b, c \in A$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward computations.

Now the main proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let Q be a quantale. Then the category Q-Mod is reflective in Q-Pos.

**Proof.** Given a Q-poset A, the reflection arrow can be constructed as follows. Define  $B_A = \{h \in Q^A \mid h(b) \cdot (a \to b) \leq h(a) \text{ for every } a, b \in A\}$ . Then  $B_A$  is a submodule of  $Q^A$  (closed under arbitrary meets) and  $h \in B_A$  iff  $h(a) = \bigvee_{b \in A} h(b) \cdot (a \to b)$  for every  $a \in A$ . Let  $A \xrightarrow{r} B_A : a \mapsto \_ \to a$ . By Lemma 3.6 the map r is correct. For the rest see Proposition 3.2.

Below are some properties of the reflection arrow  $A \xrightarrow{r} B_A$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** Let Q be completely distributive. Then r preserves all existing meets.

**Proof.** Let  $S \subseteq A$  be such that  $\bigwedge S$  exists in A and let  $a \in A$ . Show that  $a \to (\bigwedge S) = \bigwedge_{s \in S} (a \to s)$ . Set  $a \to (\bigwedge S) = \bigvee T$  and  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} (a \to s) = \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee T_s$ . It will be enough to show that  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee T_s \leqslant \bigvee T$ . By the assumption,  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee T_s = \bigvee_{f \in F} \bigwedge_{s \in S} f(s)$  where F is the set of choice functions defined on S. Since  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} f(s) \in T$  for every  $f \in F$ , the result follows.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let A satisfy condition  $(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then r is injective and preserves all existing meets.

**Proof.** Since the second statement follows from Corollary 3.5 we show that r is injective. Let  $a, b \in A$  with r(a) = r(b). Then  $e \le a \to a = (r(a))(a) = (r(b))(a) = a \to b$  implies  $a = e * a \le (a \to b) * a \le b$ . Similarly  $b \le a$ .

In conclusion let us note that it would be interesting to consider other generalizations of completions, e.g., of the Dedekind-MacNeille completion (see, e.g., [6]).

## References

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, Abstract and Concrete Categories, John Wiley 1990.
- [2] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann and *et al.*, Continuous Lattices and Domains, Cambridge University Press 2003.
- [3] T. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer-Verlag 2003.
- [4] S. Lang, Algebra, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag 2002.

- [5] K.I. Rosenthal, The Theory of Quantaloids, Addison Wesley 1996.
- [6] T.S. Blyth, Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures, Springer-Verlag 2005.

Received 2 March 2006 Revised 23 May 2006