# AXIOMATIZATION OF QUASIGROUPS

JONATHAN D.H. SMITH

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011-2064, U.S.A.

**e-mail:** jdhsmith@math.iastate.edu http://orion.math.iastate.edu/jdhsmith/

#### Abstract

Quasigroups were originally described combinatorially, in terms of existence and uniqueness conditions on the solutions to certain equations. Evans introduced a universal-algebraic characterization, as algebras with three binary operations satisfying four identities. Now, quasigroups are redefined as heterogeneous algebras, satisfying just two conditions respectively known as hypercommutativity and hypercancellativity.

**Keywords:** quasigroup, heterogeneous algebra, hyperidentity.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 20N05; Secondary: 08A68.

#### 1. Introduction

Quasigroups are one of the oldest topics in algebra and combinatorics, dating back at least to Euler [1]. Evans [2] showed how they could be defined in universal-algebraic fashion, using three binary operations and four identities. Nevertheless, this definition does not seem entirely satisfactory for such a fundamental object of mathematics, since it requires an explicit listing of the four apparently related identities. A new definition is presented in the current paper, using heterogeneous algebras known as hyperquasigroups. With this new definition, just two identities are needed: hypercommutativity and hypercancellativity.

The original combinatorial and equational definitions of quasigroups are recalled in Section 2. Section 3 introduces the higher level of a hyperquasigroup, a structure known as a reflexion-inversion space. Various examples of such spaces are discussed. Hyperquasigroups themselves are defined in Section 4. Section 5 then exhibits hyperquasigroups embodying each of the types of reflexion-inversion space presented in Section 3. In particular (Proposition 5.2), each quasigroup is part of a hyperquasigroup with the symmetric group  $S_3$  on three symbols as the corresponding reflexion-inversion space. In the converse direction, Section 6 shows that each hyperquasigroup comprises a set equipped with disjoint sets of mutually conjugate quasigroup operations.

### 2. Quasigroups

A quasigroup Q was first understood as a set equipped with a binary multiplication, denoted by  $\cdot$  or mere juxtaposition, such that in the equation

$$x \cdot y = z ,$$

knowledge of any two of x, y, z specifies the third uniquely. To make a distinction with subsequent concepts, it is convenient to describe a quasigroup in this original sense as a *combinatorial quasigroup*  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

For each element q of a set Q with a binary multiplication denoted by or juxtaposition, a *left multiplication*  $L_Q(q)$  or

$$L(q): Q \to Q; x \mapsto qx$$

and right multiplication  $R_Q(q)$  or

$$R(q): Q \to Q; x \mapsto xq$$

are obtained from the binary multiplication by the process of "Currying," the usual trick for reducing a function of two arguments (in this case the multiplication) to a parametrized family of functions of a single argument (compare [6]). If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a quasigroup, then the right and left multiplications are bijections of the underlying set Q. Indeed, the bijectivity of  $L_Q(q)$  and  $R_Q(q)$  for each element q of Q is equivalent with  $(Q, \cdot)$  being a quasigroup.

Unfortunately, the combinatorial definition of a quasigroup is unsuitable for most algebraic purposes: A surjective multiplicative homomorphism  $f:(Q,\cdot)\to (P,\cdot)$  whose domain is a combinatorial quasigroup  $(Q,\cdot)$  may

have an image  $(P,\cdot)$  which is not a combinatorial quasigroup (compare [6, Example I.2.2.1], for instance). To circumvent this problem, Evans [2] redefined quasigroups as equational quasigroups, sets  $(Q,\cdot,/,\setminus)$  equipped with three binary operations of multiplication, right division / and left division \, satisfying the identities:

(IL) 
$$x \setminus (x \cdot y) = y;$$

(IR) 
$$y = (y \cdot x)/x;$$

(SL) 
$$x \cdot (x \setminus y) = y;$$

(SR) 
$$y = (y/x) \cdot x.$$

Note that (IL), (IR) give the respective injectivity of the left and right multiplications, while (SL), (SR) give their surjectivity. Thus an equational quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot, /, \setminus)$  yields a combinatorial quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot)$ . Conversely, a combinatorial quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot)$  yields an equational quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot, /, \setminus)$  with  $x/y = xR(y)^{-1}$  and  $x \setminus y = yL(x)^{-1}$ .

In an equational quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot, /, \setminus)$ , the three equations

$$(2.1) x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_3, x_3/x_2 = x_1, x_1 \setminus x_3 = x_2$$

are equivalent. Introducing the opposite operations

$$x \circ y = y \cdot x$$
,  $x//y = y/x$ ,  $x \setminus y = y \setminus x$ 

on Q, the equations (2.1) are further equivalent to the equations

$$x_2 \circ x_1 = x_3$$
,  $x_2//x_3 = x_1$ ,  $x_3 \backslash x_1 = x_2$ .

Thus each of

$$(2.2) (Q,\cdot), (Q,/), (Q,\setminus), (Q,\circ), (Q,//), (Q,\setminus\setminus)$$

is a (combinatorial) quasigroup. In particular, note that the identities (IR) in  $(Q, \setminus)$  and (IL) in (Q, /) yield the respective identities

(DL) 
$$x/(y \setminus x) = y,$$

(DR) 
$$y = (x/y) \backslash x$$

in the basic quasigroup divisions. The six quasigroups (2.2) are known as the *conjugates*, "parastrophes" [4, p. 43] [5] or "derived quasigroups" [3] of  $(Q,\cdot)$ .

#### 3. Reflexion-inversion spaces

Hyperquasigroups, as defined in Section 4 below, consist of structure at three levels, amounting to a two-sorted algebra (compare Remarks 3.2 and 4.2). The second (higher-level) sort is given in this section as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** A reflexion-inversion space  $(G, \sigma, \tau)$  is a set G equipped with two involutive actions, a reflexion

(3.1) 
$$\sigma: G \to G; g \mapsto \sigma g$$

and an inversion

$$\tau: G \to G; g \mapsto \tau g.$$

The involutivity of the actions means that

$$\sigma \sigma g = g$$
 and  $\tau \tau g = g$ 

for each point g of the reflexion-inversion space.

Remark 3.2. Let H be the free product of two copies  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of the group of order two. The underlying set of this group is the set of (possibly empty) words in the two-letter alphabet  $\{\sigma,\tau\}$  having no consecutive letters repeated. The product is given by the juxtaposition of words, followed by cancellation of repeated pairs of letters. For example,  $\tau \sigma \cdot \sigma \tau \sigma \tau = \sigma \tau$ . Inversion in the group just reverses the words, for example  $(\sigma \tau \sigma \tau)^{-1} = \tau \sigma \tau \sigma$ . A reflexion-inversion space  $(G, \sigma, \tau)$  as in Definition 3.1 may then be interpreted as a left H-set with actions specified by (3.1) and (3.2). It is nevertheless important to note that reflexion-inversion spaces are richer than H-sets, since their structure includes the choice of the specific involutions  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

The remainder of the section presents some typical examples of reflexion-inversion spaces that may form part of a hyperquasigroup structure. The first example serves to motivate the terminology of Definition 3.1.

**Example 3.3.** Let F be a field. Let G be the complement  $F \setminus \{0,1\}$  of the set  $\{0,1\}$  in F. Define

$$\sigma: G \to G; g \mapsto 1-g$$

and

$$\tau: G \to G; g \mapsto g^{-1}$$
.

Then  $(G, \sigma, \tau)$  forms a reflexion-inversion space. Note that the abstract inversion  $\tau$  is a literal inversion in this case. If F is the field of real or complex numbers, then the abstract reflexion  $\sigma$  is literal reflexion in the point 1/2.

**Example 3.4.** Let G be a group containing two elements  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  with  $\sigma^2 = \tau^2 = 1$ . Then G forms a reflexion-inversion space in which the reflection and inversion are the respective left multiplications by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$  denote the quotient of the set of complex numbers by the equivalence relation

$$\{(z, z') \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z - z' \in 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is also convenient to identify each equivalence class in the set  $\mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$  with its unique representative element in the fundamental domain

$$\{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in [0, 2\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let  $G = (\mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^2$ . (Topologically, this space is the product  $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  of a torus with a plane.) Define

$$\sigma: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (b,a)$$

and

$$\tau: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (i\pi + a - b, -b).$$

Then G forms a reflexion-inversion space.

**Example 3.6.** Let n be an even number, and let  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Define

$$\sigma: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (b,a)$$

and

$$\tau: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (a-b+n/2,-b).$$

Then G forms a reflexion-inversion space.

**Example 3.7.** Let A be an abelian group, and let  $G = A^2$ . Define

$$\sigma: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (b,a)$$

and

$$\tau: G \to G; (a,b) \mapsto (a-b,-b)$$
.

Then G forms a reflexion-inversion space in which the reflexion and inversion are linear maps.

#### 4. Hyperquasigroups

Basing on the concept of a reflexion-inversion space, the definition of a hyperquasigroup may now be given.

**Definition 4.1.** A hyperquasigroup (Q, G) is a pair consisting of a set Q and a reflexion-inversion space G, together with a binary operation

$$Q^2 \times G \to Q; (x, y, g) \mapsto xyg$$

of G on Q, such that the hypercommutative law

$$(4.1) xy \underline{\sigma g} = yx \underline{g}$$

and the hypercancellation law

$$(4.2) x(xy g) \tau g = y$$

are satisfied for all x, y in Q and g in G.

**Remark 4.2.** A hyperquasigroup may be interpreted as a two-sorted algebra (Q, G). Here G is a left H-set according to Remark 3.2. The set G then acts on Q as in Definition 4.1.

**Remark 4.3.** The prefix "hyper-" in Definition 4.1 reflects the substitution of variables at both levels in (4.1) and (4.2), comparable to the substitution at both the argument and the operator level in hyperidentities [7].

Hypercommutativity is straightforward. The meaning of hypercancellativity is given by the following.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let (Q,G) be a hyperquasigroup. For each element g of G, define

$$\widehat{g}: Q^2 \to Q^2; (x,y) \mapsto (x,xy\,g).$$

Then in the monoid of all self-maps on  $Q^2$ , the element  $\widehat{\tau g}$  is the inverse of  $\widehat{g}$ .

**Proof.** For x, y in Q, one has

$$(x,y) \overset{\widehat{g}}{\mapsto} (x,xy\,\underline{g}) \overset{\widehat{\tau}\widehat{g}}{\mapsto} (x,x\,(xy\,\underline{g}\,)\,\underline{\tau}\underline{g}\,) = (x,y)$$

with the equality holding directly by the hypercancellativity (4.2). Similarly, one has

$$(x,y) \stackrel{\widehat{\tau g}}{\mapsto} (x, xy \underline{\tau g}) \stackrel{\widehat{g}}{\mapsto} (x, x(xy \underline{\tau g}) \underline{g}) = (x,y),$$

the equality here resulting from the hypercancellation equation (4.2) with g replaced by  $\tau g$ . Thus  $\widehat{\tau g}$  is indeed the inverse of  $\widehat{g}$ .

#### 5. Constructions

For each of the examples of a reflexion-inversion space given in Section 3, one obtains corresponding constructions of hyperquasigroups.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let Q be a vector space over a field F. Let G be the reflexion-inversion space of Example 3.3. Then a hyperquasigroup structure (Q,G) is defined by the action

$$xy\,g = x(1-g) + yg$$

for x, y in Q and g in G.

**Proof.** The hypercommutativity and hypercancellativity may be verified directly. Certainly one has

$$xy \underline{\sigma g} = x(1 - (1 - g)) + y(1 - g) = y(1 - g) + xg = yx \underline{g},$$

the hypercommutativity. Then

$$x\left(xy\,\underline{g}\right)\underline{\tau g} = x(1-g^{-1}) + \left(x(1-g) + yg\right)g^{-1}$$

$$= x(1 - g^{-1}) + x(g^{-1} - 1) + y = y,$$

as required for the hypercancellativity.

28 J.D.H. Smith

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $(Q, \cdot, /, \setminus)$  be an equational quasigroup, and let G be the symmetric group  $S_3$  on the three-element set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . Interpret G as a reflexion-inversion space according to Example 3.4, with reflexion  $\sigma = (12)$  and inversion  $\tau = (23)$ . Then (Q, G) becomes a hyperquasigroup under the operations

$$xy \underline{1} = x \cdot y$$
,  $xy \underline{\sigma} \underline{\tau} \underline{\sigma} = x/y$ ,  $xy \underline{\tau} = x \backslash y$ ,  $xy \underline{\sigma} = y \cdot x$ ,  $xy \underline{\tau} \underline{\sigma} = y/x$ ,  $xy \underline{\sigma} \underline{\tau} = y \backslash x$ .

**Proof.** The hypercommutativity is immediate from the definitions, while the hypercancellativity results from the identities (XL) and (XR) for X = I, S, D. Specifically, these identities take the following form:

(IL): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{1}) \underline{\tau}$$

(SL): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\tau}) \underline{1}$$

(IR): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\sigma}) \underline{\tau}\underline{\sigma}$$

(SR): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\tau \sigma}) \underline{\sigma}$$

(DL): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\sigma \tau}) \underline{\tau \sigma \tau}$$

(DL): 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\tau \sigma \tau}) \underline{\sigma \tau}$$

(recalling the equation  $\sigma\tau\sigma = \tau\sigma\tau$  in  $S_3$ ). Thus the hypercancellativity (4.2) is explicitly verified for each of the six elements g of G.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let Q be a complex vector space, and let G be the reflexion-inversion space of Example 3.5. Then a hyperquasigroup structure (Q,G) is defined by the action

$$(5.1) xy(\underline{a,b}) = xe^a + ye^b$$

for x, y in Q and (a,b) in G.

**Proof.** The hypercommutativity is immediate, while

$$x(xy(a,b))\underline{\tau(a,b)} = xe^{i\pi+a-b} + (xe^a + ye^b)e^{-b}$$
$$= -xe^{a-b} + xe^{a-b} + ye^{a-b}$$

gives the hypercancellativity.

The two remaining constructions offer discrete versions of Proposition 5.3 – note the formal similarity between the corresponding hyperquasigroup actions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3).

**Proposition 5.4.** Let R be a unital ring. For an even number n, let e be a root in R of the polynomial  $X^{n/2} + 1$ . Let Q be a unital right module over R, and let G be the reflexion-inversion space of Example 3.6. Then a hyperquasigroup structure (Q, G) is defined by the action

$$(5.2) xy(\underline{a,b}) = xe^a + ye^b$$

for x, y in Q and (a,b) in G.

**Proof.** Since  $e^n = 1$ , the action (5.2) is well-defined. The hypercommutativity is clear, while

$$x(xy(a,b))\underline{\tau(a,b)} = xe^{a-b+n/2} + (xe^a + ye^b)e^{-b}$$
$$= -xe^{a-b} + xe^{a-b} + y = y$$

gives the hypercancellativity.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let e be an invertible element of a unital ring R of characteristic 2. Let Q be a unital right module over R, and let G be the reflexion-inversion space of Example 3.7 for the abelian group  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then a hyperquasigroup structure (Q, G) is defined by the action

$$(5.3) xy(\underline{a,b}) = xe^a + ye^b$$

for x, y in Q and (a,b) in G.

**Proof.** Since e is invertible, the action (5.3) is well-defined. Hypercommutativity is immediate as usual, while

$$x(xy(\underline{a,b}))\underline{\tau(a,b)} = xe^{a-b} + (xe^a + ye^b)e^{-b}$$
$$= xe^{a-b} + xe^{a-b} + y = y$$

gives the hypercancellativity.

## 6. From hyperquasigroups to quasigroups

According to Proposition 5.2, each quasigroup yields a hyperquasigroup. Here, it is shown that the converse is true: Hyperquasigroups yield combinatorial and equational quasigroups.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let (Q,G) be a hyperquasigroup. Then for each element g of the reflexion-inversion space G, there is an equational quasigroup  $(Q, \sigma g, \sigma \tau g, \tau \sigma g)$ .

**Proof.** It will be shown directly that  $(Q, \underline{\sigma g}, \underline{\sigma \tau g}, \underline{\tau \sigma g})$  satisfies the four identities specifying equational quasigroups.

(IL): Replacing g with  $\sigma g$  in the hypercancellativity equation (4.2) gives

$$y = x (xy \sigma g) \tau \sigma g,$$

which is exactly the identity (IL) for  $(Q, \sigma g, \sigma \tau g, \tau \sigma g)$ .

(IR): The hypercancellativity equation (4.2) directly gives

$$y = x \left( xy \, \underline{g} \, \right) \underline{\tau g} \,,$$

Using hypercommutativity, this may be rewritten as

$$y = (yx \sigma g) x \sigma \tau g$$

which is the identity (IR) for  $(Q, \sigma g, \sigma \tau g, \tau \sigma g)$ .

(SL): Replacing g with  $\tau \sigma g$  in the hypercancellativity equation (4.2) gives

$$y = x (xy \tau \sigma g) \sigma g$$
,

which is the identity (SL) for  $(Q, \sigma g, \sigma \tau g, \tau \sigma g)$ .

(SR): Replacing g with  $\tau g$  in the hypercancellativity equation (4.2) gives

$$y = x (xy \tau g) g$$
.

Using hypercommutativity, this may be rewritten as

$$y = (yx \, \sigma \tau g) \, x \, \sigma g \,,$$

which is the identity (SR) for  $(Q, \sigma g, \sigma \tau g, \tau \sigma g)$ .

**Corollary 6.2.** Let (Q, G) be a hyperquasigroup. Then for each element g of the reflexion-inversion space G, there is a combinatorial quasigroup (Q, g).

**Proof.** Replace g by  $\sigma g$  in the statement of Theorem 6.1.

**Remark 6.3.** In [3], James gave a characterization of combinatorial quasigroups that amounts to the invertibility of the maps  $\widehat{g}$  and  $\widehat{\sigma g}$  in (4.3). James' characterization could be used as an alternative direct approach to the proof of Corollary 6.2.

**Example 6.4.** For a finite field F of order q, consider the hyperquasigroup (Q,G) given by Proposition 5.1. Here, the combinatorial quasigroups of Corollary 6.2 constitute a set of q-2 mutually orthogonal idempotent and entropic quasigroups.

**Example 6.5.** For a fixed (combinatorial) quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot)$ , consider the hyperquasigroup  $(Q, S_3)$  given by Proposition 5.2. In this case, the combinatorial quasigroups of Corollary 6.2 form the full set of conjugates of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

As a consequence of the following result, it will transpire that the situation of Example 6.5 is quite typical.

**Proposition 6.6.** Let (Q, G) be a hyperquasigroup. Then for all x, y in Q and g in G, one has

$$(6.1) xy \, \sigma \tau \sigma g = xy \, \tau \sigma \tau g \, .$$

**Proof.** Consider the equational quasigroup  $(Q, \underline{\sigma g}, \underline{\sigma \tau g}, \underline{\tau \sigma g})$  given by Theorem 6.1. The identity (DL) in this equational quasigroup takes the form

$$y = x (yx \tau \sigma g) \sigma \tau g,$$

which may be rewritten as

(6.2) 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\sigma \tau \sigma g}) \underline{\sigma \tau g}$$

using hypercommutativity. On the other hand, the hypercancellation equation (4.2) with g replaced by  $\tau \sigma \tau g$  yields

(6.3) 
$$y = x (xy \underline{\tau \sigma \tau g}) \underline{\sigma \tau g}.$$

Since  $(Q, \underline{\sigma}\underline{\tau}\underline{g})$  is a combinatorial quasigroup (in which the equation  $y = xz \underline{\sigma}\underline{\tau}\underline{g}$  has a unique solution z for given x and y), (6.2) and (6.3) together yield the desired result (6.1).

For a hyperquasigroup (Q,G), consider the algebra  $(Q,\underline{G})$ , the underlying set Q endowed with the set  $\underline{G}=\{\underline{g}\mid g\in G\}$  of binary operations. The action of the involutions  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  on the reflexion-inversion space G yields an action on the binary operation set  $\underline{G}$ . Proposition 6.6 shows that the action of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  on  $\underline{G}$  is an  $S_3$ -action. For each element g of g, the elements g' of the orbit g' of g under this g'-action form the full set of quasigroup operations conjugate to the combinatorial quasigroup operation g on g. One may summarize as follows.

**Theorem 6.7.** Each hyperquasigroup (Q,G) yields an algebra structure (Q,G) consisting of the union

$$\underline{G} = \bigcup_{g \in G} \underline{S_3 g}$$

of mutually disjoint sets of conjugate quasigroup operations.

Between them, Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 6.7 give a complete description of the relationship between quasigroups and hyperquasigroups.

### Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the Faculty of Mathematics and Information Sciences of Warsaw University of Technology during the preparation of this paper while he was on a Faculty Professional Development Assignment from Iowa State University.

### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Euler, Recherches sur une nouvelle espèce de carrés magiques, Mém. de la Societé de Vlissingue 9 (1779), pp. 85 ff.
- [2] T. Evans, *Homomorphisms of non-associative systems*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** (1949), 254–260.
- [3] I.M. James, Quasigroups and topology, Math. Zeitschr. 84 (1964), 329-342.
- [4] H.O. Pflugfelder, Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction, Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
- [5] A. Sade, Quasigroupes obéissant à certaines lois, Rev. Fac. Sci. Univ. Istanbul, Ser. A 22 (1957), 151–184.

- [6] J.D.H. Smith and A.B. Romanowska, Post-Modern Algebra, Wiley, New York, NY, 1999.
- [7] W. Taylor, Hyperidentities and hypervarieties, Aequationes Math. 23 (1981), 30-49.

Received 27 February 2006 Revised 31 March 2006