

**SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE  
NON-IDEMPOTENT LEFT SYMMETRIC  
LEFT DISTRIBUTIVE GROUPOIDS\***

EMIL JEŘÁBEK<sup>1</sup>, TOMÁŠ KEPKA<sup>2</sup> AND DAVID STANOVSKÝ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences  
Prague, Czech Republic*

<sup>2</sup>*Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic*

**e-mail:** jerabek@math.cas.cz

**e-mail:** kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

**e-mail:** stanovsk@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

**Abstract**

We study groupoids satisfying the identities  $x \cdot xy = y$  and  $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz$ . Particularly, we focus our attention at subdirectly irreducible ones, find a description and characterize small ones.

**Keywords:** groupoid, left distributive, left symmetric, subdirectly irreducible.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 20N02;  
Secondary: 08B20.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *left symmetric left distributive groupoid* (shortly an *LSLD groupoid*) is a non-empty set equipped with a binary operation (usually denoted multiplicatively) satisfying the equations:

---

\*The work is a part of the research project MSM 0021620839 financed by MŠMT ČR and it is partly supported by the grant GAČR 201/05/0002.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(left symmetry)} & x \cdot xy = y \\ \text{(left distributivity)} & x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz. \end{array}$$

An *LSLDI groupoid* is an idempotent LSLD groupoid, i.e., an LSLD groupoid satisfying the equation  $xx = x$ . For example, given a group  $G$ , the derived operation  $x * y = xy^{-1}x$ , usually called the *core* of  $G$ , is left symmetric, left distributive and idempotent. LSLDI groupoids were introduced in [10] and they (and their applications) were studied by several authors mainly in 1970's and 1980's. A reader is referred to the survey [8] for details. For a long time, it seemed that the non-idempotent case did not play any significant role in self-distributive structures (whether symmetric or not). This was certainly true for the two-sided case, but recently, due to the book [2] of P. Dehornoy, one-sided non-idempotent selfdistributive groupoids enjoyed certain attention. The purpose of the present note is to continue the investigations of non-idempotent LSLD groupoids started in [4] and, in particular, to get a better insight into the structure of subdirectly irreducible ones. Our main results are Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 5.9.

As far as we know, the only papers concerning non-idempotent LSLD groupoids are [4] and [9]. Subdirectly irreducible idempotent left symmetric medial groupoids were characterized by B. Roszkowska [7] and simple idempotent LSLD groupoids by D. Joyce [3].

Our notation is rather standard and usually follows the book [1]. A reader can look at [5] for various notions concerning groupoids (i.e., sets with a single binary operation).

Let  $G$  be a groupoid. For every  $a \in G$ , we denote  $L_a$  the selfmapping of  $G$  defined by  $L_a(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in G$  and call it the *left translation* by  $a$  in  $G$ . By an *involution* we mean a permutation of order two.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a groupoid. Then*

1.  *$G$  is LSLD, iff every left translation in  $G$  is either the identity, or an involutive automorphism of  $G$ ;*
2. *if  $G$  is LSLD, then  $L_{\varphi(a)} = \varphi L_a \varphi^{-1}$  for every  $a \in G$  and every automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $G$ ;*
3. *if  $G$  is LSLD, then the mapping  $\lambda : a \mapsto L_a$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into the core of the symmetric group over  $G$ .*

**Proof.** (1) Left symmetry says that every left translation  $L_a$  satisfies  $L_a^2 = id_G$ . Left distributivity says that every  $L_a$  is an endomorphism.

(2) Since  $\varphi L_a(b) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = L_{\varphi(a)}\varphi(b)$  for every  $a, b \in G$ , we have  $\varphi L_a = L_{\varphi(a)}\varphi$  and thus  $L_{\varphi(a)} = \varphi L_a \varphi^{-1}$ .

(3) It follows from (2) for  $\varphi = L_a$  that  $L_{ab} = L_a L_b L_a^{-1} = L_a L_b L_a$ . ■

**Example.** The following are all (up to an isomorphism) two-element LSLD groupoids (one idempotent, the other not).

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \mathbf{S} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|cc} \mathbf{T} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & \tilde{0} & 0 \\ \tilde{0} & \tilde{0} & 0 \end{array}$$

**Example.** The following are all (up to an isomorphism) three-element idempotent LSLD groupoids.  $\mathbf{S}_1$  is a right zero groupoid,  $\mathbf{S}_2$  is a dual differential groupoid and  $\mathbf{S}_3$  is a commutative distributive quasigroup and it forms the smallest Steiner triple system.  $\mathbf{S}_3$  is simple and  $\mathbf{S}_2$  is subdirectly irreducible.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{S}_1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{S}_2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{S}_3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{array}$$

**Example.** The following are all (up to an isomorphism) three-element non-idempotent LSLD groupoids. Both are subdirectly irreducible.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{T}_1 & e & 0 & \tilde{0} \\ \hline e & e & 0 & \tilde{0} \\ 0, \tilde{0} & e & \tilde{0} & 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{T}_2 & e & 0 & \tilde{0} \\ \hline e & e & \tilde{0} & 0 \\ 0, \tilde{0} & e & \tilde{0} & 0 \end{array}$$

**Example.** We define an operation  $\circ$  on the Prüfer 2-group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}(+)$  by  $x \circ y = 2x - y + a$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}$  is an element satisfying  $a \neq 0 = 2a$ . The groupoid  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}(\circ)$  is an infinite subdirectly irreducible idempotent-free LSLD groupoid.

A non-empty subset  $J$  of a groupoid  $G$  is called a *left ideal* of  $G$ , if  $ab \in J$  for every  $a \in G$  and  $b \in J$ . Note that the set consisting of all left ideals in a left symmetric groupoid and the empty set is closed under intersection, union and complements. If  $\{a\}$  is a left ideal of  $G$ , we call the element  $a$  a *right zero*.

Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid. We put

$$Id_G = \{x \in G : xx = x\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_G = \{x \in G : xx \neq x\}.$$

Each of  $Id_G$  and  $K_G$  is either empty or a left ideal of  $G$ . Further, we define relations

$$p_G = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : L_x = L_y\}$$

$$q_G = \{(a, b) \in Id_G \times Id_G : L_a|_{K_G} = L_b|_{K_G}\} \cup id_G$$

$$ip_G = \{(x, xx) : x \in G\} \cup id_G$$

and a mapping  $o_G : G \rightarrow G$  by  $o_G(x) = xx$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid. Then*

1.  $p_G$  and  $q_G$  are congruences of  $G$  and  $ip_G \subseteq p_G$ ;
2.  $ip_G$  is a congruence of  $G$ ,  $G/ip_G$  is idempotent and  $ip_G$  is the smallest congruence such that the corresponding factor is idempotent; moreover, every non-trivial block of  $ip_G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{T}$ ;
3.  $o_G$  is either the identity, or an involutive automorphism of  $G$ .

**Proof.** (1) The relation  $p_G$  is the kernel of the homomorphism  $\lambda$  from Lemma 1.1(3), hence it is a congruence.

The relation  $q_G$  is an equivalence, so consider  $a, b \in Id_G$  such that  $L_a|_{K_G} = L_b|_{K_G}$ . Then  $L_{az}|_{K_G} = L_{bz}|_{K_G}$  for all  $z \in G$ , since for every  $k \in K_G$  we have  $az \cdot k = a(z \cdot ak) = a(z \cdot bk) = b(z \cdot bk) = bz \cdot k$  (because  $z \cdot bk \in K_G$ ). And also  $L_{za}|_{K_G} = L_{zb}|_{K_G}$  for all  $z \in G$ , because for every  $k \in K_G$  we have  $za \cdot k = z(a \cdot zk) = z(b \cdot zk) = zb \cdot k$  (because  $zk \in K_G$ ). Consequently,  $q_G$  is a congruence.

Finally,  $xy = x(x \cdot xy) = xx \cdot (x \cdot xy) = xx \cdot y$  for every  $x, y \in G$  and thus  $ip_G \subseteq p_G$ .

(2) Since  $xx \cdot xx = x \cdot xx = x$  for every  $x \in G$ , the relation  $ip_G$  is symmetric and transitive and every non-trivial block of  $ip_G$  consists of two elements and thus is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{T}$ . Further,  $xz = xx \cdot z$  for every  $z \in G$  due to (1) and  $(zx, z \cdot xx) \in ip_G$  because  $z \cdot xx = zx \cdot zx$ ; hence  $ip_G$  is a congruence. Clearly,  $G/ip_G$  is idempotent and  $ip_G$  is the smallest congruence with this property.

(3)  $o_G$  is an involution (or the identity) according to (2) and  $o_G(xy) = xy \cdot xy = x \cdot yy = xx \cdot yy = o_G(x)o_G(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . ■

**Corollary 1.3.**  $\mathbf{T}$  is the only (up to an isomorphism) simple non-idempotent LSLD groupoid.

Let  $G$  be a groupoid,  $e \notin G$  and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$ . We denote  $G[\varphi]$  the groupoid defined on the set  $G \cup \{e\}$  so that  $G$  is a subgroupoid of  $G[\varphi]$ ,  $e$  is a right zero and  $ex = \varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in G$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid,  $e \notin G$  and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$ . Then

1.  $G[\varphi]$  is an LSLD groupoid, iff  $\varphi = id_G$  or  $\varphi$  is an involutive automorphism of  $G$  with  $L_x = L_{\varphi(x)}$  for all  $x \in G$ ;
2.  $G[id_G]$  and  $G[o_G]$  are LSLD groupoids and  $G[o_G][id_{G[o_G]}]$ ,  $G[id_G][o_{G[id_G]}]$  are isomorphic.

**Proof.** This is a straightforward calculation. ■

Note that the three-element non-idempotent LSLD groupoids are isomorphic to  $\mathbf{T}[id_{\mathbf{T}}]$  and  $\mathbf{T}[o_{\mathbf{T}}]$ , respectively. One can check that  $(\mathbf{T}[id_{\mathbf{T}}])[o_{\mathbf{T}[id_{\mathbf{T}}]}]$  is the only four-element subdirectly irreducible non-idempotent LSLD groupoid.

The following technical lemmas become useful later.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid and  $\varphi \in \{id_G, o_G\}$ . Then the set  $A_\varphi = \{a \in G : L_a = \varphi\}$  is either empty, or a left ideal of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a \in A_\varphi$ . By Lemma 1.1  $L_{xa} = L_x L_a L_x$  for every  $x \in G$ . If  $L_a = \varphi = id_G$ , then  $L_{xa} = L_x L_x = id_G = \varphi$ . If  $L_a = \varphi = o_G$ , then  $L_{xa}(y) = x o_G(xy) = x(xy \cdot xy) = x(x \cdot yy) = o_G(y)$  for every  $y \in G$  and thus  $L_{xa} = o_G = L_a$ . Hence  $A_\varphi$  is a left ideal. ■

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid and  $J$  a left ideal of  $G$ . Then the relation  $\rho_J = ((ip_G)|_J) \cup id_G$  is a congruence of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** The claim follows from Lemma 1.2. ■

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid and  $a \in G$  a right zero. Then*

1.  $x \cdot ay = a \cdot xy$  and  $xy = ax \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in G$ ;
2. the relation  $\nu_a = \{(x, ax) : x \in G\} \cup id_G$  is a congruence of  $G$ ; moreover, every non-trivial block of  $\nu_a$  has two elements.

**Proof.** (1) is calculated as follows:  $x \cdot ay = xa \cdot xy = a \cdot xy$  and  $ax \cdot y = (ax)(a \cdot ay) = a(x \cdot ay) = a(a \cdot xy) = xy$ . (2) Clearly,  $\nu_a$  is both reflexive and symmetric and it follows from (1) that  $\nu_a$  is compatible with the multiplication of  $G$ . We show that  $\nu_a$  is transitive. If  $(x, y) \in \nu_a$ ,  $(y, z) \in \nu_a$ ,  $x \neq y \neq z$ , then  $y = ax$  and  $z = ay = a \cdot ax = x$  and thus  $(x, z) \in \nu_a$ . The rest becomes clear now. ■

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid and let  $\rho$  be a congruence of  $K_G$  such that  $(u, v) \in \rho$  implies  $(au, av) \in \rho$  and  $(ua \cdot z, va \cdot z) \in \rho$  for all  $a \in Id_G$  and  $z \in K_G$ . Define a relation  $\sigma$  on  $Id_G$  by  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  iff  $(au, bv) \in \rho$  for every pair  $(u, v) \in \rho$ . Then  $\rho \cup \sigma$  is a congruence of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** This straightforward calculation is omitted. ■

## 2. BASIC FACTS ABOUT SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE LSLD GROUPOIDS

It is well known that a groupoid  $G$  is *subdirectly irreducible* (shortly *SI*), if and only if  $G$  possesses a smallest non-trivial congruence (called the *monolith* of  $G$ ), i.e., a congruence  $\mu_G \neq id_G$  such that  $\mu_G \subseteq \nu$  for every congruence  $\nu \neq id_G$  on  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid. Then*

1. if  $J \subseteq K_G$  is a left ideal, then  $J = K_G$ ;
2.  $ip_G$  is the monolith of  $G$ ;

3.  $L_a|_{K_G} \neq L_b|_{K_G}$  for every  $a, b \in Id_G$  with  $a \neq b$ ; in other words,  $q_G = id_G$ ;
4.  $\varphi|_{K_G} \neq \psi|_{K_G}$  for all automorphisms  $\varphi, \psi$  of  $G$  with  $\varphi \neq \psi$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $J \subset K_G$  be a left ideal. Then  $J' = K_G \setminus J$  is a left ideal too and  $\rho_J, \rho_{J'}$  are non-trivial congruences, since both  $J$  and  $J'$  contain at least two elements. However,  $\rho_J \cap \rho_{J'} = id_G$  yields a contradiction with subdirect irreducibility of  $G$ .

(2) We have  $\mu_G \subseteq ip_G$ . Put  $J = \{u \in K_G : (u, uu) \in \mu_G\}$ . Then  $J$  is a left ideal, because  $\mu_G$  is a congruence, and thus  $J = K_G$  and  $\mu_G = ip_G$ .

(3) According to Lemma 1.2(1),  $q_G$  is a congruence. It is trivial, because  $q_G \cap ip_G = id_G$ .

(4) Assume that  $\varphi|_{K_G} = \psi|_{K_G}$  and we show that  $\varphi|_{Id_G} = \psi|_{Id_G}$  too. Observe that  $\varphi|_{K_G} = \psi|_{K_G}$  iff  $\varphi^{-1}|_{K_G} = \psi^{-1}|_{K_G}$ , because every automorphism of  $G$  maps  $K_G$  onto itself. Now, given  $a \in Id_G$  and  $u \in K_G$ , we have  $\varphi(a)u = \varphi(a)\varphi\varphi^{-1}(u) = \varphi(a\varphi^{-1}(u))$  and, because  $a\varphi^{-1}(u) = a\psi^{-1}(u) \in K_G$ , we have also  $\varphi(a\varphi^{-1}(u)) = \psi(a\psi^{-1}(u)) = \psi(a)u$ . Thus  $L_{\varphi(a)}|_{K_G} = L_{\psi(a)}|_{K_G}$  and, by (3),  $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ . ■

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and  $H$  a subgroupoid of  $G$  such that  $K_G \subseteq H$ . Assume that  $H$  is subdirectly irreducible. Then  $G$  is subdirectly irreducible, iff  $q_G = id_G$ .*

**Proof.** The direct implication was proved in Lemma 2.1(3). So assume  $q_G = id_G$  and let  $\rho$  be a non-trivial congruence on  $G$ . If  $\rho|_H \neq id_H$ , then  $ip_H \subseteq \rho|_H$ . But  $ip_G = ip_H \cup id_G$  and thus  $ip_G \subseteq \rho$ . Hence assume that  $\rho|_H = id_H$ . If  $(a, b) \in \rho$  for some  $a, b \in Id_G$ ,  $a \neq b$ , then  $au \neq bu$  for some  $u \in K_G$  because  $q_G = id_G$  and we have  $(au, bu) \in \rho|_{K_G} = id_{K_G}$ , a contradiction. If  $(a, u) \in \rho$  for some  $a \in Id_G$  and  $u \in K_G$ , then  $(a, uu) = (aa, uu) \in \rho$  and, again,  $(u, uu) \in \rho|_{K_G} = id_{K_G}$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $G$  is subdirectly irreducible. ■

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a non-idempotent LSLD groupoid such that  $K_G$  is subdirectly irreducible. Then  $G$  is subdirectly irreducible, iff  $q_G = id_G$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and  $a, b \in G$  right zeros. Then*

1.  $L_a \in \{id_G, o_G\}$ ;
2.  $a = b$ , iff  $L_a = L_b$ ;
3.  $G$  contains at most two right zeros.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $\nu_a$  be the congruence from Lemma 1.7. If  $\nu_a = id_G$ , then  $L_a = id_G$ . If  $\nu_a \neq id_G$ , then  $\mu_G = ip_G \subseteq \nu_a$  and thus  $L_a|_{K_G} = o_G|_{K_G}$ . Hence  $L_a = o_G$  according to Lemma 2.1(4).

The statement (2) follows from Lemma 2.1(3) and (3) is an immediate consequence of (1) and (2). ■

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and let  $a \in G$  be a right zero. Then  $H = G \setminus \{a\}$  is an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and it contains no right zero  $b$  with  $L_b = L_a|_H$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $H$  is a left ideal of  $G$  and thus a subgroupoid of  $G$ . Moreover, if  $\rho$  is a non-trivial congruence of  $H$ , then  $\sigma = \rho \cup \{(a, a)\}$  is a (non-trivial) congruence of  $G$  (because  $L_a \in \{id_G, o_G\}$ ) and thus  $ip_G = \mu_G \subseteq \sigma$ . So  $ip_H \subseteq \rho$  and  $H$  is subdirectly irreducible. Finally, if  $b$  is a right zero in  $H$ , then it is also a right zero in  $G$  and so  $L_b \neq L_a|_H$  by Lemma 2.4. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and  $\varphi \in \{id_G, o_G\}$ . Then  $G[\varphi]$  is subdirectly irreducible, iff  $G$  contains no right zero  $a$  with  $L_a = \varphi$ .*

**Proof.** The direct implication follows from Lemma 2.5. On the contrary, if  $G$  contains no right zero  $a$  with  $L_a = \varphi$ , then  $A_\varphi = \emptyset$  (by Lemmas 1.5 and 2.1(3)  $|A_\varphi| \leq 1$ , hence any element  $b$  with  $L_b = \varphi$  is a right zero), so  $q_{G[\varphi]} = id$  and Proposition 2.2 applies. ■

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid with no right zero. Then*

$$G, G[id_G], G[o_G] \text{ and } G[id_G][o_G[id_G]]$$

*are pairwise non-isomorphic SI LSLD groupoids.*



**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid and let  $A$  be the set of right zeros in  $G$ . Then  $|A| \leq 2$ ,  $H = G \setminus A$  is a left ideal of  $G$ ,  $H$  is an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid with no right zero and  $G$  is isomorphic to exactly one of*

$$H, H[id_H], H[o_H] \text{ and } H[id_H][o_H[id_H]].$$

### 3. GROUPOIDS OF INVOLUTIONS

Let  $\varepsilon$  be a binary relation on a non-empty set  $X$ . We denote  $\text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$  the set of all permutations  $\varphi$  of  $X$  such that  $\varphi^2 = id_X$  and  $(x, y) \in \varepsilon$  implies  $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \in \varepsilon$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$  is a subgroupoid of the core of the symmetric group over  $X$  and thus it is an idempotent LSLD groupoid.

An equivalence  $\varepsilon$  is called a *pairing* (a *semipairing*, resp.), if every block of  $\varepsilon$  consists of (at most, resp.) two elements. Let  $\alpha(m) = |\text{Inv}(m, \varepsilon)|$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a pairing on a cardinal number  $m$  ( $\alpha(m)$  is defined for even and infinite cardinals only).

**Proposition 3.1.**  $\alpha(2) = 2$ ,  $\alpha(4) = 6$  and  $\alpha(m) = 2\alpha(m-2) + (m-2)\alpha(m-4)$  for every even  $6 \leq m < \omega$ . Further,  $\alpha(m) = 2^m$  for every infinite  $m$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $m$  is finite even and the blocks of  $\varepsilon$  are the sets  $\{2k, 2k+1\}^2$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - 1$ . The claim is trivial for  $m \in \{2, 4\}$ , so assume  $m \geq 6$ . Let  $I_k = \{\varphi \in \text{Inv}(m, \varepsilon) : \varphi(0) = k\}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ . Then  $\text{Inv}(m, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} I_k$  and  $I_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint. If  $\varphi \in I_0$ , then  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . If  $\varphi \in I_1$ , then  $\varphi(1) = 0$ . Consequently,  $|I_0| = |I_1| = \alpha(m-2)$ . On the other hand, if  $\varphi \in I_k$  for  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\varphi(1) = k'$ , where  $k' \neq k$  is such that  $(k, k') \in \varepsilon$ , and thus  $\varphi(k) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(k') = 1$ . Hence  $|I_k| = \alpha(m-4)$  and  $|\text{Inv}(m, \varepsilon)| = 2\alpha(m-2) + (m-2)\alpha(m-4)$ .

If  $m$  is infinite, consider all involutions of the form  $(x_1 y_1)(x_2 y_2) \dots$ , where  $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \dots$  are pairwise different blocks of  $\varepsilon$ . They belong to  $\text{Inv}(m, \varepsilon)$  and thus  $\alpha(m) \geq 2^m$ . Hence  $\alpha(m) = 2^m$ . ■

	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
$\alpha(m)$	2	6	20	76	312	1384	6512	32400	168992	921184

For every semipairing  $\varepsilon$  on  $X$  there is a unique mapping  $o_\varepsilon \in \text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$  such that  $(x, o_\varepsilon(x)) \in \varepsilon$  and  $o_\varepsilon(x) = x$  iff  $\{x\}$  is a one-element block of  $\varepsilon$ . It is easy to see that  $id_X$  and  $o_\varepsilon$  are right zeros in  $\text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$  and that  $id_X * \varphi = \varphi$  and  $o_\varepsilon * \varphi = \varphi$  for every  $\varphi \in \text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $\text{Inv}^-(X, \varepsilon) = \text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon) \setminus \{id_X, o_\varepsilon\}$ . Clearly, it is either empty, or a left ideal of  $\text{Inv}(X, \varepsilon)$ .

Finally, let  $\text{Aut}_2(G) = \{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G) : \varphi^2 = id\}$ . If  $G$  is an LSLD groupoid, then  $\text{Aut}_2(G)$  is a subgroupoid of  $\text{Inv}(G, ip_G)$ ,  $L_x \in \text{Aut}_2(G)$  for every  $x \in G$  and the mapping  $x \mapsto L_x$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $\text{Aut}_2(G)$ . Let  $\text{Aut}_2^-(G) = \text{Aut}_2(G) \cap \text{Inv}^-(G, ip_G)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid with at least one idempotent element. Then the mapping*

$$\eta : Id_G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_2(K_G), \quad a \mapsto L_a|_{K_G}$$

*is an injective homomorphism.*

**Proof.** It follows from Lemmas 1.1 and 2.1(3). ■

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = m \neq 0$ . Then*

$$|Id_G| \leq \alpha(m) \quad \text{and} \quad |G| \leq \alpha(m) + m.$$

It will be shown in the next section that the upper bound on  $|Id_G|$  is best possible.

#### 4. A DESCRIPTION OF SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE LSLD GROUPOIDS

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be an idempotent-free LSLD groupoid and  $I$  a subgroupoid of  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$ . Put  $G = I \cup K$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The operations of  $I$  and  $K$  can be extended onto  $G$  so that  $G$  becomes an LSLD groupoid with  $\varphi \cdot u = \varphi(u)$  for all  $\varphi \in I$ ,  $u \in K$ .*
2.  *$L_u \varphi L_u \in I$  for all  $\varphi \in I$ ,  $u \in K$ .*

Moreover, if the conditions are satisfied, the operation of  $G$  is uniquely determined and  $u \cdot \varphi = L_u \varphi L_u$  for all  $\varphi \in I$ ,  $u \in K$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $u\varphi \in I = Id_G$  for every  $u \in K$ ,  $\varphi \in I$ . Since  $u(\varphi v) = (u\varphi)(uv)$  for every  $u, v \in K$ ,  $\varphi \in I$ , we have  $L_u(\varphi(v)) = (u\varphi)(L_u(v))$  and thus  $u\varphi = L_u \varphi (L_u)^{-1} = L_u \varphi L_u$ . Indeed, this is possible, iff  $L_u \varphi L_u \in I$  for all  $\varphi \in I$ ,  $u \in K$ . We omit the straightforward calculation showing that the resulting groupoid  $G$  is LSLD. ■

The groupoid  $G$  from Lemma 4.1 will be denoted by  $I \sqcup K$ . The groupoid  $\text{Aut}_2(K) \sqcup K$  will be called the *full extension* of  $K$  and denoted  $\text{Full}(K)$ .

$I \sqcup K$	$\psi$	$v$
$\varphi$	$\varphi\psi\varphi$	$\varphi(v)$
$u$	$L_u\psi L_u$	$uv$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid. Then there exists an injective homomorphism  $\eta : G \rightarrow \text{Full}(K_G)$  such that*

$$\eta(u) = u \text{ for every } u \in K_G \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(a) = L_a|_{K_G} \text{ for every } a \in Id_G.$$

*Thus  $G$  is isomorphic (via  $\eta$ ) to the subgroupoid  $\eta(Id_G) \sqcup K_G$  of  $\text{Full}(K_G)$ .*

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that  $\eta$  is a homomorphism and it is injective according to Proposition 3.2. ■

**Remark.** Let  $K$  be an idempotent-free LSLD groupoid and assume the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of SI subgroupoids  $G$  of  $\text{Full}(K)$  with  $K_G = K$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}$  is non-empty, iff  $\text{Full}(K) \in \mathcal{S}$ ; in this case, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  has minimal elements, say  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , and it follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ , iff  $G$  is a subgroupoid of  $\text{Full}(K)$  and  $H_i \subseteq G$  for at least one  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an idempotent-free LSLD groupoid  $K$ :*

1. *There exists an SI LSLD groupoid  $G$  with  $K_G = K$ .*
2. *The groupoid  $\text{Full}(K)$  is SI.*

- 3. The groupoid  $\text{Full}^-(K)$  is SI.
- 4. If  $\rho$  is a non-trivial  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$ -invariant congruence of  $K$ , then  $ip_K \subseteq \rho$ .

**Proof.** The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from Proposition 2.2, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from Lemma 2.5 and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial.

Now, assume that (4) is true and let  $\sigma$  be a non-trivial congruence of  $\text{Full}(K)$ . If  $\sigma|_K \neq id_K$ , then  $ip_K \subseteq \sigma$  by (4) and thus  $\text{Full}(K)$  is SI. So assume that  $\rho = \sigma|_K = id_K$ . If  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \sigma$  for some  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Aut}_2(K)$ ,  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , then there is at least one  $u \in K$  with  $\varphi(u) \neq \psi(u)$  and we have  $(\varphi(u), \psi(u)) \in \rho$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(\varphi, u) \in \sigma$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}_2(K)$ ,  $u \in K$ . In this case,  $(\varphi, uu) \in \sigma$  and so  $(u, uu) \in \rho$ , a contradiction again.

Finally, assume (2) and consider a non-trivial  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$ -invariant congruence  $\rho$  of  $K$ . Define a relation  $\sigma$  on  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$  by  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \sigma$  iff  $(\varphi(u), \psi(v)) \in \rho$  for every pair  $(u, v) \in \rho$ . According to Lemma 1.8,  $\rho \cup \sigma$  is a congruence of  $\text{Full}(K)$  and so  $ip_K \subseteq \rho$ . ■

A groupoid  $K$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3 will be called *pre-SI*.

**Example.** Let  $\varepsilon$  be a pairing on a non-empty set  $K$ . We equip the set  $K$  with an operation such that  $L_u = o_\varepsilon$  for every  $u \in K$ . Clearly,  $K$  is an idempotent-free LSLD groupoid and  $\text{Aut}_2(K) = \text{Inv}(K, \varepsilon)$ . Using Theorem 4.3, we prove that  $K$  is pre-SI and thus  $G = \text{Full}(K)$  is an SI LSLD groupoid of size  $\alpha(|K_G|) + |K_G|$  (cf. Corollary 3.3).

Let  $\rho$  be a non-trivial  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$ -invariant congruence on  $K$ . We claim that  $ip_K = o_\varepsilon \subseteq \rho$ . Indeed, if  $(u, o_K(u)) \in \rho$  for some  $u \in K$ , then for every  $v \in K$  the involution  $\varphi = (u \ v)(o_K(u) \ o_K(v))$  belongs to  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$  and thus  $(v, o_K(v)) \in \rho$ . Thus  $ip_K \subseteq \rho$ . On the other hand, if  $(u, v) \in \rho$ ,  $u \neq v \neq o_K(u)$ , then the involution  $\psi = (v \ o_K(v))$  belongs to  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$  and thus  $(u, o(v)) = (\psi(u), \psi(v)) \in \rho$  and so  $(v, o(v)) \in \rho$ .

**Example.** Consider the following four-element groupoid  $K$ .

$K$	$0$	$\tilde{0}$	$1$	$\tilde{1}$
$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$	$0$	$\tilde{1}$	$1$
$1, \tilde{1}$	$0$	$\tilde{0}$	$\tilde{1}$	$1$

One can check that  $K$  is an LSLD groupoid,  $\text{Aut}_2(K) = \{id_K, (0 \tilde{0}), (1 \tilde{1}), (0 \tilde{0})(1 \tilde{1})\}$  and the relation  $\rho = \{(0, \tilde{0}), (\tilde{0}, 0)\} \cup id_K$  is an  $\text{Aut}_2(K)$ -invariant congruence of  $K$ . However,  $ip_K \not\subseteq \rho$  and thus  $K$  is not pre-SI.

### 5. FEW IDEMPOTENT ELEMENTS

In this section, let  $G$  be a finite SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid with  $Id_G \neq \emptyset$  and  $r, s, \alpha, \beta$  will denote non-negative integers.

Let  $n = |Id_G|$  and  $2m = |K_G|$ . We put  $K_1(a) = \{u \in K_G : au = u\}$ ,  $K_2(a) = \{u \in K_G : au = uu\}$  and  $K_3(a) = K_G \setminus (K_1(a) \cup K_2(a))$  for every  $a \in Id_G$ .

**Lemma 5.1.**  $|K_1(a)|, |K_2(a)|$  are even numbers and  $|K_3(a)|$  is divisible by 4.

**Proof.**  $|K_1(a)|$  is even, because  $u \in K_1(a)$ , iff  $uu \in K_1(a)$  (and analogously for  $|K_2(a)|$ ). Furthermore, the sets  $\{v, vv, av, a \cdot vv\}$ ,  $v \in K_3(a)$ , are four-element and pairwise disjoint. ■

Let  $r(a) = \frac{1}{2}|K_1(a)|$  and  $s(a) = \frac{1}{2}|K_2(a)|$ . Hence  $m - r(a) - s(a)$  is a (non-negative) even number.

**Lemma 5.2.**  $r(xa) = r(a)$  and  $s(xa) = s(a)$  for all  $a \in Id_G$ ,  $x \in G$ .

**Proof.** If  $v \in K_1(a)$ , then  $xa \cdot xv = x \cdot av = xv$  and so  $xv \in K_1(xa)$ . Conversely, if  $w \in K_1(xa)$ , then  $xw = x(xa \cdot w) = (x \cdot xa)(xw) = a \cdot xw$  and so  $xw \in K_1(a)$ . Thus  $L_x$  maps bijectively  $K_1(a)$  onto  $K_1(xa)$  and, in particular,  $r(a) = |K_1(a)| = |K_1(xa)| = r(xa)$ . Analogously,  $s(a) = s(xa)$ . ■

Let  $I(r, s) = \{a \in Id_G : r(a) = r, s(a) = s\}$ . Indeed, if  $I(r, s) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $m - r - s$  is a non-negative even number. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that  $I(r, s)$  is either empty, or a left ideal of  $G$ .

**Lemma 5.3.**

1. If  $r \geq m$  and  $I(r, s) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $r = m$ ,  $s = 0$  and  $|I(r, s)| = 1$ .
2. If  $s \geq m$  and  $I(r, s) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $r = 0$ ,  $s = m$  and  $|I(r, s)| = 1$ .

**Proof.** (1) Since  $m \geq r + s$ , we have  $r = m$  and  $s = 0$ . Consequently,  $I(r, s) = I(m, 0) = \{a \in Id_G : au = u \text{ for every } u \in K_G\}$ , and hence  $|I(r, s)| = 1$  by Lemma 2.1(3). (2) is analogous. ■

Let  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta)$  be the set of all  $u \in K_G$  such that  $|\{a \in I(r, s) : u \in K_1(a)\}| = \alpha$  and  $|\{a \in I(r, s) : u \in K_2(a)\}| = \beta$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Either  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = \emptyset$ , or  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = K_G$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $J = K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ . We prove that  $J$  is a left ideal. Since  $a \cdot xu = xu$  iff  $xa \cdot u = u$  for every  $u \in J$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $a \in Id_G$ , we have  $L_x(\{b \in I(r, s) : b \cdot xu = xu\}) = \{c \in I(r, s) : cu = u\}$  (use the fact that  $I(r, s)$  is a left ideal) and, in particular,  $|\{b \in I(r, s) : xu \in K_1(b)\}| = \alpha$ . Similarly,  $|\{b \in I(r, s) : xu \in K_2(b)\}| = \beta$  and thus  $xu \in J$ . Consequently,  $J = K_G$  by Lemma 2.1(1). ■

Consequently, for every  $r, s$  there is a unique pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = K_G$  and  $K(r, s, \alpha', \beta') = \emptyset$  for all  $(\alpha', \beta') \neq (\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = K_G$ , then  $\alpha m = rt$  and  $\beta m = st$ , where  $t = |I(r, s)|$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $|\{a \in I(r, s) : au = u\}| = \alpha$  and  $|\{a \in I(r, s) : au = uu\}| = \beta$  for every  $u \in K_G$ , we have  $|L| = 2\alpha m$ , where  $L = \{(a, u) \in I(r, s) \times K_G : au = u\}$ . On the other hand,  $|L| = 2rt$  by the definition of  $I(r, s)$ . Thus  $\alpha m = rt$ . Considering the set  $\{(a, u) \in I(r, s) \times K_G : au = uu\}$ , a similar proof yields  $\beta m = st$ . ■

**Lemma 5.6.** *If  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = K_G$ ,  $I(r, s) \neq \emptyset$  and the numbers  $m$  and  $t = |I(r, s)|$  are relatively prime, then just one of the following cases takes place:*

1.  $r = s = \alpha = \beta = 0$ .
2.  $r = m$ ,  $s = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $t = 1$ .
3.  $r = 0$ ,  $s = m$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$  and  $t = 1$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.5,  $\alpha m = rt$  and  $\beta m = st$ . If  $r = s = 0$ , then obviously  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . If  $r \geq 1$ , then  $m$  divides  $r$  and thus  $r \geq m$ . If  $s \geq 1$ , then  $m$  divides  $s$  and thus  $s \geq m$ . In both cases, Lemma 5.3 applies. ■

**Proposition 5.7.** *If  $I(r, s) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $r + s \geq 1$  and the numbers  $m$  and  $t = |I(r, s)|$  are relatively prime, then  $G$  contains a right zero.*

**Proof.** Choose  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $K(r, s, \alpha, \beta) = K_G$ . It follows from Lemma 5.6 that  $t = 1$  and thus  $I(r, s)$  consists of a right zero. ■

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $m$  is not divisible by any prime number  $p \in \{2, \dots, n - 2, n\}$ , then either  $G$  contains a right zero, or  $n = 3$ ,  $m$  is even and  $u \neq au \neq uu$  for all  $a \in Id_G$ ,  $u \in K_G$ .*

**Proof.** If  $n = 1$ , then  $Id_G = \{a\}$  and  $a$  is a right zero; so we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Obviously, if  $I(r, s) = \emptyset$  for all  $r, s$  with  $r + s \geq 1$ , then  $u \neq au \neq uu$  for all  $a \in Id_G$ ,  $u \in K_G$ , and thus  $m$  is divisible by 2 according to Lemma 5.1. Consequently,  $2 = n - 1$  and thus  $n = 3$ .

So assume that there are  $r, s$  such that  $r + s \geq 1$  and  $t = |I(r, s)| \geq 1$ . If  $m$  and  $t$  are relatively prime, then Lemma 5.7 yields the result. If  $p$  is a prime dividing both  $m$  and  $t$ , then  $p \leq t \leq n$ , and therefore  $p = n - 1$ ,  $t = n - 1$  and the only  $a \in Id_G \setminus I(r, s)$  is a right zero. ■

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $G$  be a finite SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 2m \geq 4$  and let  $p$  be the least prime divisor of  $m$ . If  $|Id_G| < p$ , then either  $Id_G$  contains precisely three elements which are not right zeros, or every element of  $Id_G$  is a right zero and thus  $|Id_G| \leq 2$  and  $K_G$  is subdirectly irreducible.*

**Proof.** Let  $H = G \setminus A$ , where  $A$  is the set of all right zeros of  $G$ . According to Corollary 2.8,  $H$  is an SI LSLD groupoid with no right zeros. However, if  $Id_H \neq \emptyset$ , then  $H$  contains a right zero by Proposition 5.8, a contradiction. The rest follows from Corollary 2.8 too. ■

## 6. SMALL SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE LSLD GROUPOIDS

In this section we apply the theory developed above to search for small SI non-idempotent LSLD groupoids. The procedure for finding all SI LSLD groupoids  $G$  with  $m > 0$  non-idempotent elements follows.

1. We find all  $\frac{m}{2}$ -element LSLDI groupoids.
2. We find all  $m$ -element idempotent-free LSLD groupoids by extending groupoids found in the first step and check which of them are pre-SI (using Theorem 4.3).

3. For each pre-SI groupoid  $K$  found in the second step, we characterize subgroupoids  $I$  of  $\text{Aut}_2^- K$  with the property 4.1(2) and check which  $I \sqcup K$  are subdirectly irreducible.
4. Each SI LSLD groupoid found in the third step can be extended by  $id_G, o_G$ , none or both (see Corollary 2.7).

**Two non-idempotents.** Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 2$ . Then  $K_G \simeq \mathbf{T}$  and  $Id_G$  is either empty, or isomorphic to a subgroupoid of  $\text{Aut}_2(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Inv}(\mathbf{T}, ip_{\mathbf{T}}) = \{id_{\mathbf{T}}, o_{\mathbf{T}}\}$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}[id_{\mathbf{T}}], \mathbf{T}[o_{\mathbf{T}}] \text{ and } \mathbf{T}[id_{\mathbf{T}}][o_{\mathbf{T}}[id_{\mathbf{T}}]]$$

are the only (up to an isomorphism) SI LSLD groupoids with two non-idempotent elements.

**Four non-idempotents.** Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 4$ . Then  $K_G/ip_{K_G}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{S}$ , the only two-element LSLDI groupoid. Clearly, the following groupoids  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  are the only (up to an isomorphism) 4-element idempotent-free LSLD groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 K_1 & 0 & \tilde{0} & 1 & \tilde{1} \\
 \hline
 0, \tilde{0} & \tilde{0} & 0 & \tilde{1} & 1 \\
 1, \tilde{1} & \tilde{0} & 0 & \tilde{1} & 1
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c|ccc}
 K_2 & 0 & \tilde{0} & 1 & \tilde{1} \\
 \hline
 0, \tilde{0} & \tilde{0} & 0 & 1 & \tilde{1} \\
 1, \tilde{1} & 0 & \tilde{0} & \tilde{1} & 1
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c|ccc}
 K_3 & 0 & \tilde{0} & 1 & \tilde{1} \\
 \hline
 0, \tilde{0} & \tilde{0} & 0 & \tilde{1} & 1 \\
 1, \tilde{1} & 0 & \tilde{0} & \tilde{1} & 1
 \end{array}$$

$K_1$  and  $K_2$  are pre-SI,  $K_3$  is not (see the last example in the fourth section). Hence  $K_G$  is isomorphic to one of  $K_1, K_2$ . Now, we designate  $a = (0 \tilde{0})$ ,  $b = (1 \tilde{1})$ ,  $c = (0 \ 1)(\tilde{0} \ \tilde{1})$ ,  $d = (0 \ \tilde{1})(\tilde{0} \ 1)$  the elements of  $I = \text{Aut}_2^-(K_1) = \text{Aut}_2^-(K_2)$ . The multiplication table of  $I$  is

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
 I & a & b & c & d \\
 \hline
 a & a & b & d & c \\
 b & a & b & d & c \\
 c & b & a & c & d \\
 d & b & a & c & d
 \end{array}$$



Thus  $I$  contains three non-trivial subgroupoids  $I_1 = \{a, b\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{c, d\}$  and  $I_3 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . Neither  $K_1$  nor  $K_2$  is SI. Since both  $I_1 \sqcup K_1$ ,  $I_1 \sqcup K_2$  contain the left ideal  $\{0, \tilde{0}\}$ , they are not SI. In  $I_2 \sqcup K_1$ , the element  $c$  is a right zero, because  $L_x = o_{K_1}$  for every  $x \in K_1$ , and thus  $L_x c L_x = c$ ; so  $I_2 \sqcup K_1$  is not SI by Corollary 2.8. On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $I_2 \sqcup K_2$ ,  $I_3 \sqcup K_1$  and  $I_3 \sqcup K_2$  are SI.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There are 12 (up to an isomorphism) SI LSLD groupoids with four non-idempotent elements:*

$$I_3 \sqcup K_1, I_2 \sqcup K_2, I_3 \sqcup K_2$$

and their extensions by right zeros.

**Six non-idempotents.** Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 6$ . Then  $K_G/ip_{K_G}$  is isomorphic to one of  $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2, \mathbf{S}_3$  (see the list of three-element LSLDI groupoids in the introduction).  $\mathbf{S}_2$  cannot be isomorphic to  $K_G/ip_{K_G}$ , because the  $ip_{K_G}$ -block corresponding to the element 0 of  $\mathbf{S}_2$  is always a proper left ideal inside  $K_G$  (every automorphism of  $G$  preserves this block), a contradiction with Lemma 2.1(1). Now, one can check that the following groupoids  $K_4, K_5, K_6, K_7$  are the only (up to an isomorphism) 6-element idempotent-free LSLD groupoids such that their factorgroupoid over  $ip$  is one of  $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_3$ .

$K_4$	0 $\tilde{0}$ 1 $\tilde{1}$ 2 $\tilde{2}$	$K_5$	0 $\tilde{0}$ 1 $\tilde{1}$ 2 $\tilde{2}$
$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{2}$ 2	$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0   1 $\tilde{1}$ 2 $\tilde{2}$
$1, \tilde{1}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{2}$ 2	$1, \tilde{1}$	0 $\tilde{0}$ $\tilde{1}$ 1   2 $\tilde{2}$
$2, \tilde{2}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{2}$ 2	$2, \tilde{2}$	0 $\tilde{0}$ 1 $\tilde{1}$ $\tilde{2}$ 2
$K_6$	0 $\tilde{0}$ 1 $\tilde{1}$ 2 $\tilde{2}$	$K_7$	0 $\tilde{0}$ 1 $\tilde{1}$ 2 $\tilde{2}$
$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{1}$ 1   2 $\tilde{2}$	$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{2}$ 2 $\tilde{1}$ 1
$1, \tilde{1}$	0 $\tilde{0}$ $\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{2}$ 2	$1, \tilde{1}$	$\tilde{2}$ 2 $\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{0}$ 0
$2, \tilde{2}$	$\tilde{0}$ 0   1 $\tilde{1}$ $\tilde{2}$ 2	$2, \tilde{2}$	$\tilde{1}$ 1 $\tilde{0}$ 0 $\tilde{2}$ 2

$K_4$  and  $K_5$  are pre-SI,  $K_6$  and  $K_7$  aren't. Hence  $K_G$  is isomorphic to one of  $K_4, K_5$ . One can compute that  $I = \text{Inv}^-(K_4, ip_{K_4}) = \text{Aut}_2^-(K_4) = \text{Aut}_2^-(K_5)$  contains the following non-trivial subgroupoids:

$$I_1 = \{(x \tilde{x}) : x = 0, 1, 2\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(x \tilde{x})(y \tilde{y}) : x, y = 0, 1, 2, x \neq y\},$$

$$I_{3,1} = \{(x y)(\tilde{x} \tilde{y}) : x, y = 0, 1, 2, x \neq y\},$$

$$I_{3,2} = \{(0 \tilde{1})(\tilde{0} 1), (0 \tilde{2})(\tilde{0} 2), (1 2)(\tilde{1} \tilde{2})\},$$

$$I_{3,3} = \{(0 \tilde{1})(\tilde{0} 1), (1 \tilde{2})(\tilde{1} 2), (0 2)(\tilde{0} \tilde{2})\},$$

$$I_{3,4} = \{(0 \tilde{2})(\tilde{0} 2), (1 \tilde{2})(\tilde{1} 2), (0 1)(\tilde{0} \tilde{1})\},$$

$$I_3 = \{(x y)(\tilde{x} \tilde{y}), (x \tilde{y})(\tilde{x} y) : x, y = 0, 1, 2, x \neq y\} = I_{3,1} \cup I_{3,2} \cup I_{3,3} \cup I_{3,4},$$

$$I_{4,1} = \{(x \tilde{y})(\tilde{x} y)(z \tilde{z}) : \{x, y, z\} = \{0, 1, 2\}\},$$

$$I_{4,2} = \{(0 1)(\tilde{0} \tilde{1})(2 \tilde{2}), (0 2)(\tilde{0} \tilde{2})(1 \tilde{1}), (1 \tilde{2})(\tilde{1} 2)(0 \tilde{0})\},$$

$$I_{4,3} = \{(0 1)(\tilde{0} \tilde{1})(2 \tilde{2}), (1 2)(\tilde{1} \tilde{2})(0 \tilde{0}), (0 \tilde{2})(\tilde{0} 2)(1 \tilde{1})\},$$

$$I_{4,4} = \{(0 2)(\tilde{0} \tilde{2})(1 \tilde{1}), (1 2)(\tilde{1} \tilde{2})(0 \tilde{0}), (0 \tilde{1})(\tilde{0} 1)(2 \tilde{2})\},$$

$$I_4 = \{(x \tilde{y})(\tilde{x} y)(z \tilde{z}), (x y)(\tilde{x} \tilde{y})(z \tilde{z}) : \{x, y, z\} = \{0, 1, 2\}\} = I_{4,1} \cup \dots \cup I_{4,4},$$

$$I_{3,i} \cup I_{4,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

all unions of  $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4$ .

Clearly,  $|I_1| = |I_2| = |I_{3,i}| = |I_{4,i}| = 3, i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $|I_3| = |I_4| = 6$ . Now, none of  $K_4, K_5$  is SI. The following table shows, which of  $J \sqcup K_4, J \sqcup K_5$  ( $J$  a subgroupoid of  $I$ ) are subdirectly irreducible. (An empty space means it does not satisfy the condition 4.1(2).)

$\sqcup$	$I_1$	$I_2$	$I_{3,1}$	$I_{3,2}, I_{3,3}, I_{3,4}$	$I_3$	$I_{4,1}$	$I_{4,2}, I_{4,3}, I_{4,4}$	$I_4$
$K_4$	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
$K_5$	-	-			+			+

$\sqcup$	$I_{3,1} \cup I_{4,1}$	$I_{3,i} \cup I_{4,i}$ $i = 2, 3, 4$	$I_1 \cup I_2$	$I_i \cup I_j$ $i \neq j, \{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}$	$I_i \cup I_j \cup I_k$ $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$	$I$
$K_4$	-	-	-	+	+	+
$K_5$			-	+	+	+

**Proposition 6.2.** *There are 96 (up to an isomorphism) SI LSLD groupoids with six non-idempotent elements: the 24 without right zeros described in the table above and their extensions by right zeros.*

The following table displays the number of SI LSLD groupoids with 2, 4 and 6 non-idempotent elements and a respective number of idempotent elements.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	2	1																		
0	0	1	2	3	4	2														
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4	8	16	8	6	12	6	4	8	4	2	4	2

**More non-idempotents.**

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 8$ . Then  $K_G/ip_{K_G}$  is isomorphic to one of  $R_1, R_2$ .*

$R_1$		0	1	2	3
0		0	1	2	3
1		0	1	2	3
2		0	1	2	3
3		0	1	2	3

$R_2$		0	1	2	3
0		0	1	3	2
1		0	1	3	2
2		1	0	2	3
3		1	0	2	3

**Proof.** For every  $u \in K_G$ , let  $t(u)$  be the number of  $v \in K_G$  such that  $uv \in \{v, vv\}$ . We have  $t(u) = t(xu)$  for every  $x \in G$  (because  $xy \cdot z = z$  iff  $y \cdot xz = xz$ ), hence the set  $\{u \in K_G : t(u) = t\}$  is a left ideal of  $G$  for every  $t$ . Consequently, there is  $t$  such that  $t(u) = t$  for every  $u \in K_G$  (see Lemma 2.1(1)) and thus all left translations in  $R = K_G/ip_{K_G}$  have the same number  $\frac{t}{2}$  of fixed points. Let us denote the elements of  $R$  by  $0, 1, 2, 3$ . Clearly,  $\frac{t}{2} \geq 1$  is an even number. If  $\frac{t}{2} = 4$ , then  $R$  is the right zero band  $R_1$ . Otherwise  $\frac{t}{2} = 2$  and we may assume that  $0, 1$  are the only fix points of  $L_0$ , i.e.,  $L_0 = (2\ 3)$ . Then  $1 \cdot 0 = (0 \cdot 1)(0 \cdot 0) = 0(1 \cdot 0)$  (left distributivity) and hence  $1 \cdot 0$  is a fix point of  $L_0$ . Therefore  $1 \cdot 0 = 0$  and so  $L_1 = L_0$ . Now,  $L_{2 \cdot 0} = L_2 L_0 L_2 = L_2 L_1 L_2 = L_{2 \cdot 1}$ . Since  $L_2(0), L_2(1) \neq 2$  and  $L_0 = L_1 \neq L_3$  (because  $L_0(3) \neq L_3(3)$ ), we have  $\{2 \cdot 0, 2 \cdot 1\} = \{0, 1\}$ . Hence  $L_2 = (0\ 1)$ , because it has two fixed points. Analogously also  $L_3 = (0\ 1)$ . ■

**Proposition 6.4.** *There is no SI idempotent-free LSLD groupoid with 8 elements.*

**Proof.** Since both  $R_1, R_2$  contain proper left ideals, so does any 8-element SI idempotent-free LSLD groupoid, a contradiction with Lemma 2.1(1). ■

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $G$  be an SI LSLD groupoid with  $|K_G| = 10$ . Then  $K_G/ip_{K_G}$  is isomorphic to one of  $R_3, R_4$ .*

$R_3$	0	1	2	3	4	$R_4$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4	0	0	2	1	4	3
1	0	1	2	3	4	1	3	1	4	0	2
2	0	1	2	3	4	2	4	3	2	1	0
3	0	1	2	3	4	3	2	4	0	3	1
4	0	1	2	3	4	4	1	0	3	2	4

**Proof.** Proceed similarly as in the proof of Lemma 6.3. ■

**Proposition 6.6.** *There is no SI idempotent-free LSLD groupoid with 10 elements.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $K = \{0, \tilde{0}, 1, \tilde{1}, 2, \tilde{2}, 3, \tilde{3}, 4, \tilde{4}\}$  is an idempotent-free LSLD groupoid, where blocks of  $ip_K$  are the sets  $\{k, \tilde{k}\}$  for every  $k = 0, \dots, 4$ . Then  $K/ip_K \simeq R_4$  and without loss of generality we put  $0 \cdot 1 = \tilde{2}$ ,  $0 \cdot 3 = \tilde{4}$ ,  $1 \cdot 2 = \tilde{4}$ ,  $1 \cdot 0 = \tilde{3}$ . Then  $\tilde{1} \cdot \tilde{0} = 3$ ,  $\tilde{1} \cdot \tilde{2} = 4$  and thus  $2 \cdot 0 = \tilde{4}$ ,  $2 \cdot 1 = \tilde{3}$ , because  $L_0$  is an automorphism. Also  $3 \cdot 0 = \tilde{2}$ ,  $2 \cdot 1 = \tilde{4}$ ,  $4 \cdot 0 = \tilde{1}$ ,  $4 \cdot 2 = \tilde{3}$ , because  $L_2$  is an automorphism, and the operation on  $K$  is determined. We see that  $\rho = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}^2 \cup \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}, \tilde{4}\}^2$  is a congruence on  $K$  and  $\rho \cap ip_K = id_K$ . Hence  $K$  is not subdirectly irreducible. ■

**Proposition 6.7.** *The following groupoid is the smallest SI idempotent-free LSLD groupoid with more than two elements.*

$K_8$	0	$\tilde{0}$	1	$\tilde{1}$	2	$\tilde{2}$	3	$\tilde{3}$	4	$\tilde{4}$	5	$\tilde{5}$
$0, \tilde{0}$	$\tilde{0}$	0	1	$\tilde{1}$	$\tilde{4}$	4	$\tilde{5}$	5	$\tilde{2}$	2	$\tilde{3}$	3
$1, \tilde{1}$	0	$\tilde{0}$	$\tilde{1}$	1	$\tilde{5}$	5	$\tilde{4}$	4	$\tilde{3}$	3	$\tilde{2}$	2
$2, \tilde{2}$	$\tilde{4}$	4	$\tilde{5}$	5	$\tilde{2}$	2	3	$\tilde{3}$	$\tilde{0}$	0	$\tilde{1}$	1
$3, \tilde{3}$	5	$\tilde{5}$	4	$\tilde{4}$	2	$\tilde{2}$	$\tilde{3}$	3	1	$\tilde{1}$	0	$\tilde{0}$
$4, \tilde{4}$	$\tilde{2}$	2	3	$\tilde{3}$	$\tilde{0}$	0	1	$\tilde{1}$	$\tilde{4}$	4	5	$\tilde{5}$
$5, \tilde{5}$	3	$\tilde{3}$	$\tilde{2}$	2	$\tilde{1}$	1	0	$\tilde{0}$	4	$\tilde{4}$	$\tilde{5}$	5

**Proof.** Subdirect irreducibility of  $K_8$  can be checked easily from the multiplication table and non-existence of a smaller one was proved above. ■

### 7. THE GROUP GENERATED BY LEFT TRANSLATIONS

In the last section, we find another criterion for recognizing that a groupoid is not SI or pre-SI.

Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid. We denote  $L(G)$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  generated by all left translations in  $G$ . For a subset  $N$  of  $L(G)$  we define a relation  $\rho_N$  by  $(x, y) \in \rho_N$ , iff there exists  $\varphi \in N$  such that  $\varphi(x) = y$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $G$  be an LSLD groupoid and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $L(G)$ . Then  $\rho_N$  is a congruence of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\rho_N$  is an equivalence on  $G$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \rho_N$  and  $z \in G$ . We have  $yz = \varphi(x)z = L_{\varphi(x)}L_x(xz) = \varphi L_x \varphi^{-1} L_x(xz)$ , and so  $(xz, yz) \in \rho_N$  via the automorphism  $\varphi L_x \varphi^{-1} L_x \in N$ . Further,  $zy = z\varphi(x) = z\varphi(z \cdot zx) = L_z \varphi L_z(zx)$ , and so  $(zx, zy) \in \rho_N$  via the automorphism  $L_z \varphi L_z \in N$ . ■

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $G$  be an SI non-idempotent or a pre-SI idempotent-free LSLD groupoid and let  $N$  be a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $L(G)$ . Then for every  $u \in G$  there exists  $\varphi \in N$  such that  $\varphi(u) = uu$ .*

**Proof.** If  $G$  is SI non-idempotent, then  $ip_G \subseteq \rho_N$ , because  $\rho_N$  is a non-trivial congruence. If  $G$  is pre-SI idempotent-free, one must check (in a view of Theorem 4.3) that  $\rho_N$  is also  $\text{Aut}_2(G)$ -invariant. If  $(x, y) \in \rho_N$ ,  $\varphi(x) = y$ , and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_2(G)$ , then  $(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})(\psi(x)) = \psi\varphi(x) = \psi(y)$ , and thus  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \rho_N$  via the automorphism  $\psi\varphi\psi^{-1} \in N$ . ■

**Example.** Recall the groupoid  $K_3$  from the previous section. It is easy to calculate that  $L(K_3) = \{id, (0 \tilde{0}), (1 \tilde{1}), (0 \tilde{0})(1 \tilde{1})\}$ , and thus  $N = \{id, (0 \tilde{0})\}$  is a normal subgroup. However, there is no  $\varphi \in N$  such that  $\varphi(1) = \tilde{1}$ , hence  $K_3$  is not pre-SI by Proposition 7.2.

**Remark.** Let  $G$  be a simple LSLD groupoid. Then the subgroup of  $L(G)$  generated by all  $L_x L_y$ ,  $x, y \in G$ , is a smallest non-trivial normal subgroup of  $L(G)$  and thus  $L(G)$  is subdirectly irreducible. This is a result of H. Nagao [6] and it can be proved similarly. However, due to Corollary 1.3, it is interesting in the idempotent case only.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, *A course in universal algebra*, GTM 78, Springer 1981.
- [2] P. Dehornoy, *Braids and self-distributivity*, Progress in Math. 192, Birkhäuser Basel 2000.
- [3] D. Joyce, *Simple quandles*, J. Algebra **79** (1982), 307–318.
- [4] T. Kepka, *Non-idempotent left symmetric left distributive groupoids*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **35** (1994), 181–186.
- [5] T. Kepka and P. Němec, *Selfdistributive groupoids. A1. Non-idempotent left distributive groupoids*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **44/1** (2003), 3–94.

- [6] H. Nagao, *A remark on simple symmetric sets*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 349–352.
- [7] B. Roszkowska-Lech, *Subdirectly irreducible symmetric idempotent entropic groupoids*, Demonstratio Math. **32/3** (1999), 469–484.
- [8] D. Stanovský, *A survey of left symmetric left distributive groupoids*, available at <http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~stanovsk/math/survey.pdf>
- [9] D. Stanovský, *Left symmetric left distributive operations on a group*, Algebra Universalis **54/1** (2003), 97–103.
- [10] M. Takasaki, *Abstractions of symmetric functions*, Tôhoku Math. Journal **49** (1943), 143–207 (Japanese).

Received 27 July 2005