# EMBEDDINGS OF CHAINS INTO CHAINS

VÍTĚZSLAV NOVÁK AND TOMÁŠ NOVOTNÝ

Masaryk University, Faculty of Education Poříčí 31, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic

#### Abstract

Continuity of isotone mappings and embeddings of a chain G into another chain are studied. Especially, conditions are found under which the set of points of discontinuity of such a mapping is dense in G.

**Keywords:** chain, cut, isotone mapping, embedding, continuity, dense subset.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A05, 06F30.

## 1. Introduction

If G is a set, then |G| denotes its cardinality; a set G will be called nontrivial if  $|G| \geq 2$ . Let G be a chain and  $x, y \in G$ ; the symbol x-< y means that x, y are consecutive elements in G, i.e., x < y and x < z < y for no  $z \in G$ . In this case we will also say that x is an up-isolated element and y is down-isolated element in G. An element  $x \in G$  is isolated if it is up-isolated or down-isolated.

Let G be a chain, H a (partially) ordered set. A mapping  $f:G\to H$  is isotone if  $x,y\in G, x< y$  implies  $f(x)\leq f(y)$ ; it is an embedding if it is isotone and injective. A surjective embedding  $f:G\to H$  is clearly an isomorphism of G onto H.

If G and H are disjoint chains (or, more generally, ordered sets), then  $G \oplus H$  denotes its *ordinal sum*. Also, if G is a chain and  $\{H_x : x \in G\}$  is a system of pairwise disjoint chains, then  $\bigoplus_{x \in G} H_x$  denotes the ordinal sum of chains  $H_x$  over G. Moreover,  $G \circ H$  is the *ordinal product* of chains G, H.

Recall that a *cut* of a chain G is a couple [A, B] of its nonvoid subsets such that  $G = A \oplus B$ . The terms *jump* and *gap* will be used in the usual

sense. Note that a chain G contains no jumps if it has no isolated elements and it contains no gaps if it is a conditionally complete lattice, i.e., any nonvoid up-bounded subset of G has the supremum in G and any nonvoid down-bounded subset of G has the infimum in G.

Let G be a chain and  $a,b \in G, a \leq b$ . We denote  $\langle a,b \rangle$  the closed interval with end-points a,b, i.e.,  $\langle a,b \rangle = \{x \in G: a \leq x \leq b\}$ . Similarly, if a < b, then  $(a,b) = \{x \in G: a < x < b\}$  is the open interval with end-points a,b. Symbols  $\langle a,b \rangle$  and (a,b) have the obvious meaning. Also,  $(a,\infty) = \{x \in G: x > a\}, (-\infty,a) = \{x \in G: x < a\}$  and  $(-\infty,\infty) = G$  are open intervals. More generally, by an interval in a chain G we mean any subset  $I \subseteq G$  having the property  $a,b \in I, a < b \Rightarrow \langle a,b \rangle \subseteq I$ .

Let S be any system of nonvoid subsets of a chain G. We define a relation  $\prec$  on S by setting  $A \prec B \Leftrightarrow a < b$  for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in B$ . Trivially, this relation is a (partial) order on S; we will call it a natural order on S. If elements of S are pairwise disjoint intervals, then  $\prec$  is a linear order on S. Especially, if  $A = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle$  are closed intervals in G, then  $A \prec B$  is equivalent to  $a_2 < b_1$ .

In following chapters we assume that two nontrivial chains G and L are given where L contains no gaps (and thus it is a conditionally complete lattice).

#### 2. Isotone mappings and embeddings

Let  $\varphi:G\to L$  be an isotone mapping. Put for any  $x\in G$ 

$$a_{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\varphi(t): \ t \in G, t < x\}, & \text{if } x \text{ is not the least element in } G, \\ \\ \varphi(x), & \text{if } x \text{ is the least element in } G; \end{cases}$$

$$b_{\varphi}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \inf\{\varphi(t): \ t \in G, t > x\}, & \text{if $x$ is not the greatest element in $G$,} \\ \\ \varphi(x), & \text{if $x$ is the greatest element in $G$.} \end{array} \right.$$

In the sequel, symbols  $a_{\varphi}(x)$ ,  $b_{\varphi}(x)$  always have this meaning.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping. Then  $a_{\varphi}(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq b_{\varphi}(x)$  for any  $x \in G$ .

### **Proof.** This is clear and follows from the definition.

Further, the set of all closed intervals in L is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$ ;  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$  is thus an ordered set. If  $\varphi : G \to L$  is an isotone mapping, then we define a mapping  $f[\varphi] : G \to \mathcal{L}$  by  $f[\varphi](x) = \langle a_{\varphi}(x), b_{\varphi}(x) \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping. Then it holds:

- (i) If  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G, <) into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$ , then  $\varphi$  is an embedding of (G, <) into (L, <).
- (ii) If G contains no jumps and if  $\varphi$  is an embedding of (G,<) into (L,<), then  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G,<) into  $(\mathcal{L},\prec)$ .

## Proof.

- (i) Suppose that  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G, <) into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$  and let x < y for some  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $f[\varphi](x) \prec f[\varphi](y)$ , i.e.,  $b_{\varphi}(x) < a_{\varphi}(y)$ . As  $\varphi(x) \leq b_{\varphi}(x)$ ,  $\varphi(y) \geq a_{\varphi}(y)$ , we have  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$  and  $\varphi$  is an embedding of (G, <) into (L, <).
- (ii) Let G contain no jumps and let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an embedding. Take any  $x, y \in G, x < y$ ; then there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in G$  with  $x < x_0 < y_0 < y$ . From this  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(x_0) < \varphi(y_0) < \varphi(y)$ . From definition of  $b_{\varphi}(x), a_{\varphi}(y)$ , it follows  $b_{\varphi}(x) \leq \varphi(x_0), a_{\varphi}(y) \geq \varphi(y_0)$ . Thus,  $b_{\varphi}(x) < a_{\varphi}(y)$  implies  $f[\varphi](x) = \langle a_{\varphi}(x), b_{\varphi}(x) \rangle \prec \langle a_{\varphi}(y), b_{\varphi}(y) \rangle = f[\varphi](y)$  and  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G, <) into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$ .

Therefore, we have

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping and let G contain no jumps. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is an embedding of (G, <) into (L, <);
- (ii)  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G, <) into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$ .

**Example 2.4.** Denote  $G = (0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with the natural ordering of reals and  $L = G \circ \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . Let  $\varphi : G \to L$  be mapping such that  $\varphi(x) = [x, \frac{1}{2}]$  for  $x \in G$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an embedding of G into L; as G contains no jumps,  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of G into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$ . Clearly, we have  $a_{\varphi}(x) = [x, 0], \ b_{\varphi}(x) = [x, 1]$  for any  $x \in G$ ; thus,  $f[\varphi](x) = \langle [x, 0], [x, 1] \rangle$ .

We can also prove

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an embedding. Then G contains no jumps iff  $f[\varphi]$  is an embedding of (G, <) into  $(\mathcal{L}, \prec)$ .

**Proof.** If G contains no jumps, then  $f[\varphi]$  is embedding by 2.2.(ii). On the other hand, suppose that G contains consecutive elements  $x - \langle y \rangle$ . Then  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$  in L and, trivially,  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(y), a_{\varphi}(y) = \varphi(x)$ . Thus,  $a_{\varphi}(y) < b_{\varphi}(x)$  and the relation  $f[\varphi](x) \prec f[\varphi](y)$  is not valid. Hence,  $f[\varphi]$  is not an embedding of  $(G, \langle)$  into  $(\mathcal{L}, \langle)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping and let G contain no gaps. Then  $\bigcup f[\varphi](G)$  is an interval in L.

**Proof.** Take any  $a, b \in \bigcup f[\varphi](G)$  and suppose the existence of an element  $c \in L$  such that  $a < c < b, c \notin \bigcup f[\varphi](G)$ . Denote  $A = \{t \in G : b_{\varphi}(t) < c\}$ and  $B = \{t \in G : a_{\varphi}(t) > c\}$ ; we show that [A, B] is a cut in G. As  $a \in$  $\bigcup f[\varphi](G)$ , there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $a \in f[\varphi](x)$ , i.e.,  $a_{\varphi}(x) \leq a \leq b_{\varphi}(x)$ and  $a_{\varphi}(x) < c$ . Then necessarily  $b_{\varphi}(x) < c$ ; otherwise  $c \in \langle a_{\varphi}(x), b_{\varphi}(x) \rangle \subseteq$  $\bigcup f[\varphi](G)$ , a contradiction. From this  $x \in A$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly, we can prove  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then  $b_{\varphi}(x) < c$  and  $a_{\varphi}(y) > c$  so that  $b_{\varphi}(x) < a_{\varphi}(y)$ . As  $\varphi(x) \leq b_{\varphi}(x)$  and  $\varphi(y) \geq a_{\varphi}(y)$ , we have  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$ . As  $\varphi$  is isotone, this implies x < y and we have proved  $A \prec B$ . Let  $x \in G$ be any element. Then  $c \notin f[\varphi](x) = \langle a_{\varphi}(x), b_{\varphi}(x) \rangle$ . Thus either  $c > b_{\varphi}(x)$ or  $c < a_{\varphi}(x)$ , i.e., either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ . We have shown  $A \cup B = G$  and [A, B] is a cut in G. As G contains no gaps, there exists  $\max(A)$  or  $\min(B)$ in G. Suppose the existence of  $\max(A) = z$ . Then  $b_{\varphi}(z) < c$  by definition of A; at the same time  $c \leq \inf(\{a_{\varphi}(t): t \in B\}) = \inf(\{a_{\varphi}(t): t \in G, t > z\})$  $\leq \inf(\{\varphi(t): t \in G, t > z\}) = b_{\varphi}(z)$ , a contradiction. If B has the minimum in G, then the conclusion is similar.

J. Novák proved in [5] that if H is a chain without jumps and gaps and if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a system of its nonvoid pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{H} = H$ , then  $(\mathcal{H}, \prec)$  is a chain without jumps and gaps. We prove a more general assertion.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_0$  be a system of nonvoid pairwise disjoint intervals in L such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0$  is an interval in L. Then the chain  $(\mathcal{L}_0, \prec)$  contains no gaps.

**Proof.** Denote  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0 = I$ ; thus I is an interval in L. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{L}_0, \prec)$  contains a cut  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$  which is a gap. Put  $A = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  and  $B = \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ . Then [A, B] is a cut in I. As  $(\mathcal{A}, \prec)$  does not contain the greatest element, (A, <) does not contain the greatest element. Similarly, (B, <) does not contain the least element. The cut [A, B] in I is thus a gap which is impossible.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let G contain no jumps and let  $\varphi : G \to L$  be an embedding. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) G contains no gaps;
- (ii) In L there exists a system  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$  is an interval in L and that (G, <) and  $(\mathcal{L}_G, \prec)$  are isomorphic.

**Proof.** (i) implies (ii) by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.6. On the other hand, (ii) implies (i) by Lemma 2.7.

### 3. Continuity interval topology

Recall that the *interval topology* on a chain H is a topology the base of which is the system of all open intervals. In the following text, when speaking about a continuity of a mapping  $\varphi: G \to L$ , we mean the continuity of  $\varphi$  with respect to interval topology on G and on L.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping and let  $x \in G$ . If  $a_{\varphi}(x) = b_{\varphi}(x)$ , then  $\varphi$  is continuous at x.

**Proof.** Let  $a_{\varphi}(x) = b_{\varphi}(x)$  so that  $a_{\varphi}(x) = b_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$  and let U be any neighborhood of the element  $\varphi(x)$  in L. Then there exists an open interval  $I \subseteq U$  such that  $\varphi(x) \in I$ . Let, at first, I = (a, b), where  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a < \varphi(x) < b$ . Suppose that x is not an end-element in G. Then there exists  $t_1 \in G$  with  $t_1 < x$  such that  $\varphi(t_1) > a$  (otherwise it would be  $a_{\varphi}(x) \le a$ ) and  $t_2 \in G$  with  $t_2 > x$  such that  $\varphi(t_2) < b$ . If we put  $V = (t_1, t_2)$ , then V is a neighborhood of x and  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$ . If x is the least element in G, then it is not the greatest and we find  $t_2 \in G$  with  $t_2 > x$  such that  $\varphi(t_2) < b$ . Then  $V = (-\infty, t_2) = \langle x, t_2 \rangle$  is a neighborhood of x with  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$ . The case when x is the greatest element in G is similar.

Now, suppose  $I=(a,\infty)$  so that  $\varphi(x)>a$ . If x is not the least element in G, then there exists  $t_1\in G$  with  $t_1< x$  such that  $\varphi(t_1)>a$ . We put  $V=(t_1,\infty)$  and we have  $\varphi(V)\subseteq U$ . If x is the least element in G, it suffices to put  $V=(-\infty,\infty)$ . If  $I=(-\infty,b)$ , then the considerations are similar and case  $I=(-\infty,\infty)$  is trivial.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping, let  $x \in G$  and let  $\varphi$  be continuous at x. If x is not up-isolated, then  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ ; if x is not down-isolated, then  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let x be not up-isolated. If x is the greatest element in G or  $\varphi(x)$  is the greatest element in L, then, trivially,  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ . Suppose that x is not the greatest in G and  $\varphi(x)$  is not the greatest in L. Choose arbitrarily  $c \in L, c > \varphi(x)$ . Then  $U = (-\infty, c)$  is a neighborhood of  $\varphi(x)$  in L; thus there exists a neighborhood V of x in G such that  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$ . As x is not up-isolated, there exists  $t \in V$  such that x < t. From this  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(t)$  and  $\varphi(t) \in U$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(t) < c$ . From the definition of  $b_{\varphi}(x)$ , we have  $b_{\varphi}(x) \leq \varphi(t) < c$ . Hence,  $b_{\varphi}(x) < c$  for any  $c \in L$ ,  $c > \varphi(x)$  implies  $b_{\varphi}(x) \leq \varphi(x)$ . From this  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ . The assertion for  $a_{\varphi}(x)$  can be proved similarly.

Taking into account Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we have:

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping and let  $x \in G$  be not an isolated element. Then  $\varphi$  is continuous at x iff  $a_{\varphi}(x) = b_{\varphi}(x)$ .

From the preceding Lemmas we get

**Theorem 3.4.** Let G contain no jumps and let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping. Then  $\varphi$  is continuous precisely at those elements  $x \in G$  for which  $a_{\varphi}(x) = b_{\varphi}(x)$ .

Now we will investigate the problem of existence of an embedding  $\varphi:G\to L$  with the prescribed set of points of discontinuity.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let G contain no jumps and let  $H \subseteq G$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) there exists an embedding  $\varphi: G \to L$  which is discontinuous at each element  $x \in H$ ;

(ii) L contains a system  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that there exists an isomorphism  $f: (G, <) \to (\mathcal{L}_G, \prec)$  with the property  $x \in H \Rightarrow |f(x)| > 1$ .

**Proof.** (i) implies (ii) by Lemma 2.5. and Theorem 3.4. Suppose that (ii) holds and let  $f(x) = \langle a(x), b(x) \rangle$  for  $x \in G$ . By the assumption, a(x) < b(x) for  $x \in H$ . Let us define a mapping  $\varphi : G \to L$  like this:

- $\varphi(x) = a(x)$  if x is not the greatest element of G,
- $\varphi(x) = b(x)$  if x is the greatest element of G.

Clearly,  $\varphi$  is an embedding of (G,<) into (L,<). Let  $x\in H$  so that a(x)< b(x). If x is not the greatest element in G, then  $U=(-\infty,b(x))$  is a neighborhood of  $a(x)=\varphi(x)$  in L. Let V be any neighborhood of x in G. As G contains no jumps, V contains an element t>x. Then  $f(x)\prec f(t)$ , i.e., b(x)< a(t) and  $\varphi(t)\geq a(t)>b(x)$  implies  $\varphi(t)\notin U$ . Thus,  $\varphi(V)\subseteq U$  for no neighborhood V of x and  $\varphi$  is discontinuous at x. Now suppose that x is the greatest element in G. Put  $U=(a(x),\infty)$  Then U is a neighborhood of  $b(x)=\varphi(x)$  in L. If V is any neighborhood of x in G, then V contains an element t< x. Therefore,  $f(t)\prec f(x)$ , i.e., b(t)< a(x) implies  $\varphi(t)\leq b(t)< a(x)$  and  $\varphi(t)\notin U$ . Thus, again,  $\varphi(V)\subseteq U$  for no neighborhood V of x; and  $\varphi$  is discontinuous at x.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let G contain no jumps and let  $H \subseteq G$ . Assume that L contains a system  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$  is an interval in L and that there exists an isomorphism  $f: (G, <) \to (\mathcal{L}_G, \prec)$  with the property  $x \in H \Leftrightarrow |f(x)| > 1$ . Then there exists an embedding  $\varphi: G \to L$  such that H is the set of points of discontinuity of the mapping  $\varphi$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = \langle a(x), b(x) \rangle$  so that a(x) < b(x) for  $x \in H$  and a(x) = b(x) for  $x \in G \setminus H$ . Let us define a mapping  $\varphi : G \to L$  in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, i.e.,  $\varphi(x) = b(x)$  if x is the greatest element in G and  $\varphi(x) = a(x)$  in the other case. Then  $\varphi$  is an embedding of G into L and, as it has been proved in Lemma 3.5,  $\varphi$  is discontinuous at any element  $x \in H$ . Let  $x \in G \setminus H$  such that  $f(x) = \{a(x)\}$  and let U be any neighborhood of the element  $\varphi(x) = a(x)$ . Suppose at first that x is not

end-element in G. Then  $\varphi(x)$  is not end-element in L and hence, there exist  $a,b \in L$  such that  $a < \varphi(x) < b$  and  $(a,b) \subseteq U$ . Suppose that b(t) < a for any  $t \in G, t < x$ . As  $f(x) = \{\varphi(x)\} = \{a(x)\}$  and for  $t \in G, t > x$  implies  $f(t) \succ f(x)$ , i.e.,  $a(t) > \varphi(x)$ , we have  $a \notin \langle a(t), b(t) \rangle = f(t)$  for all  $t \in G$  and  $a \notin \bigcup f(G) = \bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$  is an interval in L. Thus it must exist an element  $t_0 \in G, t_0 < x$  such that  $b(t_0) \ge a$ . Take an element  $t_1 \in G$  such that  $t_0 < t_1 < x$ . Then  $f(t_0) \prec f(t_1) \prec f(x)$ , i.e.,  $b(t_0) < a(t_1) \le b(t_1) < \varphi(x)$  and  $\varphi(t_1) = a(t_1) > a$ . In the similar way we find an element  $t_2 \in G$  with  $t_2 > x$  such that  $b(t_2) < b$ . Then  $V = (t_1, t_2)$  is a neighborhood of x such that  $\varphi(V) \subseteq (a,b) \subseteq U$  and  $\varphi$  is continuous at x.

Now let x be the greatest element in G. Then it is not the least element and, hence,  $\varphi(x)$  is not the least element in E. Thus, there exists E with E is a similar way as above, we find an element E is a neighborhood of E with E is a neighborhood of E with E is an element in E. In a similar way as above, we find an element E is a neighborhood of E with E is an element in E is the least element in E, then the proof is similar.

From the preceding two Lemmas, we now obtain the conclusion:

**Theorem 3.7.** Let G contain no jumps and no gaps and let  $H \subseteq G$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) there exists an embedding  $\varphi: G \to L$  such that H is the set of points of discontinuity of the mapping  $\varphi$ ;
- (ii) L contains a system  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$  is an interval in L and that there exists an isomorphism  $f:(G,<) \to (\mathcal{L}_G,\prec)$  with the property  $x \in H \Leftrightarrow |f(x)| > 1$ .

**Proof.** If (i) is valid, then (ii) holds by Theorems 2.8 and 3.4. On the other hand, (ii) implies (i) by Lemma 3.6.

Especially, by setting H = G, we get

**Theorem 3.8.** Let G contain no jumps and no gaps. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) there exists an embedding  $\varphi: G \to L$  which is discontinuous at each point of G;

(ii) L contains a system  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_G$  is an interval in L and that (G, <) and  $(\mathcal{L}_G, \prec)$  are isomorphic.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $G = (0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be the open interval with usual ordering and  $L = G \circ \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_G = \{\langle [x,0], [x,1] \rangle : x \in G\}$  is an ordered naturally system of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals in L whose union is L and which is isomorphic with G. Thus, according to Theorem 3.8, exists an embedding of G into L which is discontinuous at each point of G. By the considerations in proofs of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, the mapping  $\varphi(x) = [x,0]$ , (where  $x \in G$ ) is such an embedding. Clearly, the embedding constructed in Example 2.4 has also this property.

### 4. Case G = L

In this part we will investigate the following problem: Can there exist a chain G and an embedding of G into G which is discontinuous at each element of G? If G contains no jumps and no gaps, then it is possible (by Theorem 3.8) only in case when G contains an ordered naturally system of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals which is isomorphic with G.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let G be a chain without gaps and containing both end-elements. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is any system of nontrivial subsets of G, then  $(\mathcal{G}, \prec)$  is not isomorphic to (G, <).

**Proof.** From assumptions it follows that G is a complete lattice; denote 0 its least element. Suppose that there exists some system  $\mathcal{G}$  of its nontrivial subsets and an isomorphism  $f:(G,<)\to (\mathcal{G},\prec)$ . Denote  $A=\{x\in G: \{x\}\prec f(x)\}$ . Of course,  $A\subseteq G$ . Now we show  $A\neq\emptyset$ . If  $\{0\}\prec f(0)$ , then  $0\in A$ ; in the opposite case an element  $x\in f(0), x>0$  exists and then  $x\in A$  for  $f(0)\prec f(x)$ . Denote  $\sup(A)=a$  and choose two elements  $u,v\in f(a), u< v$ . Suppose  $a\leq u$ . Then a< v, hence,  $f(a)\prec f(v)$  implies  $\{v\}\prec f(v)$ . This means  $v\in A$  which contradicts the fact  $\sup(A)=a$  and v>a. Thus a>u so that  $a\notin A$  and it is  $f(u)\prec f(a)$ , especially  $f(u)\prec \{u\}$ . From this  $u\notin A$ . When we should have an  $x\in A$  such that u< x< a, then  $\{x\}\prec f(x)\prec f(a)$  and x< u, a contradiction. Thus no  $x\in A$  with u< x< a exists and  $A\cap \langle u,a\rangle=\emptyset$ . But this contradicts the fact  $\sup(A)=a$ .

As a special case, if G is a chain without gaps and with end-elements, then it contains no system  $\mathcal{G}$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $(\mathcal{G}, \prec)$  is isomorphic to (G, <). This, together with Theorem 3.8, gives:

**Corollary 4.2.** Let G be a chain without jumps and gaps containing endelements. Then there is no embedding of G into G which is discontinuous at each point of G.

If G does not contain some end-element, then Theorem 4.1 does not hold. Indeed, it suffices to put G equal with the set of all positive integers and  $f(n) = \{2n - 1, 2n\}$ .

M. Novotný in [6] constructed an example of a chain G without jumps and gaps containing a system  $\mathcal{G}$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $(\mathcal{G}, \prec)$  is isomorphic to (G, <). The following Theorem gives a general construction of chains with this property.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let G be a chain, H be a nontrivial chain. Put  $G_1 = G, G_{n+1} = G_n \circ H$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ . Then K contains a system K of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that (K, <) is isomorphic to  $(K, \prec)$ .

**Proof.** Choose two fixed elements  $a, b \in H$  such that a < b. Let  $x \in K$ . Then there exists (a unique)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in G_n$ . We put  $f(x) = \langle [x,a],[x,b] \rangle \subseteq G_{n+1}$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{K} = \{f(x): x \in K\}$ . We show that  $f:(K,<) \to (\mathcal{K},\prec)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $x,y \in K, x < y$ . If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x,y \in G_n$ , then [x,b] < [y,a] in  $G_{n+1}$  and  $f(x) = \langle [x,a],[x,b] \rangle \prec \langle [y,a],[y,b] \rangle = f(y)$ . If  $x \in G_m, y \in G_n$ , where  $m \neq n$ , then m < n and  $[x,b] \in G_{m+1},[y,a] \in G_{n+1}$ . This implies [x,b] < [y,a] again in K and  $f(x) \prec f(y)$  in K as well.

Note that if H contains end-elements 0,1 and if we put a=0,b=1 in the above construction, then  $\bigcup \mathcal{K}$  is an interval in K.

A chain having the property from Theorem 4.3 can be constructed such that it contains no jumps and no gaps. This follows from two lemmas which proofs are left to reader.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let G, H be chains. If G and H contain no jumps, then  $G \circ H$  contains no jumps; if G and H contain no gaps and H contains both end-elements, then  $G \circ H$  contains no gaps.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let G and H be chains. If G and H contain no jumps and G has not the greatest element or H has not the least element, then  $G \oplus H$  contains no jumps. If G and H contain no gaps and G has the greatest element or H has the least element, then  $G \oplus H$  contains no gaps.

We also have:

**Corollary 4.6.** There exists a chain K without jumps and gaps which contains a system K of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $\bigcup K$  is an interval in K and that (K, <) is isomorphic with  $(K, \prec)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1$  be a chain without jumps and gaps and with the least element and without the greatest element, and let H be a nontrivial chain without jumps and gaps containing end-elements 0,1. Put  $G_{n+1} = G_n \circ H$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ . Then, by Theorem 4.3, K is a chain which contains a system K with desired properties. Further, if we put a = 0, b = 1 in the construction in the proof of Theorem 4.3, then  $\bigcup K$  is an interval in K. Further, by Lemma 4.4,  $G_2 = G_1 \circ H$  contains no jumps and no gaps and, clearly, it contains the least element and does not contain the greatest element. By Lemma 4.5,  $G_1 \oplus G_2$  contains no jumps and no gaps and it does not contain the greatest element. By induction, we prove that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_n$  contains no jumps and no gaps and it contains the least element and  $G_{n+1}$  contains no jumps and no gaps and it contains the least element and does not contain the greatest element. Then  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_n \oplus G_{n+1}$  contains no jumps and no gaps and no greatest element. From this it follows that  $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$  contains no jumps and no gaps.

Note that the chain K constructed above has not the greatest element but it contains the least element.

Further, by Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 4.6, we conclude:

**Corollary 4.7.** There exists a chain K without jumps and gaps and an embedding  $\varphi: K \to K$  which is discontinuous at all points of K.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $G = G_1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $H = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , both with the usual ordering,  $G_{n+1} = G_n \circ H$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ . By Corollary 4.6 and its proof, K is a chain without jumps and gaps (containing the least element and not containing the greatest element) which contains a system K of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $\bigcup K$  is an

interval in K. Therefore that (K, <) and  $(K, \prec)$  are isomorphic. Thus, by Theorem 3.8, it exists an embedding  $\varphi : K \to K$  which is discontinuous at all elements of K. An example of such an embedding is the following one: if  $x \in K$ , then there exists (a unique)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in G_n$ ; finally, we put  $\varphi(x) = [x, \frac{1}{2}] \in G_{n+1}$ .

### 5. Density of the set of points of discontinuity

In this part we again suppose that there are given two nontrivial chains G, L and L contains no gaps. Symbols  $a_{\varphi}(x)$ ,  $b_{\varphi}(x)$ , for a given isotone mapping  $\varphi: G \to L$  have the same meaning as in parts 2 and 3.

Recall that a subset H of a chain G is called *dense* in G (in the Hausdorff sense, see [3], p. 89) if for any elements  $x, y \in G$ , x < y there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in H$  such that  $x \le x_0 < y_0 \le y$ . Trivially, if H is dense in G and  $x, y \in G$ , x < y, then  $x, y \in H$ . If G contains no jumps, then  $H \subseteq G$  is dense in G in the above sense iff it is topologically dense in G at interval topology.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\varphi : G \to L$  be an isotone mapping, let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G and let  $x \in G$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If x is not the least element in G, then  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \sup\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}$ .
- (ii) If x is not the greatest element in G, then  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \inf\{\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t > x\}\}.$

**Proof.** Ad (i): Let x be not the least element in G. Of course,

$$\sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}) \le a_{\varphi}(x).$$

If there exists an  $x_0 \in G$  such that  $x_0 \leftarrow x$ , then, clearly,  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x_0)$ ; but  $x_0 \in H$  so that  $\sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}) = \varphi(x_0)$ . In the opposite case, for any  $t \in G, t < x$ , there exists  $t_0 \in H$  with  $t < t_0 < x$  implying  $\varphi(t) \le \varphi(t_0)$ . From this  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in G, t < x\}) \le \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\})$  and we have  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\})$ .

(ii) can be proved by dual considerations.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping and let G contain no jumps. Let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G and let  $x \in G$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If x is not the least in G, then  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \sup\{\{b_{\varphi}(t): t \in H, t < x\}\}$ .
- (ii) If x is not the greatest in G, then  $b_{\varphi}(x) = \inf(\{a_{\varphi}(t): t \in H, t > x\}).$

**Proof.** Ad (i): Suppose that x is not the least element in G. By Lemma 5.1,  $a_{\varphi}(x) = \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\})$ . As  $\varphi(t) \leq b_{\varphi}(t)$  for all  $t \in G$ , we have  $a_{\varphi}(x) \leq \sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t): t \in H, t < x\})$ . On the other hand, if  $t \in H$  and t < x, then there exists  $t_0 \in H$  with  $t < t_0 < x$  implying  $b_{\varphi}(t) \leq \varphi(t_0)$ . From this  $\sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t): t \in H, t < x\}) \leq \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}) = a_{\varphi}(x)$  and the assertion follows.

(ii) can be proved similarly.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\varphi: G \to L$  be an isotone mapping, let G contain no jumps and no gaps, and let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G. If  $\varphi$  is continuous at any point  $x \in G \setminus H$ , then  $cl(\bigcup f[\varphi](H))$  is an interval in L.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $a, b \in cl(\bigcup f[\varphi](H)), a < b$  and that  $c \in L, a < c < b$ . If it exists  $x \in H$  such that  $c \in \langle a_{\varphi}(x), b_{\varphi}(x) \rangle = f[\varphi](x)$ , then clearly  $c \in cl(\bigcup f[\varphi](H))$ . Thus, we can suppose that no  $x \in H$  with this property exists. This means that either  $b_{\varphi}(x) < c$  or  $a_{\varphi}(x) > c$  for any  $x \in H$ . Denote  $A = \{x \in H : b_{\varphi}(x) < c\}, B = \{x \in H : a_{\varphi}(x) > c\}.$  Then [A, B]is a cut in H. As H is dense in G and G contains no jumps and no gaps, there exists exactly one element  $z \in G$  such that  $z = \sup(A) = \inf(B)$ . Assume at first  $z \in A$ , i.e.,  $z = \max(A)$ . Then  $b_{\varphi}(z) < c$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $b_{\varphi}(z) = \inf(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t > z\}) = \inf(\varphi(B))$ . Thus it must exist  $t_0 \in B$ with  $\varphi(t_0) < c$ . By definition of the set B, we have  $\varphi(t_0) \geq a_{\varphi}(t_0) > c$ , a contradiction. Similarly, we verify that  $z \notin B$  and hence  $z \in G \setminus H$ . By assumption  $\varphi$  is continuous at z and, by Theorem 3.4,  $a_{\varphi}(z) = b_{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(z)$ . From Lemma 5.2, there follows  $\varphi(z) = \sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t) : t \in H, t < z\}) =$  $\sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t): t \in A\})$ . As  $b_{\varphi}(t) < c$  for all  $t \in A$ , we have  $\sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t): t \in A\})$  $\leq c$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(z) \leq c$ . Similarly, the fact  $\varphi(z) = \inf(\{a_{\varphi}(t): t \in H, t > z\})$ implies  $\varphi(z) \geq c$  and we have  $\varphi(z) = c$ .

Let U be any neighborhood of the element  $c \in L$ . Then there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in L$  such that  $c_1 < c < c_2$  and  $(c_1, c_2) \subseteq U$ . As  $c = \varphi(z) = \sup(\{b_{\varphi}(t) : t \in H, t < z\})$ , there exists  $t_0 \in H$ , such that  $t_0 < z$  and  $c_1 < b_{\varphi}(t_0) \leq c$ . This implies  $\langle a_{\varphi}(t_0), b_{\varphi}(t_0) \rangle \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $U \cap (\bigcup f[\varphi](H)) \neq \emptyset$  for any neighborhood U of c and  $c \in cl(\bigcup f[\varphi](H))$ . We have proved that  $cl(\bigcup f[\varphi](H))$  is an interval in L.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let G, L contain no jumps and L contain end-elements. Let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G and let L contain a system  $\mathcal{L}_H$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $cl(\bigcup \mathcal{L}_H)$  is an interval in L and that (H, <) is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{L}_H, \prec)$ . Then there exists an isotone mapping  $\varphi: G \to L$  such that H is the set of points of discontinuity of the mapping  $\varphi$ .

**Proof.** The assumptions imply that L is a complete lattice. Let f be an isomorphism of (H, <) onto  $(\mathcal{L}_H, \prec)$  and let  $f(x) = \langle a(x), b(x) \rangle$  for  $x \in H$ . Put, for any  $x \in H$ ,  $\varphi(x) = a(x)$  if x is not the greatest element in G, and  $\varphi(x) = b(x)$  otherwise. Then  $\varphi$  is a mapping of H into L. We can extend  $\varphi$  to a mapping with domain G, namely, for  $x \in G \setminus H$ , we put  $\varphi(x) = \sup\{\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}\}$  if x is not the least element in G and  $\varphi(x) = \inf(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t > x\})$  otherwise. We show that  $\varphi: G \to L$  is isotone. Let  $x, y \in G, x < y$ . If  $x, y \in H$ , then  $f(x) \prec f(y)$ , i.e., b(x) < a(y)implies  $\varphi(x) = a(x) < b(x) < a(y) \le \varphi(y)$ . Suppose  $x \in H, y \in G \setminus H$ . Then there exists  $t_0 \in H$  such that  $x < t_0 < y$ . From this it follows  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(t_0)$ and, from the definition of  $\varphi$ , we have  $\varphi(t_0) \leq \varphi(y)$ . Thus,  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$ . Let  $x \in G \setminus H, y \in H$ . If x is the least in G, then  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$  follows from the definition of  $\varphi$ ; in the opposite case  $t \in H, t < x$  implies t < y, and thus,  $\varphi(t) < \varphi(y)$  and  $\varphi(x) = \sup(\{\varphi(t): t \in H, t < x\}) \le \varphi(y)$ . At the end, let  $x, y \in G \setminus H$ . Then there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in H$  with  $x < t_1 < t_2 < y$  and, from the preceding facts, we have  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(t_1) < \varphi(t_2) \leq \varphi(y)$ .

Let  $x \in H$ . If x is not the greatest in G, then  $U = (-\infty, b(x))$  is a neighborhood of  $\varphi(x) = a(x)$  in L. Let V be any neighborhood of x in G. As G contains no jumps, it exists  $t \in H$  such that  $t \in V$  and t > x. Then  $f(x) \prec f(t)$ , i.e.,  $b(x) < a(t) \le \varphi(t)$  and  $\varphi(t) \notin U$ . If x is the greatest in G, then  $U = (a(x), \infty)$  is a neighborhood of  $\varphi(x) = b(x)$  in L. But any neighborhood V of x contains an element  $t \in H, t < x$ . Then b(t) < a(x) and  $\varphi(t) = a(t) < b(t) < a(x)$  implies  $\varphi(t) \notin U$  again. Thus  $\varphi$  is discontinuous at x.

Let  $x \in G \setminus H$ . Suppose at first that x is neither the least nor the greatest element of G. Then  $\varphi(x)$  is not end-element of E (there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in H$  such that  $x < t_1 < t_2$  which implies  $\varphi(x) \le \varphi(t_1) < \varphi(t_2)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  is not the greatest element; similarly it is not the least element). Choose any neighborhood E of E of E of that E of and E of E of that E of the definition of of th

 $\varphi(t) \geq b$  for all  $t \in H$ , t > x. Then  $a(t) \geq b$  for all  $t \in H$ , t > x and we show that  $b(t) < \varphi(x)$  for all  $t \in H$ , t < x. Let  $t \in H$  and t < x. Then there exists  $t_0 \in H$  such that  $t < t_0 < x$  and from this  $f(t) \prec f(t_0)$ , i.e.,  $b(t) < a(t_0)$ . From the definition of  $\varphi(x)$ , there follows  $a(t_0) \leq \varphi(x)$ . We have shown  $(\varphi(x), b) \cap \langle a(t), b(t) \rangle = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in H$ , i.e.,  $(\varphi(x), b) \cap (\cup \mathcal{L}_H) = \emptyset$ . This means that no element of the interval  $(\varphi(x), b)$  lies in  $cl(\cup \mathcal{L}_H)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $cl(\cup \mathcal{L}_H)$  is an interval in L. Thus, there exists  $t_2 \in H$  with  $t_2 > x$  such that  $\varphi(t_2) < b$ . Then  $V = (t_1, t_2)$  is a neighborhood of x in G such that  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$  and  $\varphi$  is continuous at x.

Now suppose that x is the greatest element of G. Let U be any neighborhood of  $\varphi(x)$  in L. Then there exists  $a \in L$  with  $a < \varphi(x)$  such that  $(a, \varphi(x)) \subseteq U$ . From the definition of  $\varphi(x)$ , there follows the existence of  $t_1 \in H$  with  $t_1 < x$  such that  $\varphi(t_1) > a$ . Then  $V = (t_1, \infty) = (t_1, x)$  is a neighborhood of x such that  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$ . If x is the least element of G and U is any neighborhood of  $\varphi(x)$ , then it exists  $b \in L$ , with  $b > \varphi(x)$  such that  $\langle \varphi(x), b \rangle \subseteq U$ . In a similar way, we can find an element  $t_2 \in H$  such that  $t_2 > x$  and  $\varphi(t_2) < b$ . Then  $V = (-\infty, t_2) = \langle x, t_2 \rangle$  is a neighborhood of x for which  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous at x.

In Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 we can the phrase "isotone mapping" replace by the word "embedding" for the following simple assertion holds:

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $K_1, K_2$  be chains, let  $K_1$  contain no jumps and let  $\varphi$ :  $K_1 \to K_2$  be an isotone mapping. If the set of all points off discontinuity of the mapping  $\varphi$  is dense in  $K_1$ , then  $\varphi$  is injective, i.e., it is an embedding of  $K_1$  into  $K_2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\varphi$  is isotone and not injective. Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in K_1$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$  and  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a constant mapping on interval  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  and, thus, continuous at each point of the interval  $(x_1, x_2)$ . But then, the set of all points of discontinuity of mapping  $\varphi$  is not dense in  $K_1$ .

From the preceding results, we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.6.** Let G contain no jumps and no gaps, L contain no jumps and have end-elements. Let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There exists an isotone mapping  $\varphi: G \to L$  such that H is the set of all points of discontinuity of  $\varphi$ .

(ii) L contains a system  $\mathcal{L}_H$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $cl(\cup \mathcal{L}_H)$  is an interval in L and, moreover, (H,<) and  $(\mathcal{L}_H, \prec)$  are isomorphic.

**Proof.** If (i) holds, then (ii) is valid by Lemmas 5.3, 5.5 and Theorem 3.7. On the other hand (ii) implies (i) by Lemma 5.4.

By putting G = L, we get:

**Corollary 5.7.** Let G be a chain without jumps and gaps containing endelements, let  $H \subseteq G$  be dense in G. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) there exists an isotone mapping  $\varphi: G \to G$  such that H is the set of all points of discontinuity of  $\varphi$ ;
- (ii) G contains a system  $\mathcal{G}_H$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals such that  $cl(\cup \mathcal{G}_H)$  is an interval and, moreover, (H, <) and  $(\mathcal{G}_H, \prec)$  are isomorphic.

Let G be a chain. In accordance with [4], we will say that

G has property (D) if there exists an isotone mapping of G into itself whose set of all points of discontinuity is dense in G.

If G contains no jumps, then "isotone mapping" can be replaced by "embedding".

From Corollary 5.7 we get directly:

**Corollary 5.8.** Let G be a chain without jumps and gaps and with endelements. Then G has property (D) if and only if there exists a subset  $H \subseteq G$  dense in G and a system  $\mathcal{G}_H$  of pairwise disjoint nontrivial closed intervals in G such that  $cl(\cup \mathcal{G}_H)$  is an interval in G and, moreover, (H, <)and  $(\mathcal{G}_H, \prec)$  are isomorphic.

**Example 5.9.** Interval  $G = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has property (D). In fact, the set H of dyadic rational numbers from interval (0,1) is dense in G. If, further,  $\mathcal{G}_H$  is the system of closures of complemented intervals of Cantor discontinuum in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ , then  $cl(\cup \mathcal{G}_H) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  and, as it is well-known, the chains (H, <) and  $(\mathcal{G}_H, \prec)$  are isomorphic. Our assertion follows from Corollary 5.8.

### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Generalized arithmetics, Duke Math. J. 9 (1942), 283–302.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory,  $3^{rd}$  edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
- [3] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, Leipzig 1914 (reprinted in: Chelsea Publ. Co., New York 1978).
- [4] S. Kurepa, *Peano's transformations and Suslin's problem*, (in Serbo-Croatian), Glasnik Mat.- Fiz. Astr. Ser. II 8 (1953), 175–190.
- [5] J. Novák, On some ordered continua of power 2<sup>ℵ0</sup> containing a dense subset of power ℵ1, Czechoslovak Math. J. 1 (76) (1951), 63–79.
- [6] M. Novotný, On similarity of ordered continua of types  $\tau$  and  $\tau^2$ , (in Czech), Časopis Pěst. Mat. **78** (1953), 59–60.

Received 18 April 2003 Revised 30 May 2005