

CONGRUENCES ON PSEUDOCOMPLEMENTED SEMILATTICES

ZUZANA HELEYOVÁ

College of Business and Management, Technical University
Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic

e-mail: zheleyova@iol.cz

Abstract

It is known that congruence lattices of pseudocomplemented semilattices are pseudocomplemented [4]. Many interesting properties of congruences on pseudocomplemented semilattices were described by Sankappanavar in [4], [5], [6]. Except for other results he described congruence distributive pseudocomplemented semilattices [6] and he characterized pseudocomplemented semilattices whose congruence lattices are Stone, i.e. belong to the variety \mathcal{B}_1 [5].

In this paper we give a partial solution to a more general question: Under what condition on a pseudocomplemented semilattice its congruence lattice is element of the variety \mathcal{B}_n ($n \geq 2$)?

In the last section we widen the Sankappanavar's result to obtain the description of pseudocomplemented semilattices with relative Stone congruence lattices. A partial solution of the description of pseudocomplemented semilattices with relative (L_n) -congruence lattices ($n \geq 2$) is also given.

Keywords: pseudocomplemented semilattice, congruence lattice, p -algebra, Stone algebra, (relative) (L_n) -lattice.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D15, 06A99; Secondary 08A30

1. PRELIMINARIES

A pseudocomplemented semilattice (PCS) is an algebra $S = \langle S; \wedge, *, 0 \rangle$, where $\langle S; \wedge, 0 \rangle$ is a \wedge -semilattice with 0 and $*$ is the unary operation of pseudocomplementation defined by:

$$x \wedge a = 0 \text{ iff } x \leq a^*.$$

0^* is the largest element in S and is denoted by 1 . An element $a \in S$ is called *closed* if $a = a^{**}$. The set of all closed elements of S is denoted $B(S)$. It is known that $\langle B(S); +, \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$ forms a Boolean algebra in which the operation of join is defined by $a + b = (a^* \wedge b^*)^*$. To denote the join of subset $A \subseteq B(S)$ of closed elements we will use the symbol $\sum A$.

An element $d \in S$ is called *dense* if $d^* = 0$. All dense elements form the set denoted $D(S)$ which is a filter in S .

The set of all congruences on PCS S is denoted $Con(S)$. It is known that $Con(S)$ is an algebraic pseudocomplemented lattice [4] with Δ and ∇ the least and the largest element, respectively.

For any pair $a, b \in S$ the symbol $\theta(a, b)$ denotes the *principal congruence relation generated by a, b* , i.e. the least congruence relation θ on S for which $(a, b) \in \theta$.

The congruence relation φ defined by:

$$(x, y) \in \varphi \text{ iff } x^* = y^*,$$

is called the *Glivenko congruence relation*.

For arbitrary filter $F \subseteq S$ we define binary relation \hat{F} :

$$(x, y) \in \hat{F} \text{ iff } x \wedge f = y \wedge f \text{ for some } f \in F.$$

Clearly \hat{F} is a semilattice congruence relation on S . For arbitrary element $f \in S$ the interval $[0, f] \subseteq S$ is a PCS such that the pseudocomplement $a_{[0, f]}^*$ is equal to $a^* \wedge f$. It follows that \hat{F} is compatible also with the operation of pseudocomplementation and $\hat{F} \in Con(S)$. Similarly for arbitrary element $a \in S$ we define binary relation \hat{a} by

$$(x, y) \in \hat{a} \text{ iff } x \wedge a = y \wedge a.$$

Again $\hat{a} \in Con(S)$. One can easily verify that $\hat{a} = \theta(a, 1)$ for arbitrary $a \in S$.

The following two facts were proved by Sankappanavar in [4] and [6].

Lemma 1.1. *Let S be a PCS. If $\psi \in Con(S)$ then $\psi = ([1]\psi)^\wedge \vee (\psi \wedge \varphi)$.* ■

Lemma 1.2. *Let S be a PCS. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $Con(S)$ is distributive,

(2) S satisfies:

$$(D) \quad \forall x \forall y (x < y^{**} \Rightarrow x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x),$$

(3) S satisfies:

$$(D_w) \quad \forall x \forall y (x^* = y^* \Rightarrow x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x)$$

and

$$(U') \quad \forall x \forall y ((x = x^{**} \text{ and } x < y^{**}) \Rightarrow x < y),$$

(4) $Con(S)$ is modular. ■

One can easily verify the next auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1.3. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D). Let $a, b \in S$ be such that $a < b$ and $a^* = b^*$. Then*

(i) $\theta(a, b) = [a, b] \times [a, b] \cup \Delta;$

(ii) $[1]\theta^*(a, b) = [b, 1].$ ■

A (distributive) p -algebra is an algebra $L = \langle L; \vee, \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$ where $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded (distributive) lattice and $*$ is the unary operation of pseudocomplementation. Clearly the congruence lattice of any congruence distributive PCS is a distributive p -algebra.

The class \mathcal{B}_ω of all distributive p -algebras is equational. K.B. Lee proved in [3] that the lattice of all equational subclasses of \mathcal{B}_ω is a chain

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{B}_n \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{B}_\omega$$

of type $\omega + 1$, where $\mathcal{B}_{-1}, \mathcal{B}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_1 denote the classes of all trivial, Boolean and Stone algebras, respectively. Moreover, he proved that for $n \geq 1, L \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if L satisfies the identity

$$(L_n) \quad (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)^* \vee (x_1^* \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)^* \vee \dots \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n^*)^* = 1.$$

Definition 1.4 ([2]; Definition 1). Let L be a distributive p -algebra and $n \geq 1$. L is said to be an (L_n) -lattice if $L \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

2. PSEUDOCOMPLEMENTED SEMILATTICES WITH (L_n) -CONGRUENCE
LATTICES

In [5] H.P. Sankappanavar gave a description of those PCS S whose congruence lattice $Con(S)$ is Stone, i.e. satisfies (L_1) . The aim of this paper is to continue in this direction and investigate the cases for which $Con(S)$ satisfies (L_n) for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a PCS. If $Con(S) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), then*

$$(C_n) \quad \forall x_i (x_i \neq x_i^{**} (i = 1, \dots, n+1) \text{ and } x_i \neq x_j (i \neq j)) \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = 0.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, the claim was proved by H.P. Sankappanavar in Theorem 3.2 of [5]. Assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in S$ be such that $x_i \neq x_i^{**}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$) and $x_i \neq x_j$ ($i \neq j$). Suppose that $w = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i > 0$.

Without loss of generality we can divide elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} into k disjoint groups ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$):

$$\{x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1m_1}\}, \{x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2m_2}\}, \dots, \{x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \dots, x_{km_k}\}$$

such that $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = n+1$ and

$$x_{i1} < x_{i2} < \dots < x_{im_i} < x_{i1}^{**} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \theta(x_{1i}, x_{1i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1 - 1 \\ \tau_{m_1} &= \theta(x_{1m_1}, x_{11}^{**}), \\ \tau_{m_1+j} &= \theta(x_{2j}, x_{2j+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m_2 - 1 \\ \tau_{m_1+m_2} &= \theta(x_{2m_2}, x_{21}^{**}), \\ &\dots \\ \tau_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_{k-1}+l} &= \theta(x_{kl}, x_{kl+1}), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, m_k - 1 \\ \tau_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k} &= \tau_{n+1} = \theta(x_{km_k}, x_{k1}^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta_1 = \bigvee_{j=2}^{n+1} \tau_j$ and $\theta_i = \bigvee_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau_j \vee \bigvee_{j=i+1}^{n+1} \tau_j$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

From Lemma 1.3 follows that $\theta_i^* \supseteq \tau_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n &\supseteq \tau_{n+1} & \text{and} & & (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n)^* &\subseteq \tau_{n+1}^*; \\
 \theta_1^* \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n &\supseteq \tau_1 & \text{and} & & (\theta_1^* \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n)^* &\subseteq \tau_1^*; \\
 & & & & \dots & \\
 \theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_i^* \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n &\supseteq \tau_i & \text{and} & & (\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_i^* \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n)^* &\subseteq \tau_i^*; \\
 & & & & \dots & \\
 \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n^* &\supseteq \tau_n & \text{and} & & (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n^*)^* &\subseteq \tau_n^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

From our assumption that $Con(S) \in \mathcal{B}_n$, we obtain

$$\tau_{n+1}^* \vee \tau_1^* \vee \tau_2^* \vee \dots \vee \tau_n^* = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n+1} \tau_i^* = \nabla.$$

It implies that there exists a sequence $a_0 = 1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m = 0 \subseteq S$ such that $a_i \equiv a_{i+1}(\alpha_{j(i)})$, ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$), where $\alpha_{j(i)} \in \{\tau_k^* : k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$.

From Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 [1]\tau_1^* &\subseteq [x_{12}, 1] \subseteq [\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, 1] = [w, 1], \\
 [1]\tau_2^* &\subseteq [x_{13}, 1] \subseteq [w, 1], \\
 &\dots \\
 [1]\tau_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_r+j}^* &\subseteq [x_{r+1j+1}, 1] \subseteq [w, 1] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m_{r+1} - 1), \\
 &\dots \\
 [1]\tau_{n+1}^* &\subseteq [x_{k1}^{**}, 1] \subseteq [w, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $a_1 \geq w$ and $a_{m-1}^* \geq w$, since $1 = a_0 \equiv a_1(\alpha_{j(0)})$ and $a_{m-1}^* \equiv 1(\alpha_{j(m-1)})$, $\alpha_{j(m-1)} \in \{\tau_k^* : k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$. If we meet elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} with the element a_{m-1}^* , we obtain a new sequence $b_1 = a_1 \wedge a_{m-1}^*, b_2 = a_2 \wedge a_{m-1}^*, \dots, b_{m-2} = a_{m-2} \wedge a_{m-1}^*, b_{m-1} = 0$ such that $b_i \equiv b_{i+1}(\alpha_{j(i)})$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$) and $\alpha_{j(i)} \in \{\tau_k^* : k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$. Again $b_1 = a_1 \wedge a_{m-1}^* \geq w$ and $b_{m-2}^* \geq w$. Repeating the previous step $m - 2$ times we obtain $y \equiv 0(\alpha_{j(0)})$, $\alpha_{j(0)} \in \{\tau_k^* : k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$ such that $y \geq w$. Since $y^* \equiv 1(\alpha_{j(0)})$, $y^* \geq w$. Therefore, $w \leq y \wedge y^* = 0$ which is a contradiction with our assumption that $w = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i > 0$. ■

Corollary 2.2. *Let S be a PCS such that $Con(S) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ($n \geq 1$). Then $|[a]\varphi| \leq n + 1$ for arbitrary $a \in S$. ■*

Definition 2.3. Let S be a PCS. We say that S is an (S_n) -semilattice ($n \geq 1$) iff S satisfies (C_n) and S satisfies (D) . In other words, S is an (S_n) -semilattice if and only if S is a congruence distributive pseudocomplemented semilattice which satisfies the condition (C_n) .

In the next we will often deal with non-closed elements. We find it useful to introduce now a few notations.

$$\begin{aligned} N(S) &= \{n \in S : n \text{ is non-closed}\}, \text{ i.e.} \\ N(S) &= \{n \in S : n \neq n^{**}\}; \\ N^{**}(S) &= \{n^{**} : n \in N(S)\}; \\ C(S) &= \{c \in S : c \wedge n = 0; \forall n \in N(S)\}; \\ C^*(S) &= \{c^* : c \in C(S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily verify that $C(S)$ is an ideal in $B(S)$ and $0 \in C(S)$. Moreover, if $c \in C(S)$ and $n \in N(S)$, then $c \wedge n^{**} = (c \wedge n)^{**} = 0$. It follows that $C(S)$ can be defined equivalently as $C(S) = \{c \in S : c \wedge n^{**} = 0; \forall n \in N(S)\}$. If there is no danger of confusion, we will write N, N^{**}, C and C^* instead of $N(S), N^{**}(S), C(S)$ and $C^*(S)$, respectively.

Definition 2.4. Let S be a PCS and $\psi \in Con(S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_\psi &= \{n \in N : \theta(n, n^{**}) \wedge \psi \neq \Delta\}, \\ N_\psi^{**} &= \{n^{**} : n \in N_\psi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly C_ψ is an ideal in $B(S)$, $N_\psi = N_{\psi \wedge \varphi}$ and $N_\varphi = N$.

3. PROPERTIES OF CONGRUENCES ON (S_n) -SEMILATTICES

The following lemmas were inspired by [5]. The next lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a PCS. Then*

$$\varphi = \bigvee \{\theta(n, n^{**}) : n \in N(S)\}.$$

For arbitrary $A \subseteq S$ the symbol A^u denotes the set of all upper bounds of A .

Lemma 3.2. *Let S be a PCS. Then $(N^{**})^u = C^*$.*

Proof. Let $n \in N$ and $c \in C$ be arbitrary. Then $c \wedge n^{**} = 0$. Therefore, $n^{**} \leq c^*$ and $C^* \subseteq (N^{**})^u$. Take arbitrary $y \in (N^{**})^u$. Clearly $y \in B(S)$. It means that $y = y^{**} \geq n^{**}$ for arbitrary $n \in N$. Thus $y^* \leq n^*$ and $y^* \wedge n = y^* \wedge n^{**} = 0$. It follows that $y^* \in C$ and since y is a closed element $y \in C^*$. ■

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and $X \subseteq N(S) = N$. Then $N_{((X^{**})^u)^\wedge} \subseteq N \setminus X$.*

Proof. Suppose that $n \in X \cap N_{((X^{**})^u)^\wedge}$. Then there exist $n \leq n_1 < m_1 \leq n^{**}$ such that $n_1 \wedge f = m_1 \wedge f$ and $f \in (X^{**})^u$. Since $n \in X$, it follows that $f \geq n^{**}$. Thus $n_1 \wedge f = n_1 = m_1 = m_1 \wedge f$ contrary to our assumption $n_1 < m_1$. Therefore, $N_{((X^{**})^u)^\wedge} \cap X = \emptyset$ and $N_{((X^{**})^u)^\wedge} \subseteq N \setminus X$. ■

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and $\beta \in \text{Con}(S)$ be such that $\beta \subseteq \varphi$. Then $((N_\beta^{**})^u)^\wedge \subseteq \beta^*$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \beta \wedge ((N_\beta^{**})^u)^\wedge$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x < y \leq x^{**}$. Then $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$ for some $f \in (N_\beta^{**})^u$. Since $(x, y) \in \beta$, we obtain that $\theta(x, x^{**}) \wedge \beta \neq \Delta$ and $x \in N_\beta$. It implies that $f \geq x^{**} \geq y > x$ and $x \wedge f = x = y = y \wedge f$ contrary to our assumption $x < y$. So, we can conclude that $((N_\beta^{**})^u)^\wedge \subseteq \beta^*$. ■

Corollary 3.5. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D). Then $\varphi^* = ((N^{**})^u)^\wedge = (C^*)^\wedge$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be an (S_n) -semilattice ($n \geq 1$). Let $\psi \in \text{Con}(S)$ be such that $|[1]\psi \cap N| \geq n$. Then $\psi^* = \Delta$.*

Proof. Two cases can occur: $|[1]\psi \cap N| \geq n + 1$ or $|[1]\psi \cap N| = n$. In the first case $\psi = \nabla$ since S is an (S_n) -semilattice. Thus $\psi^* = \Delta$.

In the second case we first claim that $\varphi \subseteq \psi$. If $N \subseteq [1]\psi$ then it is true. Assume that $N \not\subseteq [1]\psi$. Let $[1]\psi \cap N = \{n_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $s \in N \setminus [1]\psi$. Since $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n n_i \equiv 1(\psi)$ and S is an (S_n) -semilattice, we obtain that $s \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n n_i = 0 \equiv s(\psi)$. Therefore, $s \equiv s^{**}(\psi)$ for arbitrary $s \in N$ and $\varphi \subseteq \psi$.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that also $\varphi^* \subseteq \psi$. Let $f \in (N^{**})^u$. Then $f \geq n_i^{**}$ for any $n_i \in [1]\psi \cap N$. It implies that $(N^{**})^u \subseteq [1]\psi$. Thus $\varphi^* = ((N^{**})^u)^\wedge \subseteq ([1]\psi)^\wedge \subseteq \psi$. Hence $\varphi \vee \varphi^* \subseteq \psi$. Therefore, we obtain $\psi^* \subseteq (\varphi \vee \varphi^*)^* = \varphi^* \wedge \varphi^{**} = \Delta$ proving the lemma. ■

Definition 3.7 Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and $A \subseteq C$. Then we define

$$d_C(A) = \{c \in C : c \wedge a = 0, a \in A\}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and $I \subseteq C$ be an ideal in $B(S)$. Then $(N^{**} \cup I \cup d_C(I))^u = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in (N^{**} \cup I \cup d_C(I))^u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &\geq n^{**} \quad (n^{**} \in N^{**}) && \text{and} && f^* \wedge n^{**} = 0; \\ f &\geq a \quad (a \in I) && \text{and} && f^* \wedge a = 0; \\ f &\geq c \quad (c \in d_C(I)) && \text{and} && f^* \wedge c = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From $f^* \wedge n^{**} = 0$ follows $f^* \in C$. Since $f^* \wedge a = 0$ for all $a \in I$, it follows $f^* \in d_C(I)$. Since $f^* \wedge c = 0$ for all $c \in d_C(I)$, we obtain that also $f^* \wedge f^* = f^* = 0$. Hence, f is a dense element. $f \in (N^{**})^u$ implies that f is closed. So we can conclude $f = 1$ proving the lemma. ■

By taking $I = \{0\}$, we immediately obtain

Corollary 3.9. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D). Then $\{N^{**} \cup C\}^u = \{1\}$.* ■

Lemma 3.10. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and $F \subseteq S$ be a Boolean filter, i.e. $F \subseteq B(S)$. Then $F \subseteq ((N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}) \cup d_C(C_{\hat{F}}))^u$.*

Proof. Let $f \in F$ be such that $f \notin (N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**})^u$. Thus $f \not\geq n^{**}$ for some $n^{**} \in N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}$. Then $f \wedge n^{**} < n^{**}$ and, since $Con(S)$ is distributive, two possibilities may occur.

First suppose that $f \wedge n^{**} \leq n < n^{**}$. Since $f \equiv 1(\hat{F})$, $f \wedge n^{**} \equiv n^{**}(\hat{F})$, we obtain that $n \equiv n^{**}(\hat{F})$. Hence, $\theta(n, n^{**}) \wedge \hat{F} \neq \Delta$. Therefore, $n \in N_{\hat{F}}$, $n^{**} \in N_{\hat{F}}^{**}$ contrary to assumption $n^{**} \in N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}$. Now suppose that $n \leq f \wedge n^{**} < n^{**}$. Since $f \equiv 1(\hat{F})$, $f \wedge n^{**} \equiv n^{**}(\hat{F})$, we again obtain that $\theta(n, n^{**}) \wedge \hat{F} \neq \Delta$. Therefore, $n \in N_{\hat{F}}$, $n^{**} \in N_{\hat{F}}^{**}$ contrary to assumption $n^{**} \in N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}$. Thus $F \subseteq (N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**})^u$.

Let $f \in F$ and $y \in d_C(C_{\hat{F}})$. Since $f^* \wedge f = 0 \wedge f$, we have $f^* \equiv 0(\hat{F})$ and also $f^* \wedge y \equiv 0(\hat{F})$. Thus, $f^* \wedge y \in C_{\hat{F}}$. From this, we get $(f^* \wedge y) \wedge y = f^* \wedge y = 0$. Hence, $y \leq f^{**} = f$ proving that $F \subseteq d_C(C_{\hat{F}})^u$. So we can conclude that $F \subseteq ((N^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}) \cup d_C(C_{\hat{F}}))^u$. ■

Lemma 3.11. *Let S be a PCS satisfying (D) and let $F \subseteq S$ be a Boolean filter. Then $((N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}})^u)^\wedge \subseteq (\hat{F})^*$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \hat{F} \wedge ((N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}})^u)^\wedge$, $x < y$. It means that $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$ for some $f \in F$ and $x \wedge h = y \wedge h$ for some $h \in (N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}})^u$. Therefore, $x^{**} \wedge f = y^{**} \wedge f$ and $x^{**} \wedge h^{**} = y^{**} \wedge h^{**}$. Since $x^{**}, y^{**}, f, h^{**} \in B(S)$, it follows that $x^{**} \wedge (f + h^{**}) = y^{**} \wedge (f + h^{**})$. Since $f \in F \subseteq ((N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}) \cup d_C(C_{\hat{F}}))^u$ and $h^{**} \in (N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}})^u$, from the two previous lemmas we obtain that $f + h^{**} \in \{(N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \setminus N_{\hat{F}}^{**}) \cup d_C(C_{\hat{F}}) \cup N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}}\}^u = (N_{\hat{F}}^{**} \cup C_{\hat{F}} \cup d_C(C_{\hat{F}}))^u = \{1\}$. Thus, we see that $x^{**} = y^{**}$. Since $(x, y) \in \hat{F}$, $x < y$ and $x^* = y^*$ we obtain that $\theta(x, x^{**}) \wedge \hat{F} \neq \Delta$ and $x \in N_{\hat{F}}$. Therefore, $h \geq x^{**} \geq y > x$ and $x \wedge h = x = y = y \wedge h$ which is a contradiction with our assumption $x < y$. Thus the lemma is proved. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.12. *Let S be an (S_n) -semilattice ($n \geq 1$) such that $B(S)$ is a complete Boolean algebra. Then $\text{Con}(S)$ is an (L_n) -lattice.*

Proof. For $n = 1$ the claim follows from [5] (see Theorem 3.27). Assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$ be arbitrary elements of $\text{Con}(S)$. For the sake of simplicity let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n, \\ \alpha_1 &= \theta_1^* \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n, \\ &\dots \\ \alpha_i &= \theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_i^* \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n, \\ &\dots \\ \alpha_n &= \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that $\alpha_0^* \vee \alpha_1^* \vee \dots \vee \alpha_n^* = \nabla$. From Lemma 1.1, follows that $\alpha_i^* = (\alpha_i \wedge \varphi)^* \wedge ([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Three possibilities may occur:

- (1) $[1]\alpha_i \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$;
- (2) $[1]\alpha_i \subseteq B(S)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$;
- (3) There exist $I, J \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $I \neq \emptyset \neq J$, $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $I \cup J = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $[1]\alpha_i \subseteq B(S)$ for $i \in I$ and $[1]\alpha_j \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $j \in J$.

Ad (1): Suppose that $n_i \in [1]\alpha_i \cap N$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). It means that $\theta(n_i, 1) \subseteq \alpha_i$. Since $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j = \Delta$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, we obtain

that $\theta(n_i, 1) \subseteq \alpha_j^*$ for arbitrary $j \neq i$. It follows that

$$\alpha_0^* \vee \alpha_1^* \vee \dots \vee \alpha_n^* \supseteq \bigvee_{i=0}^n \theta(n_i, 1).$$

Let $\bigvee_{i=0}^n \theta(n_i, 1) = \theta$. Then $n_i \equiv 1(\theta)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) and therefore $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n n_i \equiv 1(\theta)$. Since α_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) are pairwise disjoint, congruences n_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) are pairwise different nonclosed elements. From the assumption that S is an (S_n) -semilattice we obtain $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n n_i = 0 \equiv 1(\theta)$ hence $\alpha_0^* \vee \alpha_1^* \vee \dots \vee \alpha_n^* = \nabla$.

Ad (2): Suppose that $[1]\alpha_i \subseteq B(S)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.11 follows that $\alpha_i^* \supseteq ((N_{\alpha_i \wedge \varphi}^{**})^u)^\wedge \wedge ((N_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge}^{**})^\wedge \wedge C_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge}^u)^\wedge$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Let $\sum N_{\alpha_i \wedge \varphi}^{**} = a_i$, $\sum N_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge}^{**} = b_i$, $\sum C_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge} = c_i$, ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Since $([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge \subseteq \alpha_i$ and $N_{\alpha_i \wedge \varphi}^{**} = N_{\alpha_i}^{**}$, we have $a_i = \sum N_{\alpha_i}^{**} \geq \sum N_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge}^{**} = b_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Hence, $\alpha_i^* \supseteq \hat{a}_i \wedge (b_i + c_i)^\wedge = \theta(a_i, 1) \wedge \theta(b_i + c_i, 1) \supseteq \theta(a_i + b_i + c_i, 1) = \theta(a_i + c_i, 1)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Therefore, we have $\bigvee_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^* \supseteq \bigvee_{i=0}^n \theta(a_i + c_i, 1) = \theta(\bigwedge_{i=0}^n (a_i + c_i), 1)$. We claim that $a_i \wedge c_j = 0$ for arbitrary $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

From the assumption that $B(S)$ is a complete Boolean algebra, it follows that $B(S)$ satisfies the join infinite distributive identity and its dual meet infinite distributive identity. Let $\sum N^{**} = m$. Since $c \wedge n^{**} = 0$ for arbitrary $c \in C, n \in N$, we obtain that $m = \sum N^{**} \leq c^*$ and therefore $c \leq m^*$ for arbitrary $c \in C$. Thus $\sum C \leq m^*$. It follows that $a_i \wedge c_j = \sum N_{\alpha_i}^{**} \wedge \sum C_{([1]\alpha_j)^\wedge} \leq \sum N^{**} \wedge \sum C \leq m \wedge m^* = 0$. Thus we obtain that $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n (a_i + c_i) = \bigwedge_{i=0}^n a_i + \bigwedge_{j=0}^n c_j$.

We claim that $\bigwedge_{j=0}^n c_j = 0$. Take arbitrary $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i \neq j$. Then $c_i \wedge c_j = \sum C_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge} \wedge \sum C_{([1]\alpha_j)^\wedge} = \sum \{d \wedge e : d \in C_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge} \text{ and } e \in C_{([1]\alpha_j)^\wedge}\}$. Since $([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge \wedge ([1]\alpha_j)^\wedge = \Delta$, we have $d \wedge e = 0$ for arbitrary $d \in C_{([1]\alpha_i)^\wedge}$ and $e \in C_{([1]\alpha_j)^\wedge}$. Hence, $c_i \wedge c_j = 0$.

Next we will prove that $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n a_i = 0$. Using the fact that $B(S)$ satisfies both the join and meet infinite distributive identities we obtain that $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n a_i = \bigwedge_{i=0}^n \sum N_{\alpha_i}^{**} = \sum \{\bigwedge_{i=0}^n n_i^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}\}$. Take arbitrary $(n+1)$ -tuple $(n_i^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$. Clearly some elements n_i^{**} ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) may coincide. Suppose that $n_i^{**} = m^{**}$ for $i \in I \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. It means that there exist elements r_i, s_i such that $r_i < s_i$, $r_i^{**} = s_i^{**} = m^{**}$ and $(r_i, s_i) \in \alpha_i$ for $i \in I$. Since α_i are pairwise disjoint congruences, it follows that $\theta(r_i, s_i)$ ($i \in I$) are also pairwise disjoint congruences. Thus $|I| \leq |[m^{**}]_\varphi \cap N| \leq n$.

From the previous consideration follows that $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n n_i^{**} = \bigwedge_{j=1}^k m_j^{**}$ where $m_j^{**} \neq m_l^{**}$ for $j \neq l$; $n_i^{**} = m_j^{**}$ for $i \in I_j \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $I_j \cap I_l = \emptyset$ for $j \neq l$; $\bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $|[m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N| \geq |I_j|$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus, we can write $\bigwedge_{j=1}^k m_j^{**} = \bigwedge_{j=1}^k (\bigwedge \{s^{**} : s \in [m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N\}) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^k (\bigwedge \{s : s \in [m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N\})^{**} = (\bigwedge_{j=1}^k (\bigwedge \{s : s \in [m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N\}))^{**}$. From $|[m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N| \geq |I_j|$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $\bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, it follows that $\bigwedge_{j=1}^k (\bigwedge \{s : s \in [m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N\})$ is meet of at least $(n + 1)$ different nonclosed elements. Hence, $\bigwedge_{j=1}^k (\bigwedge \{s : s \in [m_j^{**}] \varphi \cap N\}) = 0$. Thus we obtain $\bigwedge_{i=0}^n a_i = \sum \{\bigwedge_{i=0}^n n_i^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}\} = 0$ which implies $\bigvee_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^* \supseteq \theta(0, 1) = \nabla$ and $Con(S) \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

Ad (3): Suppose that $[1]\alpha_i \subseteq B(S)$ for $i \in I$ and $[1]\alpha_j \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $j \in J$ where $I \neq \emptyset \neq J$, $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and $I \cup J = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Using the previous part of the proof we obtain that $\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i^* \supseteq \theta(\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i, 1)$, where $a_i = \sum N_{\alpha_i \wedge \varphi}^{**}$, $i \in I$. Let $m_j \in [1]\alpha_j \cap N$ for $j \in J$. Then $\theta(m_j, 1) \wedge \alpha_i = \Delta$ and $\alpha_i^* \supseteq \theta(m_j, 1)$ for arbitrary $i \in I$ and $j \in J$. It follows that $\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i^* \supseteq \theta(\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i, 1) \vee \bigvee_{j \in J} \theta(m_j, 1) = \theta(\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i, 1) \vee \theta(\bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j, 1) = \theta(\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j, 1)$. Next we will prove that $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j^{**} = 0$. Since $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i = \sum \{\bigwedge_{i \in I} n_i^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}\}$, we can write $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j^{**} = \sum \{\bigwedge_{i \in I} n_i^{**} \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}\}$. Since $m_j < m_j^{**}$ and $m_j \in [1]\alpha_j$, obviously $m_j^{**} \in N_{\alpha_j}^{**}$ ($j \in J$). Repeating the same consideration as in the part (2) of this proof we obtain that $\bigwedge_{i \in I} n_i^{**} \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j^{**} = 0$ for arbitrary $|I|$ -tuple $(n_i^{**} : n_i^{**} \in N_{\alpha_i}^{**}, i \in I)$. Therefore, $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} a_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} m_j^{**} = 0$ and $\bigvee_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^* \supseteq \bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i^* \supseteq \theta(0, 1) = \nabla$, hence $Con(S) \in \mathcal{B}_n$. ■

Corollary 3.13. *Let S be a PCS such that $B(S)$ is a complete Boolean algebra. For arbitrary $n \geq 1$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $Con(S)$ is an (L_n) -lattice,
- (ii) S is an (S_n) -semilattice.

4. PSEUDOCOMPLEMENTED SEMILATTICES WITH RELATIVE (L_n) -CONGRUENCE LATTICES

Definition 4.1 ([2], Definition 2). Let L be a distributive lattice. L is said to be a *relative (L_n) -lattice* if every interval $[a, b]$ in L is an (L_n) -lattice.

Lemma 4.2 ([2], Theorem 2). *Let L be a distributive lattice with 1. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) L is a relative (L_n) -lattice,
- (ii) for every $a \in L$, $[a, 1]$ is an (L_n) -lattice.

Lemma 4.3. *Let S be a PCS. Then S is an (S_n) -semilattice ($n \geq 1$) iff the quotient semilattice S/θ is an (S_n) -semilattice for arbitrary $\theta \in \text{Con}(S)$.*

Proof. Let S be a PCS. Suppose that S is an (S_n) -semilattice for some $n \geq 1$. We claim that for arbitrary $\theta \in \text{Con}(S)$ the following is true: if $[a]\theta \in N(S/\theta)$ then $[a]\theta \subseteq N(S)$.

Suppose that $[a]\theta \neq ([a]\theta)^{**} = [a^{**}]\theta$ and there exists $x \in [a]\theta$ such that $x = x^{**}$. Then $[a]\theta = [x]\theta = [x^{**}]\theta = ([x]\theta)^{**} = ([a]\theta)^{**}$ which is a contradiction to our assumption.

Let $[x_1]\theta, [x_2]\theta, \dots, [x_{n+1}]\theta \in S/\theta$ be such that $[x_i]\theta \neq [x_i^{**}]\theta$ $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ and $[x_i]\theta \neq [x_j]\theta$, $i \neq j$. From the previous part of proof follows that x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n+1$) are pairwise distinct non-closed elements from S . Since S is an (S_n) -semilattice we obtain $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} [x_i]\theta = \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i \right] \theta = [0]\theta$. Thus S/θ satisfies the condition (C_n) .

Since $\text{Con}(S/\theta) \cong [\theta, \nabla] \subseteq \text{Con}(S)$ the congruence distributivity of S implies that the condition (D) is satisfied also in the quotient semilattice S/θ . The sufficient condition is obvious. ■

From the previous result and from Theorem 3.28 of [5], we immediately obtain

Corollary 4.4. *Let S be a PCS. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Con}(S)$ is a relative Stone lattice,
- (ii) S satisfies (C_1) and for arbitrary congruence $\theta \in \text{Con}(S)$ the quotient PCS S/θ satisfies:

- (a) if $A \subseteq N^{**}(S/\theta)$, then $\sum A$ exists;
- (b) if $K \subseteq C(S/\theta)$, then $\sum K$ exists. ■

Corollary 4.5. *Let S be a PCS such that the Boolean algebra $B(S/\theta)$ is complete for arbitrary congruence $\theta \in \text{Con}(S)$. For arbitrary $n \geq 1$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Con}(S)$ is an (L_n) -lattice,

- (ii) $Con(S)$ is a relative (L_n) -lattice,
- (iii) S is an (S_n) -semilattice. ■

References

- [1] G. Grätzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel 1978.
- [2] M. Haviar and T. Katriňák, *Semi-discrete lattices with (L_n) -congruence lattices*, Contribution to General Algebra **7** (1991), 189–195.
- [3] K.B. Lee, *Equational classes of distributive pseudo-complemented lattices*, Canad. J. Math. **22** (1970), 881–891.
- [4] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Congruence lattices of pseudocomplemented semilattices*, Algebra Universalis **9** (1979), 304–316.
- [5] H.P. Sankappanavar, *On pseudocomplemented semilattices with Stone congruence lattices*, Math. Slovaca **29** (1979), 381–395.
- [6] H.P. Sankappanavar, *On pseudocomplemented semilattices whose congruence lattices are distributive*, (preprint).

Received 27 October 1998

Revised 1 October 1999