

**A FACTORIZATION OF ELEMENTS IN  
 $PSL(2, F)$ , WHERE  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$**

JAN AMBROSIEWICZ

*Institute of Mathematics, Technical University of Białystok*  
*15–351 Białystok, ul. Wiejska 45A, Poland*

**Abstract**

Let  $G$  be a group and  $K_n = \{g \in G : o(g) = n\}$ . It is proved: (i) if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^2$ ; (ii) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n = \infty$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^2$ ; (iii) if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_3^3$ ; (iv) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_2^3 \cup E$ ,  $E \notin K_2^3$ , where  $E$  denotes the unit matrix; (v) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) \neq K_3^3$ .

**Keywords:** factorization of linear groups, linear groups, matrix representations of groups, sets of elements of the same order in groups.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20G20, 11E57, 15A23, 20G15.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $K_n = K_n(G) = \{g \in G : o(g) = n\}$ . Let  $SL(m, F)$  and  $PSL(m, F)$  be a *special linear* or *projective special linear* (resp.) groups of degree  $m$  over a field  $F$ . Many papers have been devoted to the powers of the set  $K_2$  (see [3] – [9]) but only few papers have been written about the powers of the set  $K_n$  for  $n > 2$  (see [1] – [3]). In the papers [3] and [5], it has been proved that if  $F$  is an algebraically closed field, then  $PSL(3, F) = K_n K_n$  for  $n > 2$  and  $PSL(3, F) = K_2^4$  for any  $F$ . Note that we do not identify  $K_2$  with the set of involutions. In the paper [7], it has been proved that if  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the field of rational numbers and  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers, then  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^4$ .

In this paper we will prove the following properties:

- (i) if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^2$ ;
- (ii) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n = \infty$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^2$ ;
- (iii) if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_3^3$ ;

- (iv) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) = K_2^3 \cup E$ ,  $E \notin K_2^3$ ,  
 where  $E$  denotes the unit matrix;
- (v) if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $PSL(2, F) \neq K_3^3$ .

Recall, that  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) = K_n^2$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the field of complex numbers (see [2]).

We begin with some lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $F$  be any field. In  $SL(2, F)$ , each non-scalar matrix is similar to a matrix of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix} = D$ . The order of  $D$  depends only on  $s$ .*

If  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then

- a) the order of the matrix  $D \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is  $n > 2$  iff  $s = 2 \cos \frac{2k\pi}{n}$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ ;
- b) the order of the matrix  $D \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is  $n > 2$  iff  $s = 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n}$  and  $(k, n) = 1$  or  $s = 2 \cos \frac{2k\pi}{n}$ ,  $(k, n) = 1$ .

If  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|s| > 2$ , then the order of  $D$  is  $\infty$ .

**Proof.** If  $F$  is any field, then for each  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, F)$  there exists a matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ \frac{1}{r}(xa + cy) & \frac{1}{r}(bx + yd) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix}$$

such that  $A = X^{-1}DX$  and  $s = a + d$ . The condition  $\det X = 1$  holds since the equation  $\frac{x}{r}(bx + yd) - \frac{y}{r}(xa + cy) = 1$  has a solution in  $r, x, y$ .

If  $F$  is any field, then we can find that

$$D^n = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{n-2}(s) & r\psi_{n-1}(s) \\ -r^{-1}\psi_{n-1}(s) & \omega_n(s) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\varphi_{n-2}, \psi_{n-1}, \omega_n$  are polynomials in  $s$  which means that the order of  $D$  depends only on  $s$ .

In the case  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , it is easy to notice that the order of any matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as over  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . Thus if  $-2 < s < 2$ , we can put  $s = 2 \cos \varphi$ , and then the matrix  $D$  is similar to the diagonal matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \end{bmatrix}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence, the rest of the proof follows from obvious properties of the group of the  $n$ -th roots of unity. If  $|s| > 2$ , then the order of  $D$  is  $\infty$ . ■

**Lemma 2** (see [5]). *If  $V = \text{diag}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ ,  $W = \text{diag}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ ,  $v_i \neq v_j$ ,  $w_i \neq w_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $V, W \in SL(m, F)$ , then  $SL(m, F) = C_V C_W \cup Z$ , where  $C_V$  denotes the conjugacy class of  $V$  and  $Z$  denotes the center of  $SL(m, F)$ . ■*

**Lemma 3.** *If*

$$N_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_i \\ -w_i^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & x_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_i, T_i \in SL(2, F),$$

*then the trace  $\text{tr}(N_1^{T_1} N_2^{T_2} N_3^{T_3}) = s$  is any arbitrary element of  $F$ , where  $(N_i^{T_i} = T_i^{-1} N_i T_i)$ .*

**Proof.** If we put  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ , then  $s = -w_3 w_1^{-1} w_2^{-1} (w_1^2 + w_2^2) x_3$ . Thus  $s$  is directly proportional to  $x_3$  and  $s$  can be any arbitrary element of  $F$ . ■

**Lemma 4.** *If*

$$M_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_i \\ -w_i^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & x_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_i \neq 0,$$

*and  $M_i, T_i \in SL(2, F)$ , then there are  $w_i$  such that the trace  $\text{tr}(M_1 M_2^{T_2} M_3^{T_3}) = s$  is any arbitrary element of  $F$ .*

**Proof.** A calculation shows that if we take  $w_2 = -d_2 d_1^{-1} w_1^{-1}$ ,  $x_3 = x_2 + d_3 w_3^{-1}$  and  $(w_1 w_3 d_1)^2 \neq d_2^2$ , then  $s = x_2 (d_1 d_2^{-1} w_3 - d_1 d_2^{-1} w_1^{-1} w_3^{-1}) + d_1 d_3 d_2^{-1}$ , so  $s$  varies as a linear function of  $x_2$ . ■

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_i \\ -w_i^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $S_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_i \\ -y_i^{-1} & x_i \end{bmatrix}$ , over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad s = \text{tr}(M_1^{S_1} M_2^{S_2}) = -w_1 w_2 \left( \frac{x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2}{y_2 y_1} \right)^2 + (x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2) \left( \frac{d_1 w_2}{y_2^2} - \frac{w_1 d_2}{y_1^2} \right) - \left( \frac{w_2}{w_1} \right) \left( \frac{y_1}{y_2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{w_1}{w_2} \right) \left( \frac{y_2}{y_1} \right) + d_1 d_2.$$

*achieves the minimum*

$$s_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(4 - d_1^2)(4 - d_2^2)} + \frac{1}{2} d_1 d_2$$

and the maximum value

$$s_{\max} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(4-d_1^2)(4-d_2^2)} + \frac{1}{2}d_1d_2$$

for  $w_1w_2 < 0$  and  $w_1w_2 > 0$ , respectively.

**Proof.** If we consider the trace  $s$  as a function of  $x_1, x_2$ , then the condition

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$(3) \quad 2(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2) = \frac{d_1}{d_2}y_1^2 - \frac{d_2}{d_1}y_2^2.$$

Since  $\frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x_1^2} = -\frac{2w_1w_2}{y_2^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x_2^2} = -\frac{2w_1w_2}{y_1^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = -\frac{2w_1w_2}{y_1y_2}$ , therefore

$$(4) \quad s(x_1 + h, x_2 + k) - s(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{2w_1w_2}{y_1^2y_2^2}(y_1 - y_2k)^2.$$

Hence,  $s(x_1, x_2)$  achieves the minimum and the maximum value for  $w_1w_2 < 0$  and  $w_1w_2 > 0$ , respectively. The value of the trace  $s$ , at the surface (3) equals

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{4}x(d_2^2 - 4) + \frac{1}{4}(d_1^2 - 4)\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2}d_1d_2,$$

where  $x = \frac{w_1}{w_2}\left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^2$ .

The function (5) in  $x$  and, as a result, also  $s$  achieves the minimum  $s_{\min}$  and the maximum  $s_{\max}$  value for

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} = -\left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{d_1^2 - 4}{d_2^2 - 4}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w_1}{w_2} = \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{d_1^2 - 4}{d_2^2 - 4}},$$

respectively. ■

**Lemma 6.** If  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then the non-scalar matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, F)$

and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix}$ , are similar in  $SL(2, F)$  provided  $s = a + d$ ,  $br \geq 0$  or  $-cr \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** We have  $XAX^{-1} = D$ , where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ \frac{1}{r}(ax + cy) & \frac{1}{r}(bx + yd) \end{bmatrix}, \det X \neq 0.$$

The condition  $X \in SL(2, F)$  is equivalent to the solvability of the equation

$$(6) \quad bx^2 + xy(d - a) - cy^2 - r = 0 \text{ in } x \text{ or } y.$$

The discriminant  $\Delta = y^2(s^2 - 4) + 4br$  or  $\Delta = x^2(s^2 - 4) - 4cr$ , respectively, must be a non negative element of  $F$ .

By the assumption  $br \geq 0$  or  $-cr \geq 0$ , we can chose so small  $y$  or  $x$  such that  $\Delta \geq 0$  for any  $a, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . ■

**Lemma 7.** Let  $s = \text{tr}(M_1^{S_1} M_2^{S_2})$  be defined by (1) and let  $n$  be the order of  $M_i$ . Then:

- if  $n = 2$ , then  $-\infty < s \leq -2$  or  $2 \leq s < \infty$ ;
- if  $n = 3$ , then  $-\infty < s \leq -1$  or  $1 \leq s < \infty$ ;
- if  $n \geq 4$ , then  $-\infty < s < \infty$ .

**Proof.** For  $d_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n}$  and  $d_2 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi(n-1)}{n}$  the trace  $s$  achieves the minimum

$$(7) \quad s_{\min} = -2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{n}$$

and for  $d_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n}$  and  $d_2 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n}$ , the trace  $s$  achieves the maximum value

$$(8) \quad s_{\max} = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{n},$$

by Lemma 5. The rest of the proof follows from (7), (8) and definition (1) of  $s$ . ■

**Lemma 8** (see [4]). Let  $G$  be a group. An element  $g \in K_2^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) if and only if there is an element  $x \in K_2^{m-1}$ ,  $x \neq g^{-1}$  such that  $(gx)^2 = 1$ . ■

**Theorem 1.**  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = K_n^2$ , for  $n \geq 4$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$M_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_i \\ -w_i^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix}, T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & d_i \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $d_i = 2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{n}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $(j, n) = 1$ . From (1) for  $x_2 = 0$ ,  $y_1 = y_2 = 1$ , it results that

$$(9) \quad s = -w_1 w_2 x_1^2 + (w_2 d_1 - w_1 d_2) x_1 - \frac{w_1}{w_2} - \frac{w_2}{w_1} + d_1 d_2.$$

The function (9) in  $x_1$  achieve the same minimum and maximum value as the function (1). For this reason, the trace  $\text{tr}(M_1^{S_1} M_2^{S_2}) = s$  fulfills the condition of Lemma 7. The matrix

$$M_1^{T_1} M_2^{T_2} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } b = -\frac{w_1}{w_2} x_1 + \frac{d_1}{w_2}, \quad c = w_1(-d_2 - w_2 x_1)$$

is similar in  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  to the matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix}, \quad s = a + d \quad \text{for any } r \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 6, these matrices are similar in  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  provided

$$(10) \quad rc \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad rb \geq 0.$$

From Lemma 7, it results that the equation (9) is solvable in  $x_1$  and

$$x_1' = \frac{w_2 d_1 - w_1 d_2 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2w_1 w_2}, \quad x_1'' = \frac{w_2 d_1 - w_1 d_2 - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2w_1 w_2},$$

where  $\Delta = (w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2)^2 - 4w_1^2 - 4w_2^2 - 4w_1 w_2 s$ .

If we put  $x_1 = x_1'$ , then

$$b = \frac{1}{2w_2^2} (w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2 - \sqrt{\Delta}) \quad \text{and} \quad c = -\frac{1}{2} (w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2 + \sqrt{\Delta}).$$

Note that  $\Delta(-w_1, -w_2) = \Delta(w_1, w_2)$ . Hence, if  $r > 0$ , then the signs of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  can be chosen such that  $w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2 > 0$ , thus  $cr < 0$ ; if  $r < 0$ , then the signs of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  can be chosen such that  $w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2 < 0$ , thus  $br > 0$ . If  $w_2 d_1 + w_1 d_2 = 0$ , then  $c < 0$  and  $b < 0$ , thus for  $r > 0$ ,  $rc < 0$  and for  $r < 0$ ,  $rb > 0$ . Hence, condition (10) holds in all cases. Thus  $M_1^{T_1} M_2^{T_2}$  and  $D$  are similar in  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , by Lemma 6. Hence, matrices conjugate to  $D$  run over all non-scalar matrices of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , by Lemma 1. Our set of matrices contains together with the matrix  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix}$  also  $L^{-1}$ , so  $E = LL^{-1} \in K_n^2$ . Therefore,  $K_n^2 = PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.** a)  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = K_3^3$ ,  
 b)  $PSL(2, \mathbb{Q}) \neq K_3^3$ ,  
 c)  $PSL(2, F) \neq K_2^3$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z \\ -z^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $d_i = 2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{n}, i = 1, 2; (j, n) = 1$  and  $M_i, T_i$  as in Lemma 3 or 4. If we take  $r = x^2b + xy(d - a) - cy^2, x, y \in F$ , then the matrices  $M_1^{T_1} M_2^{T_2} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix}, s = a + d$  are similar in  $SL(2, F)$ .

Consider the matrix

$$M_i D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z \\ -z^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r^{-1} & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -zr^{-1} & zs \\ -d_i r^{-1} & -rz^{-1} + d_i s \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3 or 4 the trace  $\text{tr}(M_i D) = t$  runs over all of  $F$ , according to  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$ . The matrix  $M_i D$  is similar in the general linear group  $GL(2, F)$  to the matrix  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ -m^{-1} & t \end{bmatrix}$ . The similarity of  $M_i D$  and  $C$  in  $SL(2, F)$  is equivalent to the condition

$$(11) \quad x^2(t^2 - 4) + 4 \frac{md_i}{r} \geq 0,$$

by Lemma 6.

Since  $d_i = \pm 1$  for  $n = 3$ , it is possible to choose  $d_i$  and  $x$  such that the condition (11) holds in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, by the Lemma 1, matrices conjugate to  $C$  run over all non-scalar matrices of  $PSL(2, F)$ . By Lemma 7,  $K_3^2$  contains the matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b^{-1} & d_i \end{bmatrix} \in K_3$ , where  $d_i = 2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{3}, (j, 3) = 1$ . The set  $K_3$  together with  $B$  contains also  $B^{-1}$ . Hence  $E = BB^{-1} \in K_3^3$ . Therefore  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = K_3^3$ .

If  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then the condition (11) cannot hold for  $t = 2$  and for any arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \neq K_3^3$ .

If  $n = 2$ , then  $d_i = 0$  and the condition (11) cannot hold for  $|t| < 2$  even for  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $PSL(2, F) \neq K_3^3$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ . The part b) of Theorem 2 follows.

The statement c) results from Lemma 8. Indeed, the set of non-scalar matrices of  $K_2^2 \subset PSL(2, F)$  consist of matrices

$$(12) \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ -z^{-1}(1 + y^2) & -y \end{bmatrix} \in K_2^2$$

and their conjugates. The conditions  $(XG)^2 = E$ ,  $G = \pm E$ ,  $X \neq G$  are equivalent to

$$(13) \quad x^2(y^2 + 1) + z^2 = 0; \quad x, y, z \neq 0,$$

which cannot be fulfilled over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $E \notin K_2^3$ , by Lemma 8. ■

**Theorem 3.** *If  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $n = \infty$ , then  $SL(2, F) = K_n^2$  and  $PSL(2, F) = K_n^2$ .*

**Proof.** Among matrices of order  $n = \infty$  in  $PSL(2, F)$  there are matrices of the form

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_i \\ -a_i^{-1} & d_i + d_i^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

with distinct eigenvalues  $d_i, d_i^{-1}$ , where  $d_i \neq 0$ . Observe that  $o(A_i) = o(-A_i) = o(A_i^{-1}) = \infty$  and  $K_n^2 = \bigcup_{i,j} C_{A_i} C_{A_j}$ . Lemma 2 implies that  $K_n^2 \cup Z = SL(2, F)$  but  $E \in C_{A_i} C_{A_i^{-1}}$  and  $-E \in C_{A_i} C_{(-A_i)}$ , so  $K_n^2 = SL(2, F)$ .

The equality  $K_\infty^2 = PSL(2, F)$  can be proved similarly. ■

From Theorems 1, 2, 3, all properties (i) – (v) follow immediately.

## References

- [1] J. Ambrosiewicz, *On the property W for the multiplicative group of the quaternions algebra*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **25** (1980), no. 2, p. 2–3.
- [2] J. Ambrosiewicz, *The property  $W^2$  for the multiplicative group of the quaternions field*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **29** (1984), 63–67.
- [3] J. Ambrosiewicz, *On the square of sets of the group  $SL(3, F)$ ,  $PSL(3, F)$* , Demonstratio Math. **18** (1985), 963–968.
- [4] J. Ambrosiewicz, *On square of sets of linear groups*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **75** (1986), 253–256.
- [5] J. Ambrosiewicz, *Powers of sets in linear group*, Demonstratio Math. **23** (1990), 395–403.
- [6] J. Ambrosiewicz, *Square of set of elements of order two in orthogonal groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **41** (1992), 189–198.
- [7] J. Ambrosiewicz, *If  $K$  is a real field then  $cn(PSL(2, K)) = 4$* , Demonstratio Math. **29** (1996), 783–785.



- [8] E.W. Ellers, *Bireflectionality in classical groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* **29** (1977), 1157–1162.
- [9] E.W. Ellers, R. Frank, and W. Nolte, *Bireflectionality of the weak orthogonal and the weak symplectic groups*, *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 63–67.

Received 15 January 1997

Revised 12 July 1999

Revised 15 November 1999